

Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry

Volume 9, Issue 4, Page 327-336, 2023; Article no.AJRAF.110763 ISSN: 2581-7418

Unlocking the Economic Potentials of Leafy Vegetable: A Case Study of Farmers in Akoko South West Local Government Area of Ondo State, Nigeria

Olanrewaju Peter Oladoyin ^a, Ademola Adegoroye ^b, Adeyose Emmanuel Akinbola ^{a*} and Emmanuel Adesina Borokini ^c

^a Department of Agricultural Economics, Adekunle Ajasin University, P.M.B. 001, Akungba-Akoko, Ondo State, Nigeria.

^b Department of Finance, Kent State University, Ambassador Crawford College of Business, Kent, Ohio, USA.
^c Department of Agricultural Extension and Management, Rufus Giwa Polytechnic, Owo, Ondo State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJRAF/2023/v9i4262

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110763

> Received: 09/10/2023 Accepted: 16/12/2023 Published: 23/12/2023

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

This study analysed the economic potentials of leafy vegetable among farmers in Akoko South West Local Government Area of Ondo State, Nigeria. Primary data were used for this study with a well- structured questionnaire and personal interview for the collection of the data with a sample

*Corresponding author: E-mail: eaakinbola21@gmail.com;

Asian J. Res. Agric. Forestry, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 327-336, 2023

size of 150 farmers. The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, budgetary technique and multiple regression analysis. The analysis of the data showed that about two-third of the farmers were male,74.7% married and the majority (58.7%) of the respondents were in their economic active age (41-50 years). Majority (94%) of the farmers were educated with the average farming experience of 14 years. The results also revealed that the major types of vegetables available in the study area were; Africa spinach, Pumpkin, water leaf, jute leaf and bitter leaf. The result on return on investment was 2.31, implying that for every N1 invested on vegetable production, there is a return of N2.31kobo which is an indication that the business is worthwhile. The study also revealed the gender, age of farmers, marital status, level of education and household size were the factors that significantly and positively influence leafy vegetable output in the area. The most serious problems encountered by the vegetable farmers were; inadequate capital, unstable price of vegetables, the incidence of pest, pilfering and theft and inadequate information. Thus, it is recommended that agricultural credit should be provided for vegetable farmers to boost vegetable production.

Keywords: Budgetary technique; leafy vegetable; production; profitability; socioeconomics; Nigeria.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Vegetable crops such as Africa Spinach (Amaranthus cruentus), Pumpkin leaf (Telfaria occidentalis), Water leaf (Talinum triangulare), Jute leaf (Corchorus oitorius), Bitter leaf (Vernomia amygdalina) are produced in different agro-ecological zones by commercial as well as small scale farmers both as a source of income as well as food. Vegetable is one of the important diets in Nigeria, traditional meal without it is assumed to be incomplete" [1]. "Vegetables crop are grown in many part of the world contributing significantly to income, security and the nutritive value of many households. In Nigeria, vegetable production has been on-going for decades, providing employment and income for the increasing population especially during the long dry season. However, production is constrained by inadequate infrastructure, agronomic and socioeconomic variables" [2,3]. Vegetable crops are grown in many parts of the world contributing significantly to income security and the nutritive diet of many households. According to Olutumise [1]; Ejoh et al. [4], "vegetable production is of great economic importance in the agricultural sector as its value chain can provide income and reduces poverty and unemployment" [5,6]. Vegetable production has the potential to increase food security [7,8] and create employment [9]. "Small holders' farmers made up large number of vegetable producers in Nigeria. Insufficient intake of fruits and vegetables is estimated cause around to 14% of gastrointestinal cancer deaths, about 11% of ischemic heart disease deaths and about 9% of strokes death" [10]. "The World Health Organization recommended consumption of 400g of fruits and vegetables daily to maintain good health" [11]. Nigeria has a high potential

