



Snake Venom Disintegrins: Natural Products with Antitumor Effect

Ifigeneia Marinou¹, Dimitrios G. Koudoumas² and Evangelos Marinos^{1*}

¹Laboratory of Biology, Medical School, University of Athens, Athens, Greece.

²Department of Surgery, Division of Vascular Surgery, SUNY at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author IM designed the review and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author DGK managed the literature searches, clinical information and case reports, author EM collected the data, mentored and was responsible for the final form of the article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JSRR/2015/17699

Editor(s):

(1) Amit Balakrishnan, School of Pharmacy, University of Maryland, Baltimore, USA.

Reviewers:

(1) Rodrigo Crespo Mosca, Energetic and Nuclear Research Institute (IPEN/CNEN – SP) CTR - Radiation Technology Center and CB – Biotechnology Center, University of São Paulo, Brazil.

(2) Anonymous, Jamia Millia Islamia (Central University), India.

(3) José Eduardo Vargas, Department of Pediatrics, Pontifical University Catholic do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?id=1126&id=22&aid=9057>

Mini-review Article

Received 24th March 2015

Accepted 16th April 2015

Published 30th April 2015

ABSTRACT

Cancer is a leading cause of disease globally. Treatment methods combine radiation therapy, surgery, novel drug chemotherapy and recently, nano drugs. During the past few years, the venoms of various vipers that selectively block the function of integrin receptors have been employed as potential drugs to fight cancer by inhibiting tumor progression. Special attention has been paid to disintegrins which represent an important constituent of viper venoms. Recent developments in evaluating disintegrin applications in *therapies* are discussed as well as the potential of using disintegrins in the future as antitumor agents.

Keywords: Disintegrins; integrins; anticancer agents; snake venoms.

1. INTRODUCTION

According to incidence statistics for cancer worldwide, there is an increasing rate of cancer

cases around the world. It is predicted there will be 23.6 million new cancer cases worldwide each year by 2030, if recent trends in incidence of major cancers continue to rise [1,2].

*Corresponding author: Email: emarinos@med.uoa.gr;

Suggestions have been made for synthesizing effective and more safe as well as human friendly anticancer agents [3,4] or re-examining existing drugs as potential future anticancer agents [5]. Cancer is characterized by an accelerated and uncontrolled multiplication of transformed, aberrant cells which lose their apoptotic ability [6]. It is a multigenic and multicellular disease that can arise from almost all cell types and organs with multifactorial etiology. There are many reasons of the increasing number of cancer patients and attempts have been made to describe various factors (modernizations) responsible for producing different types of cancers in humans [7-9].

Various therapies such as chemotherapy, radiotherapy, surgery and immunotherapy have been used to treat cancer, but chemotherapy remains the most prevalent option. The drawback of this approach is that many patients become resistant after some time [10]. Therefore new approaches have been considered such as the use of natural products and nanoparticle based drugs that seem to be quite effective providing low side effects and targeted action on cancer cells [11-13].

Disintegrins have increasingly been used, especially those derived from vipers as anti-tumor agents exhibiting a particular affinity for integrins and appearing to represent a potential option for fighting cancer cells [14].

2. INTEGRINS AND CANCER

Integrins play a major role in many steps of the carcinogenesis progression. They are dimeric adhesion receptors that mediate cellular attachment to the extracellular matrix (ECM) or to adjacent cells. All integrins are alpha/beta (α/β) heterodimers and various pairings of α and β subunits confer to specificity towards distinct ECM proteins. Different α subunits may combine with the same β subunit and conversely different β subunits are capable of pairing with a particular α subunit [15,16]. Their heterodimeric composition generally confers to ligand specificity, according to which they are classified in four classes, recognizing various motifs but mostly in general the sequence-Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) [17].

The binding of ECM components with integrins on the cell surface, activates integrins aggregation and interaction with cytoskel et al.

elements, resulting in the formation of focal adhesions [18]. The latter, when formed, trigger a series of intracellular signaling essential for cell adhesion, spreading, migration, proliferation, survival and differentiation [19]. Several observations indicate that the changes in integrin activation state as well as the alteration in the level of expression of integrins or their ECM ligands, contribute to neoplastic progression [20]. For example, the level of expression of integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$, a vitronectin receptor that binds to extracellular matrix molecules via an RGD-binding site [21] in melanoma and other cancers, is proportional to the invasiveness of the tumors [22].

