



Sustainable Utilization of Rice Husk Activated Charcoal Through Phytoremediation

E. O. Olagunju¹, L. O. Ajala^{2*} and A. G. Farombi¹

¹Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Osun State Polytechnic, P.M.B. 301, Iree, Nigeria.

²Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Akanu Ibiam Federal Polytechnic, Unwana, P.M.B. 1007, Afikpo, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was a realization of collaboration between all authors. Author EOO wrote the protocol and the first draft of the manuscript. Author LOA designed the study, performed sample and data collection, and provided statistical expertise. Author AGF managed the literature searches and manuscript preparation and analyzed the study. All authors were actively involved in experimental processes, read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/PSIJ/2015/12318

Editor(s):

- (1) Daniel Beysens, OPUR International Organization for Dew Utilization, France.
(2) Stefano Moretti, School of Physics & Astronomy, University of Southampton, UK.

Reviewers:

- (1) Khalid Siraj, Department of Chemistry, College of Natural Sciences, Jimma University, Jimma, Ethiopia.
(2) Carlos G. Aguilar-Madera, Division of Basic Sciences and Engineering, Autonomous University of Nuevo León, México.
Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=833&id=33&aid=6853>

Original Research Article

Received 26th June 2014
Accepted 7th October 2014
Published 6th November 2014

ABSTRACT

The performance of rice husk-based activated carbon prepared by carbonization and chemical activation with zinc chloride was tested with effluent from 7UP Bottling Company, Ngwo, Enugu State, Nigeria, using standard protocols. The result indicated a significant ($P < 0.05$) increase in the pH and temperature of rice husk-based activated carbon treated effluent compared to control with no significant ($P > 0.05$) difference. Overall, the result revealed that there was a general significant ($P < 0.05$) decrease in the total hardness, alkalinity, chemical oxygen demand, biological oxygen demand, nitrate, total dissolved solid, total suspended solid, total solid, sulphate, nitrate, chloride, and metals in rice husk activated carbon treated filtrate when compared to the untreated. Although, values of physicochemical parameters obtained in filtrate from rice husk-based activated carbon were generally lower than that of commercial, the difference were not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). The results showed that waste water treated with rice husk activated carbon met the international standards for maximum limits of effluent discharge to sewage, stream and drinking water. The study therefore recommends the use of rice husk-based activated carbon as an efficient and environmental friendly water treatment option.

*Corresponding author: Email: loajala@akanuibiampoly.edu.ng;

Keywords: Activated carbon; rice husk; effluent; adsorption; environmental pollution; waste management.

1. INTRODUCTION

Rice husk is produced as a by-product during rice milling. It surrounds the paddy grain. It is being dumped into environment where burning is taking place, thereby increasing air pollution. The fermented husks in the open field also generate methane among other gases that are contributing to the global warming [1].

The conventional municipal waste management approaches such as open dumping, sanitary land fill and incineration, are no longer efficient and effective to the growing solid waste problem worldwide [2]. The new idea is to turn waste materials into useful products. The principle is to convert waste liabilities into profitable assets. Present world consensus is on the rapid introduction of environmentally compatible energy and technology systems [1] whereby, wastes undergo recycling into useful products. There is a potential for developing new markets for rice husk based activated carbon because of its relative availability.

Activated carbon is produced by the controlled thermalization of carbonaceous material, normally wood, coal, coconut shells or peat [3]. This activation produces a porous material with a large surface area (500–1500m²/g) [4,5] and a high affinity for organic compounds, chlorine, lead, unpleasant tastes and odour in effluent or coloured substances from gas or liquid streams [3,6] by the mechanism of adsorption [7,8].

Activated charcoal is now applicable in many fields of operation, particularly in its employment on a commercial scale for a number of purposes, for example, effluent treatment, pollution control, water purification, fertilizer, heavy metal adsorbent, food and pharmaceutical industries and medically for removal of poison [9,10,11].

