



Financial Distress and It's Prediction: A Case Study of the Textile and Garment Industry

Muhani ^a, Molina ^a, Elwisam ^a, Zumratul Meini ^a and Kadek Wiweka ^{b*}

^a Faculty of Economic and Business, Universitas Nasional, Jakarta, Indonesia.

^b École Doctorale Sociétés, Temps, Territoires (EDSTT) Tourisme, Université Angers, France & Sahid Polytechnic, Indonesia.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors Muhani and Molina designed the study, drove the statistics, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors Elwisam and ZM oversaw the study's analyses. Author KW was in charge of the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJEBA/2022/v22i1730638

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87299>

Original Research Article

Received 12 March 2022

Accepted 24 May 2022

Published 28 May 2022

ABSTRACT

Aims: The objective of this research is to glance at the projections of financial distress in the textile and garment sub-sectors listed on the IDX.

Methodology: The case study method is used in this study to employ the descriptive quantitative method approach. While the IDX is the source of the case study data, the purposive sampling method was used on the financial statements of textile and garment sub-sector companies in 2019 and the first quarter of 2020. While the cross-sectional method is used for case study analysis, or by comparing the Z-score (multiple discriminant analysis) that has been performed between one company and the standard zone that has been carried out simultaneously.

Results: This study discovered that the case study using multiple discriminant analysis models in the first quarter of 2020 shows a significant impact of Covid-19 on the financial condition of companies listed on the IDX in the textile and garment industry, with 88 percent of companies in a stress zone. This study also shows that both internal and external factors can lead to a company's demise. As a result, corporate financial management decision-making must consider the company's liquidity, debt proportion, and the efficient use of working capital.

Implication/Applications: The findings of this study can be useful not only for researchers, but also for practitioners who are interested in financial distress cases.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: kadek.wiweka@etud.univ-angers.fr;

The Originality of the Study: One of the study's limitations is that the sample is still limited to the research scope, which only covers the two sectors. Furthermore, this study only employs a single model of financial distress. As a result, it is hoped that in the future, research will be conducted with various types of company sectors and using various financial distress models.

Keywords: Financial distress; textile and garment sector; case study.

1. INTRODUCTION

Today, financial ratio analysis is a popular managerial tool as well as a tool for determining a company's economic activity [1–4]. In a nutshell, this prediction is based on a functional correlation calculation between the financial ratio and a number of dependent variables. This model is commonly used by investment analysts for a variety of purposes, including predicting profitability, predicting a company's failure, assessing potential risks, and assisting in credit rating. In general, the analysis seeks the most useful financial ratios that provide significant information about future events to be used in models for predicting financial distress or bankruptcy [5,6].

As a sector that is extremely important to the national economy. Economists frequently focus on the garment and textile industries, particularly their financial performance [7,8]. This sector is becoming increasingly interesting to study, particularly in light of the Covid-19 pandemic, which is thought to have impacted a company's financial distress in most sectors [9–11]. Previously, the textile and garment market conditions were quite depressed as a result of recent trade wars and pressure from producers from other countries, which resulted in price wars. In fact, the textile and garment sector, like other sectors [12], deteriorated during the Covid-19 pandemic, with the imposition of various activity restrictions and social distancing. However, this industry can make a comeback by repurposing its products as PPE (Personal Protective Equipment).

Twelve companies with positive working capitals are listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) in the textile and garment sub-sector (see Table 1). This means that their assets are currently sufficient to pay their current debts. Meanwhile, the other four companies have negative working capital, which means that their assets cannot currently pay their current debts.

The company's health is important for increasing efficiency in running its business so that the ability to earn profits can be increased while

avoiding the possibility of bankruptcy (liquidation) in the company. The occurrence of liquidation or bankruptcy in several companies will, of course, result in a number of issues involving the owners and employees who lose their jobs.