and positive comparative advantage for vegetable production. Considerable evidence suggest that serious bottlenecks exist in the functioning of the production system in Nigeria. Adegoroye et al. [3]; Ndlovu and Ndlovu [12] attributed "this situation to socioeconomic constraint surrounding the key actors in the vegetable value chain". "Vegetables are also highly perishable as they start to lose their quality right after harvest and continued throughout the process until it is consumed" [13]. "Nigeria has a hiah potential and positive comparative advantage for vegetable production. In Nigeria, vegetable is among the most important crops, but poor seed supply, inefficient marketing system, and low investment have limited its production" [1,14]. In view of these factors, it is important to understand the farmers' levels of production and its relationship with factors which can greatly improve the production of vegetable. Thus, this can be achieved through investigating into performance and the socioeconomics of leafy vegetable farmers and other factors influencing vegetable production. The specific objectives for this study were to describe the socioeconomic characteristics of the leafy vegetable farmers in the study area; identify the major types of leafy vegetables available in the study area; determine profitability of leafy vegetable production in the study area; examine the factors affecting leafy vegetable production in the study area; and identify the major constraints militating leafy vegetable production in the study area.

2. METHODOLOGY

The study was carried out in Akoko South West Local Government in Ondo State, in the South-Western part of Nigeria. Akoko generally, is a large Yoruba cultural sub-group in the north eastern part of Yoruba land. Akoko land extends from Ondo State to Edo State. It has a population of about 815360, with a land area of 1,283,443 km² and with the coordinates of 7º 28º North and 5°44° East. Akoko land takes a large percentage of the Local Government in Ondo state; it takes 4 out of the 18 local government areas in the state. The local Government Areas include; Akoko North East, Akoko South West, Akoko North West and Akoko South East. The major occupation there is farming and majority of the people in the district engage in small and largescale farming with major arable crops cultivated. Some of the crops grown include; groundnut, vegetable, tomatoes, maize, cocoa, cassava, yam, plantain etc.

Primary data were used for this study. The data were collected from the field survey through the administration of a well-structured questionnaire. The questionnaire was divided into two sections, the first section included questions related to the socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents, while second sections involved questions related to the production of vegetables. A multistage sampling techniques was used for the study. The first stage involved purposive selection of Akoko South West Local Government Area out of the 18 Local Government Areas in Ondo State based on the preponderance of vegetable farmers. The second stage involved the random selection of five (5) communities from Akoko South Local Government Area. At the third stage, thirty (30) respondents were randomly selected from each of the five (5) Local Government Areas. Thus, giving a sample size of 150 vegetable farmers for the study. Data obtained from the field were subjected to descriptive and inferential statistics such as budgetary techniques and multivariate regression.

2.1 Model Specification

Budgetary techniques involved method of calculating gross margin, profit and Return on Investment (ROI) of vegetable production in Akoko South West Ondo State. The gross margin analysis was employed to estimate costs and returns of vegetable production in the study area. Gross Margin (GM) is defined as the difference between total revenue and total variable cost.

Mathematically, it is usually expressed as;

GM=TR - TVC

Where;

 $TR = Total revenue on vegetable production (<math>\Re$)

TVC = Total variable cost incurred on vegetable production (\bigstar)

Total Revenue (TR) is the product of output and the price of vegetable while the Total Variable Cost (TVC) is the aggregation of the costs of land preparation, planting materials, vegetable seeds, planting, weeding, harvesting and other cost incurred in the production. Return on Investment was obtained by dividing the Total revenue (TR) over Total cost.

Return on Investment (ROI) =
$$\frac{Total Revenue}{Total Cost}$$

Again, the production function was estimated using the Ordinary Least Square Regression Techniques. The Multiple regression analysis was used to identify socio-economic factors that affect vegetable production in the study area. The four functional forms were tried for the production function and the one that best satisfy the theoretical, statistical and economic criteria was selected as lead equation. The functional forms were Linear, Double, Logarithm, Semi logarithm and Exponential.