Effluent from various chemical process industries contains toxic substances in appreciable amounts and exhibit high chemical oxygen demand (COD), are highly coloured, hot and alkaline, containing high amounts of dissolved solids [12]. Adsorption on activated charcoal of these contaminants in waste water treatment has been found to be superior compared to other chemical and physical methods such as distillation, filtration, reverse osmosis, deionization, and others [13] in terms of its

capability for efficiently adsorbing a broad range of pollutants, fast adsorption kinetics and its simplicity of design.

The need to monitor, control and clean up waste water is becoming more important as a result of health risk posed to man and his environment. Although, the toxicity of the effluent has been known for many years, public awareness and sensitivity, combined with increasing and stiffer pollution control regulations, have made the search for the solution to the problem most urgent. However, commercially available activated carbons are still expensive due to the use of non-renewable and relatively high-cost starting material such as coal, which is unjustified in pollution control applications [14,15]. In a country where economy plays a very big role, it is better to find out relatively low-cost adsorbents to be used in this countryside. Activated charcoal is therefore the answer since it has now been recognized as an effective and economic method for the removal of pollutants from the environment [16].

In recent years, many researchers have tried to produce activated charcoals for removal of various pollutants using renewable and cheaper precursors which were mainly industrial and agricultural byproducts. In the same line of action, this research focuses on utilization of rice husk (hitherto term waste) generated from the rice milling industry as a raw material for the production of activated charcoal via carbonization and chemical activation. The performance of the prepared activated charcoal as an adsorbent was tested on contaminants present in waste water collected from 7up Bottling Company.

Conversion of this cheap and abundant agricultural waste into activated carbon will serve many purposes. First, unwanted agricultural waste is converted to useful, value-added adsorbents and second, the use of agricultural by-products represents a potential source of adsorbents which will contribute to solving part of the wastewater treatment problem [17], and finally, it will help in reducing the heap-log of this waste from causing environmental hazards, which will greatly enhance the aesthetic values of our environment.

2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

2.1 Sample Collection and Treatment

The waste material (rice husk) was collected from rice mill beside Eke market, Afikpo, Nigeria. Extraneous materials were removed and repeatedly washed with tap water to remove impurities and then sun dried. The dried samples were grounded followed by sieving with 60 mesh size sieve and was finally stored in air tight containers prior to carbonization.

All chemicals used were of analytical grade and deionized water was employed for the preparation of all requisite solutions.

2.2 Carbonization and Activation

The raw material was impregnated with 1.0mol dm^{-3} zinc chloride at the weight ratio of 1:1 for 1hr and dried at 105°C for 24hrs. The mixture was carbonized using muffle furnace at 450°C for 4hr after which it was removed and cooled in ice water bath; excess water was drained out and allowed to stand at room temperature. The residual activation reagent and surface ash was removed from the sample by using 0.10mol dm^{-3} hydrochloric acid and rinsed with deionized water to remove residual acid. Washing was completed when the pH of 7 was ascertained. It was then dried in an oven at 110°C for 1hr.

2.3 Adsorption Studies

The rice husk-based carbon (RAC) prepared and the commercial activated carbon (CAC) were packed separately into different columns with two open ends. One end of the columns was closed with cotton wool to prevent the adsorbents from flowing out. The columns were mounted vertically with the open ends upward. Through the open ends of the columns, 100cm^3 of the effluent from 7UP bottling company was poured through the column. The filterates were collected separately and labelled accordingly.

2.4 Characterization of RAC and Physicochemical Assay

Physical properties and chemical adsorption characteristics of RAC were estimated as described by McDougall [5]. The physicochemical properties of each filtrate and that of untreated effluent that are essential to determine the quality of water were analysed separately as per the standard methods [18,19].