This phenomenon encourages researchers to investigate financial distress predictions in the textile and garment sub-sectors listed on the IDX (idx.co.id, 2020). The findings of this study are expected to provide a comprehensive picture of Indonesia's financial distress, particularly in the textile and garment sub-sector. Furthermore, this paper can be used as a reference for practitioners who want to know what approaches and methods academics and practitioners can use to predict financial distress in Indonesian companies.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Financial Management and Financial Distress

Financial management is all activities or company activities related to how to obtain working capital funding, use or allocate funds, and manage assets owned to achieve the main goals of the company [13,14]. The main purpose of financial management is to maximize the value owned by a company or to add value to the assets held by the shareowner [15–17]. Predicting the survival of the company is very important for management and company owners to anticipate the possibility of potential bankruptcy [18–20].

Financial distress itself is a condition in which the company's finances are in an unhealthy state or a crisis that occurred before bankruptcy. Bankruptcy itself is usually defined as a situation or situation where the company fails or is no longer able to fulfill the debtor's obligations because the company experiences insufficient and insufficient funds to run or continue its business [21–24]. The financial distress model needs to be developed because knowing the company's financial distress from an early age is expected to take actions to anticipate that it will lead to bankruptcy.

Table 1. Financial condition of textile and garment sub-sector

| No | Code | Name | Working Capital | Retained Earnings | EBIT | Equity Market Value | Book Value of Accounts Payable | Sales | Total Asset |
|----|------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1 | BELL | Trisula Textile Industries Tbk. | 127.632.625.516 | 93.379.714.796 | 5.298.165.687 | 152.252.316.799 | 342.455.321.568 | 157.718.886.380 | 623.415.338.649 |
| 2 | ERTX | Eratex Djaja Tbk. | 2.363.255 | 10.028.584 | 781.508 | 20.141.658 | 54.947.538 | 25.857.349 | 75.089.196 |
| 3 | ESTI | Ever Shine Tex Tbk. | 2.478.019 | - 68.752.787 | - 314.357 | 13.242.371 | 44.552.056 | 7.874.088 | 57.794.427 |
| 4 | HDTX | Panasia Indo Resources Tbk. | - 255.163.118.000 | - | - 31.818.676.000 | 38.641.899.000 | 380.770.657.000 | 3.106.084.000 | 419.412.556.000 |
| 5 | INDR | Indo-Rama Synthetics Tbk. | 37.948.667 | 222.442.805 | 4.473.343 | 375.755.541 | 409.685.745 | 183.414.950 | 785.441.286 |
| 6 | MYTX | Asia Pacific Investama Tbk. | - 1.079.688.000.000 | - | - 1.488.000.000 | 393.767.000.000 | 3.976.403.000.000 | 556.344.000.000 | 4.370.170.000.000 |
| 7 | PBRX | Pan Brothers Tbk. | 451.860.472 | 104.863.229 | 577.386 | 264.785.076 | 358.934.916 | 121.655.179 | 623.719.992 |
| 8 | POLY | Asia Pacific Fibers Tbk. | - 964.421.693 | - 2.197.054.069 | 5.036.195 | - 937.041.585 | 1.175.393.696 | 87.430.962 | 238.352.111 |
| 9 | RICY | Ricky Putra Globalindo Tbk. | 234.122.745.885 | 53.782.545.864 | - 41.311.399.615 | 414.198.450.722 | 1.164.853.938.605 | 321.101.134.959 | 1.579.052.389.327 |
| 10 | SRIL | Sri Rejeki Isman Tbk. | 734.588.589 | 425.663.319 | 30.595.135 | 622.213.737 | 964.227.176 | 316.615.378 | 1.586.440.913 |
| 11 | SSTM | Sunson Textile Manufacture Tbk. | 103.601.114.061 | - 158.702.126.267 | 4.829.720.353 | 203.912.975.724 | 254.294.612.854 | 103.379.499.739 | 458.207.588.578 |
| 12 | STAR | Star Petrochem Tbk. | 489.592.894.190 | 10.186.266.478 | 171.018.912 | 490.187.186.456 | 90.160.937.202 | 507.489.524 | 580.348.123.658 |
| 13 | TFCO | Tifico Fiber Indonesia Tbk. | 83.991.014 | - 1.135.800 | 162.449 | 289.722.387 | 20.767.158 | 46.146.147 | 310.489.545 |
| 14 | TRIS | Trisula International Tbk. | 350.717.840.963 | 93.919.539.165 | 9.622.432.981 | 667.326.876.574 | 591.202.232.510 | 344.044.704.395 | 1.258.529.109.084 |
| 15 | UNIT | Nusantara Intri Corpora Tbk. | - 583.833.331 | 26.625.473.166 | 325.321.502 | 246.979.605.182 | 117.068.678.736 | 39.799.921.556 | 364.048.283.918 |
| 16 | ZONE | Mega Perintis Tbk. | 123.708.594.686 | 123.095.971.790 | - 4.353.662.417 | 287.000.016.096 | 326.224.476.162 | 107.930.377.662 | 613.224.492.258 |