In breast cancer high expression of activated $\alpha_v\beta_3$ but not that of non-activated $\alpha_v\beta_3$ is associated with a highly aggressive metastatic phenotype, signaling the onset of a widespread metastasis [23]. Since the RGD peptide sequence is an important cell attachment recognition site for several classes of integrins in many ECM proteins, agents that disrupt interactions of these integrins should have significant anti-tumor activity. Among these are monoclonal antibodies, peptidomimetics, small molecule compounds [24] and disintegrins [25].

3. SNAKE VENOM DISINTEGRINS

Snake venoms are complex mixtures of components with diverse pharmacological activity and toxicity [26]. Disintegrins were discovered and isolated from the venom of viper snakes and were given their name because of their biological function of binding to integrins [26]. Initial report in the literature, was 25 years ago, referring to trigramin [27]. Snake venom disintegrins consist a family of small (forty to one hundred amino acids) cysteine rich polypeptides [28], initially described as potent inhibitors of the platelet fibrinogen receptor, integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ [27]. They are mostly derived from snake venom hemorrhagic metalloproteinases (SVMPs) [29] and members of this protein family have been classified according to their multi-domain structure into three classes [30]. P-I metalloproteinases (25-30 kDa) are only single-domain proteins, while P-II metalloproteinases (30-45 kDa) contain a disintegrin domain at the carboxyl terminal of a metalloproteinase domain structurally similar to that in the class P-I [31]. Hemorrhagins of the P-III class are large toxins (60-100 kDa) and compromise multi-domain enzymes that are built up by an N-terminal

metalloproteinase domain and a C-terminal disintegrin-like and cysteine-rich domains [32,33]. Disintegrins and disintegrin-like domains are released in the venoms by proteolytic processing of PII and PIII metalloproteinases [34].

Currently, snake venom disintegrins can be divided into subfamilies according to their structure, as well as their function [35]. Structurally, they can be divided into monomers and dimers in homodimeric and heterodimeric forms. Monomeric disintegrins are grouped according to their polypeptide chain length and the number of cysteines: Short disintegrins contain 8 cysteines, medium ones 12 cysteines and long disintegrins contain 14 cysteines. Each subunit of dimeric disintegrins contains 10 cysteines. Functional classification of snake venom disintegrins was determined by a presence of tripeptide motif in the active side. Currently, three functional groups of disintegrins may be distinguished, which express RGD-, MLD-and KTS-sequences [36].

Most of them are RGD-disintegrins binding to α IIb β 3 integrin (fibrinogen receptors), α v β 3 integrin (vitronectin receptors) and α 5 β 1 (fibronectin receptors). MLD-disintegrins are heterodimeric and bind to α 4 β 1, α 4 β 7 and α 9 β 1 integrins. The presence of another motif in the second subunit such as RGD, determines their activity towards other integrins including α 5 β 1. KTS-disintegrins structurally are short monomeric molecules, which selectively bind to the collagen receptor, α 1 β 1 integrin [36].

Disintegrins from different snake species have been isolated: Albolabrin, applagin, barbourin, batroxostatin, bitistatin, obtustatin, schistatin, echistatin, elegantin, eristicophin, flavoridin, halysin, kistrin, tergeminin, salmosin, triflavin and contortrostatin. These molecules are currently used as experimental therapeutic agents against a number of pathologies including Alzheimer's disease, inflammation, autoimmune diseases, virus infection, asthma, osteoporosis, thrombosis and cancer [26,37].

4. DISINTEGRINS AS ANTI-TUMOR AGENTS

Many approaching methods have been used in order to investigate the effect of different RGD disintegrins on various cancer cells. Table 1 summarizes the effects of various disintegrins on migration-dependent tumor cell activities. Contortrostatin (CN), a homodimeric snake