The regression model in implicit form is given as:

 $Y = (X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6 X_7 X_8 X_9)$

Explicitly, the models were represented as following.

Linear function: $Yi = b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + b_4X_4 + b_5X_5 + b_6X_6 + b_7X_7 + b_8X_8 + b_9X_{9+}Ui$

Semi-Log: $Yi = b_0 + b_{1\log}X_1 + b_{2\log}X_2 + b_{3\log}X_3 + b_{4\log}X_4 + b_{5\log}X_5 + b_{6\log}X_6 + b_{7\log}X_{7+} + b_{8\log}X_8 + b_{9\log}X_{9+} Ui$

Exponentials: Log Yi= $b_0 + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + b_4X_4 + b_5X_5 + b_6X_6 + b_7X_7 + b_8X_8 + b_9X_{9+}$ Ui

Double log: Log Yi = $b_0 + b_{1\log}X_1 + b_{2\log}X_2 + b_{3\log}X_3 + b_{4\log}X_4 + b_{5\log}X_5 + b_{6\log}X_6 + b_{7\log}X_7 + b_{8\log}X_8 + b_{9\log}X_{9+}$ Ui

Where:

Y= Vegetable Output (Naira) X₁ = Sex (male = 1; female = 0) X₂= Age (years) X_3 = Marital status (married = 1 and 0, otherwise)

X₄ = Household size (number)

 X_5 = Education (1 = educated, 0 = otherwise)

 X_6 = Access to credit (yes = 1 and 0, otherwise)

X₇ = Farmer size (ha)

 X_8 = Experience (Years)

 X_9 = Income from non farm activities (Naira)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Socioeconomic Characteristics of Vegetable Farmers

This section deals with the socioeconomic characteristics of the leafy vegetable farmers in the area (Table 1). "The results showed that male farmers (60%) are more than female counterparts. Sex determines the ability to perform some physical work. It is generally believed that men are more efficient in activities than women" [3,15,16]. "Perhaps is because they are more energetic and can handle more tedious work than their female counterpart. This is in fact, the basis for comparing the sex of the farmers. By implication, there were more male involved in vegetable production in the study area than female" [1]. The higher the age of the respondents the higher their experience in farming (all things being equal) and this translates to more encounter with risks among older farmers than among younger farmers. The majority (58.7%) of the respondents were in their economic active age 41-50years, about 3.3% of them were 40 and below, while 38% of them were 51 years and above. This is in support of the findings of Nwaiwu and Esiobu [17] who noted that majority of vegetable farmers fall between their active age, which in turn enhance accurate, prompt and effective decision making. The result revealed that the population of the respondents that were married had the highest (74.7%) while single and widowed respondents accounted for 22.7% and 2.6%, respectively. "This is because a married farmer has more responsibilities in terms of taking care of the consumption needs of family and that of himself, unlike a single person whose income is for his maintenance and consumption" [18,19]. "Also, the use of informal insurance measures in managing risk is of more importance to the married farmers who may not like to take the formal risk in his production to avoid failure. The results revealed that the household size of vegetable producers ranged from 1 to 10 with a mean of 6. Furthermore, about 2.7% of the