Source: The author's own study, 2020

2.2 Multiple Discriminant Analysis

Altman's Multivariate Analysis (1968) pioneered a statistical method known as multiple discriminant analysis (MDA), which is based on a linear combination of financial ratios that distinguishes between two groups of companies: bankrupt and non-bankrupt. The Z-Score analytical method predicts the occurrence of company bankruptcy by determining a standard count that indicates the likelihood of the company's bankruptcy [21,25,26].

3. METHODOLOGY

The descriptive quantitative method approach [27] is used in this study by adopting the case study method [28]. While the case study data source comes from the IDX, using the purposive sampling method [29,30] on the financial statements of the textile and garment sub-sector companies in 2019 and the first quarter of 2020. The data used can be categorized as secondary data with internal data sources, namely Financial Statements. On the other hand, data collection techniques use document review techniques.

The descriptive analysis method used in this study aims to analyze the data by describing the collected data rather than pulling personalized conclusions or making broad generalizations. Researchers use this analysis to describe sample data when they do not want to reach conclusions that apply to the population from which the sample was gathered. While the cross-sectional method is used for case study analysis, or by comparing the Z-score (multiple discriminant analysis) that has been performed between one company and the standard zone that has been carried out simultaneously. The form of the Z-score function [25,26] consisting of 5 coefficients, are:

$$Z = A_1T_1 + A_2T_2 + \dots + A_nT_n$$

$$Z = 0.717 T_1 + 0.847 T_2 + 3.107 T_3 + 0.420 T_4 + 0.998 T_5$$

Where:

Z is the value used to classify or predict the firm into one of the groupings.

A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are the discriminant coefficients.

| | |
|---|------------------------------|
| T_1 = working capital/total assets. | Z zone: |
| T_2 = retained earnings/total assets. | $Z > 2,9$ = Safe Zone |
| T_3 = earnings before interests and taxes/total assets. | $1,23 < Z < 2,9$ = Gray Zone |
| T_4 = market value of equity/book value of total debt. | $Z < 1,23$ = Stress Zone |
| T_5 = sales/total assets. | |

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Case Study of Financial Distress Prediction in Textile and Garment Sector

A multiple discriminant analysis of 16 companies in the textile and garment industry reveals that the Covid-19 pandemic has a significant impact on their financial conditions, as shown in Table 2.

PT. Trisula Textile Industries Tbk. has a positive working capital, which means the current assets are sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T_1 score, the company is only producing 21% of its working capital from the total of assets utilized by the company for its operations. T_2 shows that the company can only generate retained earnings of 15% of total assets used by the company for its operations. T_3 shows real productivity, which shows that the use of total assets capable of generating profit for the current period before tax is only 8%. Whereas T_4 shows that the company is only able to fulfill its obligations of only 45%. Meanwhile, T_5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 25% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Trisula Textile Industries Tbk. produces a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of 0.739 where the value is below the $Z < 1.23$ cut-off point. This indicates that PT. Trisula Textile Industries Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a distress zone.