2.5 Data Analysis

Three independent experiments were performed on each filtrate and raw effluent. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the difference between experimental groups. Means were compared by the Duncan' multiple range test and significance was established at 5% level ($P\leq 0.05$) using SPSS 2008 version 15.0 package.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The pH of untreated waste water indicated acidic (Table 2). pH is an index of acidity or alkalinity of a substance. The pH of treated sample increased and was within the range of 6.5 to 9.5 given by international standards of waste water discharge into drinking water, stream and sewer, thus, indicating improvement in the water quality.

The temperature of the untreated effluent, effluent treated with commercial activated carbon (CAC) and filtrate obtained from effluent that passed through rice husk-based activated carbon (FRAC) measured to be 25.4°C , 25.2°C and 25.3°C respectively (Table 2). All fell below the permissible limits of waste water to be discharged into sewer and stream (see table).

Interestingly, the offensive odour and pale yellow colour of the untreated effluent were removed with the aid of the absorbent prepared (RAC) and that of CAC.

The total dissolved solid (TDS) of untreated effluent was 441mg/l . TDS contains dissolved materials such as carbonates, chloride, sodium, potassium, magnesium sulphates and other ions. TDS value of 175mg/L for RAC treated filtrate in this study (Table 2) was below the recommended value and far below that of untreated effluent. It is a known fact that water sample with very high TDS and Cl^- concentrations is not useful for bathing, drinking and for industrial applications; such water is expected to have high osmotic potential, thereby making the sample to be potential irritant of the skin [23]. As far as the value obtained with RAC is concern, there is no cause for alarm.

Sample with high TSS possesses high BOD [24] and NO_3^- due to microbial oxidation of the suspended organics [23]. Suspended solids act directly on fish in water, thereby reducing their growth rate, prevent successful development of fish eggs and larvae, clog fish gills and modify aquatic natural environment [25]. TSS of

32.0mg/L in filtrate obtained from RAC was below that of untreated effluent of 120mg/L and far below the regulatory limit of 400mg/L and 1000mg/L for maximum contaminant limit of effluent to be discharge into stream and sewer respectively (Table 2). TSS indicates the presence of suspended material such as clay, silt, finely divided organic materials, planktons and other inorganic materials and signifies appreciable purity [19]. BOD signifies organic pollution and measures the productivity of a water. The lower the BOD, the purer the water [26]. Taking these two parameters into account, the filtrate that passed through RAC, TSS to be 32.0mg/L and BOD to be 145mg/L (Table 2). These low values denote that the filtrate from RAC was pure. COD with BOD on the other hand are indices of organic pollution. Nearly all organic compounds are oxidized in the COD test, it is therefore expected that the values of COD are higher than that of BOD.

Water medium with extreme alkalinity cannot support aquatic lives. In addition, the presence of alkalis in waste water influences the toxicity of inorganic pollutants [26]. The values reported in this research for untreated effluent (154mg/L), FCAC (132mg/L) and FRAC (110mg/L) were far below maximum limit of 2500mg/L (Table 2) recommended for effluent to be discharged into sewer. Alkalinity is not considered detrimental to humans but is generally associated with high pH values, hardness and excess dissolved solids and may also have an unpleasant taste [23,25].

The hardness of the untreated effluent reveals that there were presence of dissolved salts of metals like calcium, magnesium and iron while the treated samples were low, which is an indication of soft water. Concentrations of calcium and magnesium are important contributors to water hardness. There was no significant difference between the hardness of FRAC (16.0mg/L) and that of FCAC (19.0mg/L), but were lower than that of untreated effluent (36.0mg/L) (Table 2). There was appreciable reduction of the effluent hardness after passing through RAC. The reduction in the hardness from this investigation was lower compared to activated carbon prepared by Ajiwe et al. [27] from *Pterocarpus santalinoides*, which put hardness at 30mg/L. Hard water may not have health effect but may form scale in boilers, water heaters, pipes and cooking utensils [26].