farmers had an household size between 1 and 3 members, about 83.3% of them ranged between 4-6 members. While, 14.0% have a household member between 7-10. However, the mean household size of 6 individuals was beyond the national average of 5 reported by National Bureau of Statistics (NBS)" [20]. "High number of household size could be due to the fact that vegetable producers in the study area practice polygamy and having large household size is a source of pride and a compelling force to produce more output by the household head in the farms "[21]. Adeoye [22]; Ajayi and Olutumise reported that "production efficiency [23] increases with increase in household size Formal education is a widely known avenue for improving knowledge and rate of skill acquisition". "Formal education is also important in agricultural business because it determines the degree of adoption of innovation and new technologies" [8,24]. Educational attainment of farmers does not only raise agricultural productivity but also enhances farmers' ability to understand and evaluate information on new techniques and processes. Majority of the farmers were fairly educated. Only 6.0% had no any form of education. This means that the farmers had minimum level of education that could enable them to adopt modern agricultural technology and participate in food crop transformation agenda despite the fact that they are aging. The result is in line with the findings of Osuji et al. [25] who revealed that "vegetable farmers are fairly educated in his study carried out in Imo State, Nigeria. The result further revealed that majority of the farmers had between 1 and 2 acres of farm land in the study area. The mean farm size was 1.5acres". "A farmer's experience in farming determines the rate of his exposure to risks and use of risk management strategy" [26]. "A farmer who has spent many years in farming will have more experience than a farmer who have spent less time in farming. The average number of years spent by all the farmers was 14years. About 34.0% of the farmers had experience less than 10years, 39.3% also have experience ranging from 11-15years. Also, 19.3% of the vegetable farmers had between 16 and 20-years' experience, while only 7.3% had 21 or more years of experience. The years of farming experience shows that the farmers are relatively experienced and there is some level of specialization which would help in cost minimization and achieving greater efficiency" [23,27].

| Variables | Frequency | Percentage | Mean |
|----------------------------|-----------|------------|------|
| Gender | | | |
| Male | 90 | 60.0 | |
| Female | 60 | 40.0 | |
| Total | 150 | 100.0 | |
| Marital Status | | | |
| Single | 34 | 22.7 | |
| Married | 112 | 74.7 | |
| Widowed | 4 | 2.6 | |
| Total | 150 | 100.0 | |
| Age | | | |
| ≤ 40 | 5 | 3.3 | |
| 41-50 | 88 | 58.7 | |
| 51-60 | 39 | 26.0 | |
| > 61 | 18 | 12.0 | |
| Total | 150 | 100 | |
| Educational Status | | | |
| No formal education | 9 | 6.0 | |
| Primary school education | 59 | 39.3 | |
| Secondary school education | 67 | 44.7 | |
| Tertiary education | 15 | 10.0 | |
| Total | 150 | 100 | |
| Household Size | | | |
| ≤ 3 | 4 | 2.7 | |
| 4-6 | 125 | 83.3 | |
| ≥7 | 21 | 14.0 | |
| Total | 150 | 100.0 | |
| Experience | | | |
| ≤ 10 | 51 | 34.0 | |
| 11-15 | 59 | 39.3 | 14 |
| 16-20 | 29 | 19.3 | |
| >20 | 11 | 7.3 | |
| Total | 150 | 100.0 | |
| Farm Size | | | |
| ≤ 2 | 100 | 39.2 | |
| 2.1- 4.0 | 40 | 34.2 | 1.5 |
| 4.1-6.0 | 8 | 16.7 | |
| > 6.0 | 2 | 10.0 | |
| Total | 150 | 100.0 | |

Source: Field Survey,2023

3.2 Major Type of Leafy Vegetables in the Area

amygdalina) was ranked the least type of vegetable available in the study area.

The results in Table 2 revealed the distribution of the major types of vegetables available in the study area. The most common vegetable was Africa spinach (*Amaranthus cruentus*). This was followed by Pumpkin leaf (*Telfaria occidentalis*), which was ranked the second type of vegetable available in the area. About 73.3% of the farmers agreed Water leaf (*Talinum triangulare*) was available, 63.3% ranked Jute leaf (*Corchorus olitorius*) as the 4th, while Bitter leaf (*Vernonia*

3.3 Estimation of Leafy Vegetable Performance in the Area

The results in Table 3 showed that the costreturn structure of the smallholder leafy vegetable farmers in the study area. The net profit, which is the difference between total revenue and total cost was used to determine the profit (N58,600.39) of the farmers in the study area. Vegetable's farmers incurred several costs in the course of production. In the short run, these costs include both variable and fixed costs of production [28]. The total variable costs (H21,770.60) involved in vegetables production include labour, vegetable seed, fertilizer, transportation, herbicides, insecticides and seed costs. The fixed cost (N3,634.12) items were made up of depreciation values on farm equipment (e.g., knapsack spraver, cutlass, sack). The overall cost structure for an average vegetable's amounted to ¥25404.72. A positive Net Farm Income (NFI) showed that an enterprise is a profitable one and worth The result on return on continuing [29]. investment was 2.31, implying that for every H1 invested on leafy vegetable production, there is a return of N2.31kobo obtained which is an indication that the business is worthwhile.