Table 2. Analysis of Z-score value in First Quarter of 2020

| No | Code | Name | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | Z | Zone |
|----|------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|----------|---------------|
| 1 | POLY | Asia Pacific Fibers Tbk. | - 4.046 | - 9.218 | 0.021 | - 0.797 | 0.367 | - 10.612 | Distress Zone |
| 2 | HDTX | Panasia Indo Resources Tbk. | - 0.608 | - 4.494 | - 0.076 | 0.101 | 0.007 | - 4.429 | Distress Zone |
| 3 | ESTI | Ever Shine Tex Tbk. | 0.043 | - 1.190 | - 0.005 | 0.297 | 0.136 | - 0.733 | Distress Zone |
| 4 | MYTX | Asia Pacific Investama Tbk. | - 0.247 | - 0.639 | - 0.000 | 0.099 | 0.127 | - 0.550 | Distress Zone |
| 5 | RICY | Ricky Putra Globalindo Tbk. | 0.148 | 0.034 | - 0.026 | 0.356 | 0.203 | 0.406 | Distress Zone |
| 6 | SSTM | Sunson Textile Manufacture Tbk. | 0.226 | - 0.346 | 0.011 | 0.802 | 0.226 | 0.463 | Distress Zone |
| 7 | ERTX | Eratex Djaja Tbk. | 0.031 | 0.134 | 0.010 | 0.367 | 0.344 | 0.666 | Distress Zone |
| 8 | BELL | Trisula Textile Industries Tbk. | 0.205 | 0.150 | 0.008 | 0.445 | 0.253 | 0.739 | Distress Zone |
| 9 | ZONE | Mega Perintis Tbk. | 0.202 | 0.201 | - 0.007 | 0.880 | 0.176 | 0.838 | Distress Zone |
| 10 | INDR | Indo-Rama Synthetics Tbk. | 0.048 | 0.283 | 0.006 | 0.917 | 0.234 | 0.910 | Distress Zone |
| 11 | TRIS | Trisula International Tbk. | 0.279 | 0.075 | 0.008 | 1.129 | 0.273 | 1.034 | Distress Zone |
| 12 | UNIT | Nusantara Intri Corpora Tbk. | - 0.002 | 0.073 | 0.001 | 2.110 | 0.109 | 1.059 | Distress Zone |
| 13 | SRIL | Sri Rejeki Isman Tbk. | 0.463 | 0.268 | 0.019 | 0.645 | 0.200 | 1.089 | Distress Zone |
| 14 | PBRX | Pan Brothers Tbk. | 0.724 | 0.168 | 0.001 | 0.738 | 0.195 | 1.169 | Distress Zone |
| 15 | STAR | Star Petrochem Tbk. | 0.844 | 0.018 | 0.000 | 5.437 | 0.001 | 2.905 | Safe Zone |
| 16 | TFCO | Tifico Fiber Indonesia Tbk. | 0.271 | - 0.004 | 0.001 | 13.951 | 0.149 | 6.200 | Safe Zone |

Source: The author's own study, 2022

PT. Eratex Djaja Tbk. has a positive working capital, which means the current assets are sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T1 value, the company is only producing 3% of its working capital from the total of assets utilized by the company for its operations. T2 shows that the company can only generate retained earnings of 13% of total assets used by the company for its operations. T3 shows real productivity, which shows that the use of total assets capable of generating profit for the current period before tax is only 1%. Whereas T4 shows that the company is only able to fulfill its obligations of only 37%. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 34% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Eratex Djaja Tbk. produces a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of 0.667 where the value is below the $Z < 1.23$ cut-off point. This indicates that PT. Eratex Djaja Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a distress zone.