Also in (Table 2), the mean concentrations of NO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} and Cl^- of the untreated effluent were

3.50mg/L, 70.1mg/L and 531mg/L respectively while the filtrate treated with RAC were 2.30mg/L, 2.0mg/l and 5.8mg/l respectively. The low levels of these anions give credence to the prohibition of microbial growth. Chloride concentrations above 250 mg/L in drinking water may cause corrosion in the distribution system [28]. High concentrations of chloride ions may also result in an objectionable salty taste. High chloride water may also produce a laxative effect. The chloride content in the untreated effluent (531mg/L) was above limits for discharge into drinking water and sewer but lower than maximum limit for effluent to be discharged into drinking water. Sulphate is a substance that is often found in drinking water. Health concerns regarding sulphate in drinking water have been raised because of reports of diarrhea associated with the ingestion of water containing high levels of sulphate [29], such water is not also good for industrial applications because it may form a hard scale in boilers and heat exchangers. There is no cause for alarm since RAC was able to reduce the sulphate content from 70.1mg/L (untreated effluent) to 2.0mg/L (Table 2). High concentrations of nitrate in water result in eutrophication (excessive increase in population of microbiota). The higher the concentration of this anion in water bodies, the higher the level of pollution [26,27].

Heavy metals, some of which are carcinogenic (e.g. As), terratogenic (e.g. Pb), mutagenic (e.g. Cd, Ni, Cu, Pb) and toxic (e.g. Pb, Cd) [27] were reduced below the regulatory bodies' standards of effluent to be discharged into drinking water, stream and sewer. For instance, Pb and Zn were below detectable limit from the raw effluent with the aid of RAC (Table 2). When the concentrations of iron and manganese are above regulatory limit, they may cause brown and black stains on laundry, plumbing fixtures and sinks [27]. The values recorded in the FRAC may not pose health hazard. The uptake of chromium by this test adsorbent was excellent compared to that of CAC and the value was far below maximum permissible limits in accordance with international standards [30,31].

It is generally accepted that adsorption capacity of carbon owes its properties to large internal surface area as well as to its pore size distribution. The values obtained for chemical and physical properties of RAC as shown in (Table 1) indicated that it is of high activity carbon.

Table 1. Results of characterization of rice husk-based activated charcoal (RAC)

Properties	Value obtained
Porosity	98.18%
Bulk density	0.54g/cm ³
Pore volume	0.70cm ³ /g
Ash content	23.4%
Moisture content	2.3%
Pore size	0.75nm
Surface area	1120m ² /g
Iodine number	69%
Carbon:Hydrogen:Nitrogen	(54:1.25:0.45)%

Table 2. Results of untreated effluent, effluent treated with commercial activated carbon (ECAC) and effluent treated with rice husk-based activated charcoal (ERAC) in comparison with the international standards for maximum limits of effluent discharge to sewer [20], stream [21] and drinking water [22]