3.4 Socioeconomic Factors Affecting Vegetable Production in the Area

The regression results as presented in Table 4 showed the socioeconomic factors affecting vegetable production. Out of the 9 variables 5 were significant and they were gender, age, marital status, education and non-farm income which contributed significantly and positively to the vegetable' production. Looking at the values of R-squared (0.76), the explanatory variables all together explained about 76% of the variation in vegetable production implying that the model has a good-fit.

The coefficient X_1 (Gender) had a statistical and positive influence on productivity, implying that the probability of being a male farmer will likely increase the farmer's production. This is in line with the findings of Olutumise et al. [1]; Oparinde et al. [30], who revealed that there is a direct relationship between being a male farmers and productivity. Furthermore, the coefficient X_2 (Age) had a positive and significant influence on the farmer's production in the study area. That is, an increase in the farmer's age, will increase his

production ceteris paribus. This was also noticed in the study of Adegoroye et al. [7]. The coefficient of the respondent marital status was significant and also positive at 1% probability level, implying the chance of being married increase production. The possible reason for this is that, being married tend to increase labour, thereby increasing production as reported by Olutumise et al. [31]. Furthermore, farmers level of education had a positive effect and significant at 1% probability level, implying that and increase in education of the respondent will likely increase his production ceteris paribus. Lastly, the non-farm income which is the money generated from non-farm activities or from secondary occupation also had a positive and significant effect on the vegetable farmers production. The result implies that a naira increase in income will increase vegetable production by the coefficient of 4.75.

3.5 Constraints to Vegetable Production in the Study Area

Table 5 revealed the distribution of constraints the farmers faced during their production. Inadequate capital stagnates the growth prospects of any business [6,32]. Inadequate capital was ranked 1st major challenge. Unstable price of vegetables was ranked second. It was revealed that more than two-thirds agreed that price fluctuation has been their major issue and has been limiting their production as well as their profitability. The third major constraint was the pests incidence of and diseases. In Africa, pilfering of farm produce has been identified as one of the challenges hampering the development of agriculture [2,33]. Incidence of pests and theft was ranked the fourth major challenge. This is also reported from the findings of Shaik et al. [34] on crop yield prediction using machine learning. Inadequate market information, unfavorable climatic condition and poor supply of vegetable seeds was ranked the fifth, sixth and seventh challenge respectively.

| Vegetables | Frequency | Percentage | Ranked |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|
| Africa spinach (Amaranthus cruentus) | 131 | 87.3 | 1 st |
| Pumpkin leaf (Telfaria occidentalis) | 129 | 86.0 | 2 nd |
| Water leaf (Talinum triangulare) | 110 | 73.3 | 3 rd |
| Jute leaf (Corchorus olitorius) | 95 | 63.3 | 4 th |
| Bitter leaf (Vernonia amygdalina) | 79 | 52.7 | 5 th |

Source: Field Survey, 2023

| Items | Value (N) | Percentage of Total Cost |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Variable | | |
| Seed | 2,023.20 | 7.96 |
| Transportation | 2,432.45 | 9.57 |
| Fertilizer | 2,839.12 | 11.18 |
| Labour | 12,535.60 | 49.34 |
| Agrochemicals | 1,940.23 | 7.64 |
| (A) Total Variable Cost | 21,770.60 | 85.70 |
| Depreciation on Fixed Items | | |
| Farm Equipment's | 480.12 | 1.89 |
| Land | 3,154.00 | 12.42 |
| (B) Total Fixed Cost | 3,634.12 | 14.30 |
| (C) Total Cost (A+B) | 25,404.72 | 100.00 |
| (D) Revenue | 84,005.11 | |
| (E) Net Revenue (D-C) | 58,600.39 | |
| Gross Margin (D-A) | 62,234.51 | |
| Return on Investment (E/C) | 2.31 | |