PT. Ever Shine Tex Tbk. has a positive working capital, which means the current assets are sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T1 score, the company is only producing 4% of its working capital from the total of assets utilized by the company for its operations. T2 has a negative score which indicates that the company is not able to generate a retained profit from the total of assets used for its operations. T3 has a negative score that shows real productivity, which shows that the use of total assets is not capable of generating retained profit for the current period before tax. Whereas T4 shows that the company is only able to fulfill its obligations of only 30%. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 14% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Ever Shine Tex Tbk. produces a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of -0.733 where the value is below the $Z < 1.23$ cut-off point. This indicates that PT. Ever Shine Tex Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a distress zone.

PT. Panasia Indo Resources Tbk. has a negative working capital, which means the current assets are not sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T1 value, the company has a negative score; this means that the total assets used by the company for its operations cannot generate working

capital. T2 has a negative score which indicates that the company cannot generate retained profit from the total assets used by the company for its operations. T3 has a negative score that shows real productivity, which shows that the use of total assets is not capable of generating retained profit for the current period before tax. Whereas T4 shows that the company is only able to fulfill its obligations of only 10%. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 1% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Panasia Indo Resources Tbk. produces a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of -4.429 where the value is below the $Z < 1.23$ cut-off point. This indicates that PT. Panasia Indo Resources Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a distress zone.

PT. Indo-Rama Synthetics Tbk. has a positive working capital, which indicates the current assets are sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T1 score, the company only produces 5% of the working capital of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T2 shows that the company is only able to generate retained profit of 28% of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T3 has a negative score that shows real productivity, that is, the use of total assets capable of generating profit for the current period before tax is only 1%. Whereas T4 shows that the company is only able to fulfill its obligations of 92%. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 23% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Indo-Rama Synthetics Tbk. produces a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of 0.910 where the value is below the $Z < 1.23$ cut-off point. This indicates that PT. Indo-Rama Synthetics Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a distress zone.

PT. Asia Pacific Investama Tbk. has a negative working capital, which means the current assets are not sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T1 value, the company has a negative score; this means that the total assets used by the company for its operations cannot generate working capital. While the negative T2 score indicates that the company cannot generate retained profit from the total assets used by the company for its operations. Similar to the negative T3 score, which means that the real productivity of the

company is bad, which indicates the use of total assets are not able to generate profits for the current period before tax. T4 indicates that the company is only able to fulfill its obligations of 10%. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 13% of their total sales of total assets. PT Asia Pacific Investama Tbk. produces a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of 0.550 where the value is below the $Z < 1.23$ cut-off point. This indicates that PT. Asia Pacific Investama Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a distress zone.

PT. Pan Brothers Tbk. has a positive working capital, which indicates the current assets are sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T1 score, the company only produces 72% of the working capital of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T2 shows that the company is only able to generate retained profit of 17% of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T3 shows real productivity, which indicates the use of total assets capable of generating profit for the current period before tax is only 0.1%. Whereas T4 shows that the company is only able to fulfill its obligations of 74%. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 20% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Pan Brothers Tbk. produces a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of 1.169 where the value is below the $Z < 1.23$ cut-off point. This indicates that PT. Pan Brothers Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a distress zone.

PT. Asia Pacific Fibers Tbk. has a negative working capital, which means the current assets are not sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T1 score, the company has a negative score; this means that the total assets used by the company for its operations cannot generate working capital. While the negative T2 score indicates that the company cannot generate retained profit from the total assets used by the company for its operations. T3 indicates real productivity, which shows that the use of total assets capable of generating profit for the current period before tax is only 2%. T4 has a negative score which indicates that the company is not able to fulfill its obligations. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only

generate 37% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Asia Pacific Fibers Tbk. produces a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of -10.612 where the value is below the $Z < 1.23$ cut-off point. This indicates that PT. Asia Pacific Fibers Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a distress zone.