Parameter	Untreated effluent	ECAC	ERAC	Discharge to sewer	Discharge to stream	Discharge to drinking water
Odour	Offensive	Odourless	Odourless	NA	NA	NA
Colour	Pale yellow	Colourless	Colourless	NA	NA	NA
pH	5.52±0.14 ^c	6.32±0.09 ^a	6.29±0.20 ^b	6.0-10.0	6.5-8.5	6.5-8.5
Temperature (°C)	25.4±0.15 ^a	25.2±0.03 ^b	25.3±1.00 ^c	44	32.5	NA
TDS (mg/l)	441±3.13 ^a	130±2.10 ^c	175±1.08 ^b	NA	500	NA
TSS (mg/l)	120±1.70 ^a	85.0±0.97 ^b	32.0±1.01 ^c	1000	400	NA
TS (mg/l)	561±0.6.2 ^a	215±5.40 ^b	177±4.40 ^c	NA	NA	500
Alkalinity (mg/l)	154±1.27 ^a	132±0.79 ^b	110±1.10 ^c	2500	NA	NA
Hardness (mg/l)	36.0±0.65 ^a	19.0±0.47 ^b	16.0±0.50 ^c	NA	NA	NA
COD (mg/l)	544±1.06 ^a	198±2.33 ^b	145±1.90 ^c	8	20	NA
BOD (mg/l)	79.0±0.05 ^a	23.0±0.61 ^b	12.0±1.21 ^c	NA	NA	NA
NO ₃ ⁻ (mg/l)	3.50±0.050 ^b	4.20±0.13 ^a	2.30±0.37 ^c	400	NA	NA
SO ₄ ²⁻ (mg/l)	70.1±7.50 ^a	31.3±2.23 ^b	26.0±3.81 ^c	1000	NA	400
Cl ⁻ (mg/l)	531.0±4.0 ^a	44.0±2.16 ^c	5.80±1.01 ^b	400	1 (free Cl ₂)	600
Pb (mg/l)	0.01±0.00 ^a	0.01±0.00 ^b	BDL ^b	NA	0.1	0.05
Fe (mg/l)	0.92±0.02 ^a	0.77±0.06 ^b	0.62±0.11 ^c	1.5	1	1
Zn (mg/l)	1.88±0.07 ^a	0.23±0.00 ^c	0.73±0.03 ^b	NA	1	15
Cu (mg/l)	0.30±0.03 ^a	0.19±0.00 ^c	0.21±0.00 ^b	50	1	1.5
Cr (mg/l)	0.25±0.01 ^a	0.15±0.02 ^b	0.02±0.01 ^c	50	1	NA
As (mg/l)	BDL	BDL	BDL	NA	1	NA

Note: NA = Not Available; BDL = Below Detection Limit.; Values followed by the same superscript alphabets in the same row are not significantly different but those followed by different alphabets are significantly different using Duncan's multiple range test at P = .05

Generally, RAC adsorbed the pollutants in the effluent beyond the limit set aside by the regulatory authorities. The adsorption efficiencies of activated charcoal are modified by lignin, a non-carbohydrate constituent. The adsorption ability of plant increases as the quantity of lignins increases, and this is observed when the plant's organs are young [6]. No wonder why RAC had excellent adsorption properties because it was prepared from plant a that is matured and harvested after four months of planting.

4. CONCLUSION

Considering the chemical indicators for pollution in water, all the parameters tested in FRAC were