venom disintegrin which mainly binds to integrin α v β 3 and α v β 5, was tested on different tumor types. A major report showed that contortrostatin inhibits growth and metastasis of MDA-MB-435 tumor masses in an orthotopic xenograft nude mouse model [38]. Also, CN has been reported to inhibit ovarian cancer dissemination in a nude mouse model as well as preventing the recruitment of blood vessels to tumors at secondary sites [39] while inhibiting tumor growth, angiogenesis and prolonging survival in a rodent glioma model [40]. In addition, this disintegrin seriously affects morphology, adhesion and mobility and induces breast cancer cells of primary cultures to apoptosis [41]. Cell culture experiments of PC-3 cells indicate that the combination of docetaxel-a chemotherapeutic drug-and CN inhibits cell growth in an additive fashion [42]. A short monomeric disintegrin eristostatin has been found to inhibit melanoma cell metastasis due to interference with α ₄ β ₁-VCAM binding in addition to the inhibition of the classical RGD-binding integrins [43]. Furthermore eristostatin has been shown to inhibit melanoma cell migration on fibronectin in a concentration-dependent manner, but not that on collagen IV or laminin. In contrast, this disintegrin was found to have no effect on cell proliferation or angiogenesis [44]. Studies done with melanoma cells on a culture dish and natural killer cells attached to a cantilever tip in atomic force microscopy showed four major populations of interactions which exhibited altered frequency and unbinding strength in the presence of eristostatin [45]. Albolabrin is the primary published disintegrin which has been found to inhibit the attachment of B16-F10 mouse melanoma cells to either fibronectin or laminin absorbed on plastic [46]. Another monomeric disintegrin triflavin inhibits tumor cell-induced platelet aggregation [47] a result that is also achieved with the use of ussuristatin 1 [48]. Rhodostomin has been demonstrated to inhibit cell adhesion, migration and invasion of both breast and prostate carcinoma cells [49].

MLD-disintegrins generally interact with α 4 β 1, α 4 β 7 and α 9 β 1 integrins. Especially VLO5 disintegrin binds to α 9 β 1 integrin and inhibits tumor growth and induce to apoptosis in glioblastoma LN229 cancer cells [50]. Also this heterodimeric disintegrin acts as an antitumor agent in another type of brain cancer, medulloblastoma [51]. Tumor growth in lung cancer cells is also suppressed by VLO5 disintegrin [52].

Table 1. Types of disintegrins, structural determinants, preferential integrin, origin of disintegrins and relevant inhibitory activity

Disintegrin	Structure	Adhesive motif	Preferred integrin	Source (snake species)	Relevant inhibitory activity	Ref.
Rodostomin	monomeric short	RGD	avb3	<i>Calloselasma rhodostoma</i>	tumor angiogenesis	[49]
Albolabrin	monomeric medium	RGD		<i>Trimeresurus albolabris</i>	melanoma metastasis	[46]
Triflavin	monomeric short	RGD	avb3	<i>Trimeresurus flavoviridis</i>	angiogenesis	[47]
Trigramin	monomeric medium	RGD	avb3	<i>Trimeresurus gramineus</i>	bone metastasis	[27,58]
Eristostatin	monomeric medium	RGD	$\alpha_{II}B3$	<i>Eristicophis macmahoni</i>	melanoma metastasis	[43]
Echistatin	monomeric medium	RGD	avb3	<i>Echis carinatus</i>	osteoclast migration	[37,57]
Contortrostatin	monomeric medium	RGD	avb5, avb3	<i>Agistrodon contortrix</i>	tumor angiogenesis	[38]
Obtustatin	monomeric short	KTS	$\alpha 1b1$	<i>Vipera lebetina obtusa</i>	endothelial cell proliferation	[53]
Viperistatin	monomeric short	KTS	$\alpha 1b1$	<i>Vipera palestinae</i>	melanoma cell transmigration	[54]
Lebestatin	monomeric short	KTS	$\alpha 1b1$	<i>Macrovipera lebetina</i>	angiogenesis	[53]
VLO5	heterodimeric	VGD, MLD	$\alpha 9b1$	<i>Vipera lebetina optusa</i>	glioblastoma growth	[50]

A KTS-disintegrin, lebestatin interacts specifically with the $\alpha 1\beta 1$ integrin being therefore able to inhibit both adhesion and migration of PC12 and $\alpha 1\beta 1$ integrin-expressing CHO cells (CHO- $\alpha 1$) onto type I and IV collagens. Using the 8-day-old embryo chick chorioallantoic membrane model [53] this disintegrin has also been shown to disrupt adhesion and migration of endothelial cells exhibiting an anti-angiogenic effect in vivo as well.

Other KTS-disintegrins such as obtustatin and viperastatin also bind exclusively and inhibit the adhesion of $\alpha 1\beta 1$ integrin to collagen IV. Obtustatin is able to decrease endothelial cell proliferation by inducing apoptosis via an extrinsic mechanism and inhibits growth factor induced migration in those cells [53]. Furthermore, viperistatin blocks adhesion of human melanoma cell line HS 939.T onto collagen type IV by binding to $\alpha 1\beta 1$ integrin [54].