PT. Ricky Putra Globalindo Tbk. has a positive working capital, which indicates the current assets are sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T1 score, the company only produces 15% of the working capital of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T2 shows that the company is only able to generate retained profit of 3% of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T3 has a negative score which indicates real productivity, that is, the use of total assets is not able to generate profits for the current period before tax. Whereas T4 shows that the company is only able to fulfill its obligations of 36%. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 20% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Ricky Putra Globalindo Tbk. produces a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of 0.406 where the value is below the $Z < 1.23$ cut-off point. This indicates that PT. Ricky Putra Globalindo Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a distress zone.

PT. Sri Rejeki Isman Tbk. has a positive working capital, which indicates the current assets are sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T1 score, the company only produces 46% of the working capital of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T2 shows that the company is only able to generate retained profit of 27% of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T3 indicates real productivity, which shows that the use of total assets capable of generating profit for the current period before tax is only 2%. Whereas T4 shows that the company is only able to fulfill its obligations of 65%. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 20% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Sri Rejeki Isman Tbk. produces a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of 1.089 where the value is below the $Z < 1.23$ cut-off point. This indicates that PT. Sri Rejeki Isman Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a distress zone.

PT. Sunson Textile Manufacture Tbk. has a positive working capital, which indicates the current assets are sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T1 score, the company only produces 23% of the working capital of the total assets used by the company for its operations. While the negative T2 score indicates that the company cannot generate retained profit from the total assets used by the company for its operations. T3 indicates real productivity, which shows that the use of total assets capable of generating profit for the current period before tax is only 1%. Whereas T4 shows that the company is only able to fulfill its obligations of 80%. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 23% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Sunson Textile Manufacture Tbk. produces a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of 0.463 where the value is below the $Z < 1.23$ cut-off point. This indicates that PT. Sunson Textile Manufacture Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a distress zone.

PT. Star Petrochem Tbk. has a positive working capital, which indicates the current assets are sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T1 score, the company only produces 84% of the working capital of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T2 shows that the company is only able to generate retained profit of 2% of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T3 has a score of 0 which indicates real productivity, that is, the use of total assets is not able to generate profits for the current period before tax. Whereas T4 that have a score of more than 100% indicates that the company is very capable of fulfilling its obligations. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 0.1% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Star Petrochem Tbk. produces a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of 2.905 where the value is above the $Z < 1.23$ cut-off point. This indicates that PT. Star Petrochem Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a safe zone.

PT. Tifico Fiber Indonesia Tbk. has a positive working capital, which indicates the current assets are sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T1 score, the company only produces 27% of the working capital of the total assets used by the company

for its operations. T2 has a negative score which indicates that the company cannot generate retained earnings from the total assets used by the company for its operations. T3 shows real productivity, that is, the use of total assets is only able to generate profits for the current period before tax of 0.1%. Whereas T4 that have a score of more than 100% indicates that the company is very capable of fulfilling its obligations. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 15% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Tifico Fiber Indonesia Tbk. produces a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of 6.2 where the value is above the Z cut-off point > 2.905 . This indicates that PT. Tifico Fiber Indonesia Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a safe zone.

PT. Trisula International Tbk. has a positive working capital, which indicates the current assets are sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T1 score, the company only produces 28% of the working capital of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T2 shows that the company is only able to generate retained profit of 8% of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T3 shows real productivity, that is, the use of total assets is only able to generate profit for the current period before tax of 1%. Whereas T4 that has a value of more than 100% indicates that the company is very capable of fulfilling its obligations. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 27% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Trisula International Tbk. produces a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of 1.034 where the value is below the Z cut-off point < 1.23 . This indicates that PT. Trisula International Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a distress zone.

PT. Nusantara Inti Corpora Tbk. has a negative working capital, which indicates the current assets are not sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, seen from the T1 score, the company has a negative value, meaning that the total assets used by the company for its operations cannot generate working capital. T2 shows that the company is only able to generate retained profit of 7% of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T3 shows real productivity, that is, the use of total assets is only able to generate profit for the current period

before tax of 0.1%. Whereas T4 that have a score of more than 100% indicates that the company is very capable of fulfilling its obligations. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 11% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Nusantara Inti Corpora Tbk. . produced a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of 1.059 where the value was below the $Z < 1.23$ cut-off point. This indicates that PT. Nusantara Inti Corpora Tbk. in the first quarter of 2020 is in a distress zone.