far below the maximum desirable level when compared with the international standards for effluent discharge. This study has revealed that rice husk is a good and cheap agricultural residue precursor for the production of activated charcoal, thus, representing an economically promising material. Hence, its utilization as an adsorbent in waste water treatment should be encouraged.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Nwabanne JT, Amaefule DO. Pyrolysis of rice husks using locally fabricated pyrolysis plant. *Anachem Journal*. 2010;4(1):707-714.
2. Umar-Olarewaju HI. Urban waste disposal and management in Nigeria. *The College Review*. 2008;15:17-22.
3. Rahman MA, Asadullah M, Haque MM, Motin MA, Sultan MB, Azad MAK. Preparation and characterization of activated charcoal as an adsorbent. *J. Surface Sci. Technol*. 2006;22(3-4):133-140.
4. Hutchin RA. *Activated Carbon System for Separation of Liquids*. In: Handbook of Separation Techniques for Chem. Eng. 2nd Edition, Mc Graw Hill Book Co, New York; 1988.
5. McDougall GJ. The physical nature and manufacture of activated carbon. *J. S. Afr. Inst. Min. Metall*. 1991;91(4):109-120.
6. Ukiwe IN. Water purification properties of activated carbon prepared from Water Hyacinth, Iroko and Gmelina. *Anachem Journal*. 2007;1(1):41-43.
7. Ansari R, Sadegh M. Application of activated carbon for the removal of arsenic ions from aqueous solution. *Journal of Applied Chemistry*. 2007;4(1):103-108.
8. Ioannidou O, Zabaniotou A. Agricultural residues as precursors for activated carbon production - A review. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2007;11:1966-2005.
9. Jun W, Fu-An W, Meng W, Ning Q, Yao L, Shui-Qin F, Xing J. Preparation of activated carbon from renewable agricultural residue of pruning mulberry shoot. *Afr. J. Biotchnol*. 2010;9(19):2762-2767.
10. Corbitt RA. Air Quality Control. In: Corbitt R.A. (ed). *Standard Handbook of Environmental Engineering*. McGraw-Hill, new York. 1990:4115.
11. Egwuikhide PA, Asia IO, Emua SA. Binding of Zinc, Nickel and Cadmium ions by carbonized rice husk. *African J. Sci*. 2007;3(2):673-681.
12. Rajeshwarisivaraj SS, Senthilkumar P, Subburan V. Carbon from cassava peel, an agricultural waste, as as adsorbent in the removal of dyes and metal ions from aqueous solution. *Biores. Tech*. 2001;80:233-235.
13. Gerard M, Bathelemy J. An Assessment Methodology for Determining Pesticide Adsorption of Granulated Activated Carbon. *Biotechnol. Agron. Soc. Environ*. 2003;7(2):78-85.
14. Sourja C, Sirshendu D, Sunando D, Jayanta KB. Adsorption study for the removal of basic dye: Experimental and modeling. *Chemosphere*. 2005;58:1079–1086.
15. Martin MJ, Artola A, Balaguer MD, Rigola M. Activated carbons developed from surplus sewage sludge for the removal of dyes from dilute aqueous solutions. *J. Chem. Eng*. 2003;94:231–239.
16. Addel-Ghani NT, Hefray M, El Chaghaby AF. Removal of lead from aqueous solution using low cost abundantly available adsorbent. *Int. J. of Environ. Sci. Techn*. 2007;4(1):103-108.
17. Tan IAW, Ahmad AL, Hameed BH. Preparation of activated carbon from coconut husk: Optimization study on removal of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol using response surface methodology. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 2008;153:709-717.
18. APHA. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste Water*. American Public Health Association. 21th Edition. Washington DC; 2005.
19. Ademoroti CMA. *Standard methods for water and effluents analysis*. Foludex Press Ltd. 1996;20-29:110-114.
20. *Effluent and water treatment manual*. 2nd edition. Thunderbird Enterprise Ltd., London; 1964.
21. Harold ET, Thomas DB, Fredrick LFP. *Waste water treatment*. Living Things, Holt Reinehart and Winston Pub. New York; 1977.
22. WHO. *International Standard for Drinking Water*. World Health Organization. Geneva; 1971.
23. Okonkwo TJ, Ezeani-Obi MC, Chinwuba AJ, Okonkwo CJ. Portability and biosafety of the water supply network at Nnamdi Azikiwe University temporary site, Awka, Nigeria. *Anachem. Journal*. 2007;1(1&2):90-97.
24. Muoghalu LN, Omocho V. Environmental health hazards resulting from Awka Abattoir. *Environ. Review*. 1997;1:37-50.
25. Onuegbu TU, Ajiwe VIE, Arinze RU, Okoye LO. Analysis of untreated effluents from Guinness Brewery in Nigeria. *J. Applied Sci*. 2007;10(4):7466-7473.

26. Okonkwo EM, Eboatu AN. Environmental Pollution and Degradation. 2nd edn. Abimac Publishers, Awka, Nigeria. 2006;4-17:86-122.
27. Ajiwe VIE, Igbinoba AO, Chendo MN, E nukora EA. Proximate analysis, nutritional values and activated carbon of *Pterocarpus sanlatinoides* seed. *Anachem Journal*. (2008;2(1&2):268-273.
28. McConnell HH, Lewis J. Add salt to taste. *Environment*. 1972;14:38.
29. USEPA. Health effects from exposure to high levels of sulfate in drinking water study. United State Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Hazards and Health Effects. Washington DC; 1999.
30. USEPA. Drinking water standards and health advisories. United State Environmental Protection Agency. Washington DC; 2012.
31. WHO. Guidelines for drinking water quality. World Health Organization. Geneva. 2003;112-113.

© 2014 Olagunju et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=833&id=33&aid=6853>