Table 3. Cost and return of the vegetable farmers

Source: Field Survey, 2023

Table 4. Regression result showing the factors affecting leafy vegetable production

| Variables | Coefficient | Std error | T-value | P-value |
|-----------------------|-------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| (Constant) | 94043.106 | 26502.445 | 3.548 | .001** |
| Gender | 13592.259 | 6054.357 | -2.245 | .027** |
| Age | 3538.300 | 643.953 | -5.495 | .001*** |
| Marital status | 81415.996 | 13166.775 | 6.183 | .010*** |
| Household size | -4003.563 | 2938.016 | -1.363 | .175 |
| Educational Status | 28809.300 | 4457.495 | 6.463 | .005*** |
| Access to Credit | -12635.537 | 7785.367 | -1.623 | .107 |
| Farm size | -19.152 | 25.106 | 763 | .447 |
| Experience | 476.412 | 772.799 | .616 | .539 |
| Income | 4.735 | 1.246 | 3.801 | .001*** |
| R ² = 0.79 | | | | |

F-value = 15.53

Table 5. Distribution of the respondent based on their constraint

| Constraint | Ve seri | ery ious | Serious | | Μ | Mild Not at all | | Mean | Ranked | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------|---------|------|----------|-----------------|------|------|--------|-----------------|
| | Freq | Perc | Freq | Perc | Freq | Perc | Freq | Perc | | |
| Inadequate Capital | 75 | 50.0 | 46 | 30.7 | 12 | 8.0 | 17 | 11.3 | 3.19 | 1 st |
| Unstable price of vegetable | 33 | 22.0 | 71 | 47.3 | 29 | 19.3 | 17 | 11.3 | 2.80 | 2 nd |
| Incidence of pest and disease | 11 | 7.3 | 72 | 48.0 | 52 | 34.7 | 15 | 10.0 | 2.53 | 3 rd |
| Incidence of pilfering and theft | 4 | 2.7 | 80 | 53.3 | 51 | 34.0 | 15 | 10.0 | 2.49 | 4 th |
| Inadequate market information | 0 | 0.0 | 90 | 60.0 | 34 | 22.7 | 26 | 17.3 | 2.43 | 5 th |
| Unfavorable climatic condition | 4 | 2.7 | 63 | 42.0 | 66 | 44.0 | 17 | 11.3 | 2.36 | 6 th |
| Poor supply of vegetable seeds | 1 | 0.7 | 66 | 44.0 | 57 | 38.0 | 26 | 17.3 | 2.28 | 7 th |
| | | | ~ | | <u> </u> | | | | | |

Source: Field Survey, 2023

Dependent variable = Leafy vegetable output in Naira *** = significant at 1%; ** = significant at 5%

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDA-TIONS

The result of this study concluded that gender, age, marital status, education and household income significantly and positively influence leafy vegetables output in the area. Furthermore, vegetable farming is noted to be a profitable venture in the area with a return to investment of 2.31. The study also indicates that input costs like fertilizer cost and labour cost comprise large share of the total variable costs involved in vegetable. Even though farmers in the study area realized a profit in vegetable production, especially in the study area, they still encounter various types of production, marketing, and financial problems. Accordingly, on the basis of one of the research questions regarding problems encountered by vegetable farmers, the most serious of these include: inadequate capital, unstable price of vegetables, the incidence of pests, pilfering and theft, and information. Therefore inadequate it is recommended that agricultural credit should be provided for vegetable farmers to boost production. The government can as well establish policy that will stabilize the prices of vegetables in the market and also subside the prices of farm inputs such as agrochemical and fertilizers. This will boost production and accrue more income for the farmers. Also, more females and youths could be encouraged by giving incentives and creating conducive environment for production. Since education contributes significantly to the production of leafy vegetables in the area, it will be advised if government through extension agents educate the farmers on vegetable production through workshops, training and demonstration. This will help farmers to adopt innovations and technologies in their farming activities.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Olutumise AI. Determinants of market participation and preference for production of pepper (*Capsicum* spp.) among farmers in southwest, Nigeria. Heliyon. 2022;8(9):e10585.
- 2. Sabo A, Zira DN. Socio-economic determinants of vegetable production in rural Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural

Economics, Extension and Rural Development. 2018;2(2):199-206.

- Adegoroye A, Olubunmi-Ajayi TS, Akinbola AE, Oguntuase DT. Socioeconomic and performance of agripreneurs: A case study of dried melon value chain in Owo local government of Ondo State, Nigeria. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research. 2023; 5(12):851-862.
- Ejoh SI, Wireko-Manu FD, Page D, Renard CM. Traditional green leafy vegetables as underutilised sources of micronutrients in a rural farming community in south-west Nigeria I: estimation of vitamin C, carotenoids and mineral contents. South African Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2021; 34(2):40-45.
- 5. Schreinemachers P, Simmons EB, Wopereis MC. Tapping the economic and nutritional power of vegetables. Global food security. 2018;16:36-45.
- Yahaya A, John SA, Adegoroye A, Olorunfemi OA. Stock market liquidity and volatility on the Nigerian Exchange Limited (NGX). World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews. 2023;20(03):147-156.
- Adegoroye A, Olutumise AI, Aturamu OA. Determinants of food security status and coping strategies to food insecurity among rural crop farming households in Ondo State, Nigeria. European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety. 2021;13(7):39-50.
- Ogunyemi AI, Olutumise AI, Adegoroye A. 8. The extent of vulnerability to food insecurity and household copina strategies: Case of yam farmers in Ekiti State. Nigeria. Turkish Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology. 2022;10(10):1921-1928.
- Abiodun TC, Olutumise AI, Ojo SO. Evaluation of choices of livelihood strategy and livelihood diversity of rural households in Ondo State, Nigeria. Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities. 2019;5(1):17-24.
- Abate TM, Dessie AB, Mekie TM. Technical efficiency of smallholder farmers in red pepper production in North Gondar zone Amhara regional state, Ethiopia. Journal of Economic Structures. 2019;8 (1):1-18.
- Adebiyi JA, Olabisi LS, Richardson R, Liverpool-Tasie LSO, Delate K. Drivers and constraints to the adoption of organic leafy vegetable production in Nigeria: A

livelihood approach. Sustainability. 2019; 12(1):96.

- 12. Ndlovu T, Ndlovu S. The Primacy of People's Socio-Economic Issues as Antecedents of sustainability framing in Africa. In management and leadership for a sustainable Africa, Dimensions, Practices and Footprints. Cham: Springer International Publishing. 2022;1:37-57.
- 13. Valenzuela JL. Advances in postharvest preservation and quality of fruits and vegetables. Foods. 2023;12(9):1830.
- Umeh OA, Ojiako FO. Limitations of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) production for nutrition security in Southeast Nigeria. International Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development. 2018;21(1):3437-3443.
- Olutumise AI, Bankole AS, Olutumise BO, Aturamu OA. Gender differential in allocative efficiency of oil palm processors in Southwest, Nigeria. Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences. 2023;44(2):327-336.
- Oparinde LO, Olutumise AI, Adegoroye A. Does agroforestry technology adoption affect income inequality among arable crop farmers in Southwest, Nigeria? A Gender Perspective. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2023;39(4):848-860.
- Nwaiwu JC, Esiobu NS. Analysis of vegetable production among rural women farmers in Imo State Nigeria. GPH-International Journal of Agriculture and Research. 2022;5(05):01-09.
 E-ISSN 2805-4362 P-ISSN 2805-4340
- 18. Olutumise AI, Ijigbade JO, Aturamu OA. Marketers' conduct and profitability as a response to sustainable livelihood: The example of bush mango kernels (*Irvingia spp.*) in Ondo State, Nigeria. Small-scale Forestry. 2023;1-18.
- Badamosi AP, Olutumise AI, Olukoya OP, 19. OA. Adegoroye Α, Aturamu Socioeconomic impacts of flooding and its coping strategies in Nigeria: Evidence from community, Gwagwalada Dagiri area council of Abuja. Natural Hazards Research; 2023. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nhres.20 23.09.010
- 20. NBS. Annual Abstract of Statistics; 2010. Available:https://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng/p dfuploads/ABS%202010.pdf
- 21. Olutumise AI. Transportation system and output market participation nexus among yam producers in southwest region of Nigeria. Scientific Paper Series

Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development. 2020; 20(4):365–379.

- 22. Adeoye IB. Factors affecting efficiency of vegetable production in Nigeria: A review. Agricultural economics. 2020;1(1): 14.
- Ajayi CO, Olutumise AI. Determinants of food security and technical efficiency of cassava farmers in Ondo State, Nigeria. International Food and Agribusiness Management Review. 2018;21(7):915– 928.
- 24. Olutumise AI, Abiodun TC, Ekundayo BP. Diversification of livelihood and food security nexus among rural households in Ondo State, Nigeria. Journal of Rural Economics and Development (JRED). 2021;23(1):23-32.
- 25. Osuji MN, Mejeha RO, Simonyan JB, Gbolagun AS. Determinants of enterprise preference of cassava-based farmers by gender in Southeast, Nigeria. Research Journal of Food and Nutrition. 2018;2 (4):45-52.
- 26. Olutumise AI. Intensity of adaptations to heat stress in poultry farms: A behavioural analysis of farmers in Ondo state, Nigeria. Journal of Thermal Biology. 2023;103614.
- 27. Obinne UG, Okon EU, Chinelo OO. Monetary policy transmission paths and money supply in Sub-Saharan Africa: Evidence from Nigeria and Ghana. International Journal of Business Management and Finance Research. 2021;4(2):55-74.
- Oseni JO, Olutumise AI, Olutumise BO. Performance evaluation of cocoa marketing in Osun State, Nigeria. Journal of Perspectives on Financing and Regional Development. 2018;6(1):97–112.
- 29. Bobola OM, Afolayan JA, Olutumise AI. Market inequality and performance of gari marketing in Ondo State, Nigeria. Applied Tropical Agriculture. 2019;24(1): 23–32.
- Oparinde LO, Olutumise AI, Adegoroye A. Does Agroforestry technology adoption affect income inequality among arable crop farmers in Southwest, Nigeria? A Gender Perspective. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2023;39(4):848-860.
- 31. Olutumise AI. Impact of credit on the climate adaptation utilization among food crop farmers in Southwest, Nigeria: application of endogenous treatment

Poisson regression model. Agricultural and Food Economics. 2023;11(1):7.

- 32. Ajibade YE, Oyibo FO, Ameh OE, Enimola MO. Analysis of gender roles in tomato production in municipal area council, Abuja, Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Science and Practice. 2021;6(1):1-12.
- 33. Olutumise AI, Adene CI, Ajibefun IA, Amos TT. Adoption of improved technologies and

profitability of the catfish processors in Ondo State, Nigeria: A Cragg's doublehurdle model approach. Scientific African. 2020;10:e00576.

 Shaik MA, Manoharan G, Prashanth B, Akhil N, Akash A, Reddy TRS. Prediction of crop yield using machine learning. In AIP Conference Proceedings. AIP Publishing. 2022;2418(1).

© 2023 Oladoyin et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110763