PT. Mega Perintis Tbk. has a positive working capital, which indicates the current assets are sufficient to cover their current debt. In addition, as seen from the T1 score, the company only produces 20% of the working capital of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T2 shows that the company is only able to generate retained profit of 20% of the total assets used by the company for its operations. T3 has a negative score which indicates real productivity, namely the use of total assets is not able to generate profit for the current period before tax. Then T4 shows that the company is only able to fulfill its obligations by 88%. Meanwhile, T5 shows the severity of the Covid-19 impact on company sales in the first quarter of 2020, for the company can only generate 18% of their total sales of total assets. PT. Mega Perintis Tbk. Produced a Z-Score value based on calculations using the Altman Z-Score method of 0.838 where the value was below the $Z < 1.23$ cut-off point. This indicates that PT. Mega Perintis Tbk. . in the first quarter of 2020 is in a distress zone.

5. CONCLUSION

A case study using multiple discriminant analysis models conducted in the first quarter of 2020 reveals a significant impact of Covid-19 on the financial condition of companies listed on the IDX in the textile and garment industry, with 88 percent of companies in a stress zone. Specifically, 14 companies are included in the distress zone, with PT. Asia Pacific Fibers Tbk. having the most severe condition and the highest negative Z score. There are two companies in the safe zone, with PT. Tifico Fiber Indonesia Tbk. having the best condition and the highest positive Z score. This case study demonstrates how both internal and external factors can lead to a company's demise. As a result, corporate financial management decision-making must

consider the company's liquidity, debt proportion, and the efficient use of working capital. Furthermore, companies in distress are more concerned with the proportion of debt and the balance of current assets and current liabilities. These factors are critical in generating working capital in order to increase profits, which will lead to an increase in share prices.

The case study analysis in this study is at least capable of providing a comprehensive illustration of financial distress conditions in Indonesian textile and garment sector companies. As a result, the findings of this study can be applied not only by researchers, but also by practitioners interested in cases of financial distress. One of the study's limitations is that the sample is still limited to the research scope, which only covers the two sectors. Furthermore, this study only employs a single model of financial distress. As a result, it is hoped that in the future, research will be conducted with various types of company sectors and using various financial distress models.

DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author sincerely thanks all those who were involved in and contributed with the research and writing of this publication, even more so the National University for their assistance. All authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in the publication of this article.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Haralayya B. Financial Statement Analysis of Shri Ram City Union Finance. *Iconic Res Eng J.* 2021;4(12):183–96.

2. Lassala C, Apetrei A, Sapena J. Sustainability matter and financial performance of companies. *Sustainability*. 2017;9(9):1498.
3. Osadchy EA, Akhmetshin EM, Amirova EF, Bochkareva TN, Gazizyanova Y, Yumashev AV. Financial statements of a company as an information base for decision-making in a Transforming Economy; 2018.
4. Setyawati I, Molina, Muhani, Karyatun S, Dipa T, Awaludin, et al. Stability And Resilience of Islamic Banking System: A Closer Look at The Macroeconomic Effects. *Qual - Access Success*. 2022;23:295–304.
5. Pindado J, Rodrigues L, de la Torre C. Estimating financial distress likelihood. *J Bus Res*. 2008;61(9):995–1003.
6. Sayari N, Muga CS. Industry specific financial distress modeling. *BRQ Bus Res Q*. 2017;20(1):45–62.
7. Subiyanto B, Awaludin DT, Demolingo RH, Ifani R, Wiweka K. Exploring the effect of corporate social responsibility, leverage, and intellectual capital on financial performance (empirical evidence from banking sector companies period 2015-2019). *Int J Manag Innov Entrep Res*. 2021 Jul 10;7(1):01–11.
8. Karyatun S, Muis M, Munir AR, Sumardi S, Wiweka K. Indonesia Tourism Enterprises' Stock Returns Research: Looking Back and Moving Forward. *Univers J Account Finance*. 2022 Mar;10(2):527–37.
9. Crespí-Cladera R, Martín-Oliver A, Pascual-Fuster B. Financial distress in the hospitality industry during the Covid-19 disaster. *Tour Manag*. 2021;85:104301.
10. Roll S, Despard M. Income Loss and Financial Distress during COVID-19: The Protective Role of Liquid Assets; 2020. Available SSRN 3733862.
11. Yu D, Anser MK, Peng MYP, Nassani AA, Askar SE, Zaman K, et al. Nationwide Lockdown, Population Density, and Financial Distress Brings Inadequacy to Manage COVID-19: Leading the Services Sector into the Trajectory of Global Depression. In: *Healthcare*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. 2021;220.
12. Wachyuni SS, Wiweka K. The changes in food consumption behavior: A rapid observational study of COVID-19 PANDEMIC. *Int J Manag Innov Entrep Res*. 2020 Oct 24;6(2):77–87.
13. Ameliawati M, Setiyani R. The influence of financial attitude, financial socialization, and financial experience to financial management behavior with financial literacy as the mediation variable. *KnE Soc Sci*. 2018;811–32.
14. Brigham EF, Houston JF. *Fundamentals of financial management*. Cengage Learning; 2021.
15. Musthafa H, SE M. *Manajemen Keuangan*. Penerbit Andi; 2017.
16. Setia Mulyawan S. *Manajemen Keuangan*. Pustaka Setia; 2015.
17. Wintoro D. Eksploratori Tujuan Manajemen Keuangan Bisnis Hijau. *J Keuang Dan Perbank*. 2012;16(1).
18. Gemar G, Soler IP, Guzman-Parra VF. Predicting bankruptcy in resort hotels: a survival analysis. *Int J Contemp Hosp Manag*. 2019;
19. Horak J, Vrbka J, Suler P. Support vector machine methods and artificial neural networks used for the development of bankruptcy prediction models and their comparison. *J Risk Financ Manag*. 2020;13(3):60.
20. Li Z, Crook J, Andreeva G, Tang Y. Predicting the risk of financial distress using corporate governance measures. *Pac-Basin Finance J*. 2020;101334.
21. Arista MT. Analisis perbandingan metode altman z-score dan springate dalam memprediksi financial distress (studi empiris pada perusahaan food and beverage yang terdaftar di BEI periode 2014-2016) [PhD Thesis]. Universitas Bangka Belitung; 2018.
22. Bae JK. Predicting financial distress of the South Korean manufacturing industries. *Expert Syst Appl*. 2012;39(10):9159–65.
23. Opler TC, Titman S. Financial distress and corporate performance. *J Finance*. 1994; 49(3):1015–40.
24. Whitaker RB. The early stages of financial distress. *J Econ Finance*. 1999;23(2):123–32.
25. Altman EI. Predicting financial distress of companies: revisiting the Z-score and ZETA® models. In: *Handbook of research methods and applications in empirical finance*. Edward Elgar Publishing; 2013.
26. Pozzoli M, Paolone F. The Models of Financial Distress. In: *Corporate Financial Distress*. Springer. 2017;11–28.

27. Jonker J, Pennink B. The essence of research methodology: A concise guide for master and PhD students in management science. Springer Science & Business Media; 2010.
28. Rahardjo M. Studi kasus dalam penelitian kualitatif: konsep dan prosedurnya. 2017.
29. Sugiyono. Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif dan Kualitatif. Bandung : Alfabeta.; 2015.
30. Sugiyono P. Metode Penelitian Manajemen(Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, Kombinasi (Mixed Methods), Penelitian Tindakan (Action Research, dan Penelitian Evaluasi). Bandung: Alfabeta Cv; 2016.

© 2022 Muhani et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/87299>