In summary, snake venom disintegrins have been extensively studied as far as their relevant inhibitory activity effect on cell migration is concerned. In relation to the toxic properties and inhibitory activity of disintegrins, experimental data show that greatly depend on preferred integrin target as well as cognate ligand [55]. Very low doses of injected disintegrins (0.6 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mouse}$) have been tested and found to be effective in several cases of antithrombotic therapy [56]. Daily local injection of contortrostatin (5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mouse}/\text{day}$) into MDA-MB-435 tumor masses have been proved to inhibit growth of the tumor by 74% [38]. On the other hand, *in vitro* studies indicate that increasing doses of contortrostatin above 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ induce cancer cells to enter apoptosis [41]. Finally, it is worth mentioning that chimeric recombinant disintegrins have been used in an effort to offer more effective therapies in phase II trials, using liposomal encapsulation for delivery to target tissues [59].

5. CONCLUSION

Disintegrins are natural product derived compounds with potential antitumor effect. They have been shown to inhibit cell adhesion by binding to different kinds of integrins and drive cell migration to a halt or force several types of cancer cells to enter apoptosis. RGD-disintegrins are the most popular, exhibiting a profound effect on several types of tumors. Among them, contortrostatin appears to be the choice for many investigators since it exhibits the most profound antitumor effect in many experimental animal models. MLD and KTS disintegrins are yet in early investigating stages and their action needs further examination. In conclusion, snake venom disintegrins appear to be quite attractive biomolecules for tumor progression fighting studies. They have already been tested extensively in *in vitro* studies and in experimental animals but much more work has to be done in order to ensure that they can be safely used in clinical trials to fight tumors. Their role in clinical trials has been debated in a number of diseases but their therapeutic use is still controversial [60].

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, et al. GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC Cancer Base No. 11 [Internet]. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2013.
2. Bray F, Jemal A, Grey N, et al. Global cancer transitions according to the Human Development Index (2008-2030): A population-based study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2012;13:790-801.
3. Ali I, Lone MN, Al-Othman ZA, Al-Warthan A. Heterocyclic Scaffolds: Centrality in Anticancer Drug Development, *Curr. Drug Target.* In Press; 2015.
4. Ali I, Wani WA, Saleem K, Haque A. Platinum Compounds: A hope for future cancer chemotherapy. *Anti-Cancer Agents Med. Chem.* 2013;13920:296-306.
5. Ali I, Wani WA, Saleem K, Haque A. Thalidomide: A Banned Drug Resurged into Future Anticancer Drug. *Current Drug Ther.* 2012;7:13-23.
6. Calderon LA, Sobrinho JC, Zaqueo KD, de Moura AA, Grabner AN, Mazzi MV, Marcussi S, Nomizo A, Fernandes CF, Zuliani JP, Carvalho BM, da Silva SL, Stábeli RG, Soares AM. Antitumoral activity of snake venom proteins: New trends in cancer therapy. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2014;2014:3639.
7. Ali I, Wani WA, Saleem K. Cancer Scenario in India with Future Perspectives. *Cancer Ther.* 2011;8:56-70.
8. Ali I, Rahis-ud-din, Saleem K, Aboul-Enein HY, Rather A. Social aspects of cancer genesis. *Cancer Ther.* 2011;8:6-14.
9. Ali I. Nano drugs: Novel agents for cancer chemo-therapy. *Current Cancer Drug Targets.* 2011;11:130.
10. Baskar R, Lee KA, Yeo R, Yeoh KW. Cancer and radiation therapy: Current advances and future directions. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* 2012;9(3):193-9.
11. Ali I, Uddin R, Saleem K, Haque A, El Azzouni A. Natural Products: Human Friendly Anti-Cancer Medications. *Egypt. Pharm. J.* 2010;9:133-179.
12. Ali I. Nano anti-cancer drugs: Pros and cons and future perspectives. *Current Cancer Drug Targets.* 2011;11(2):131-134.
13. Ali I, Uddin R, Salim K, Wani W, Haque A. Advances in nano drugs for cancer chemotherapy. *Current Cancer Drug Targets.* 2011;11(23):135-146.
14. Jain D, Kumar S. Snake venom: A potent anticancer agent. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 2012;13(10):4855-60.
15. Hynes RO. Integrins: Versatility, Modulation and Signaling in Cell Adhesion. *Cell.* 1992;69(1):11-25.
16. Cheresch A. Structural and Biologic Properties of Integrin Mediated Cell Adhesion. *Clin. Lab. Med.* 1992;12(2):217-236.
17. Berrier AL, Yamada KM. Cell-Matrix Adhesion. *J. Cell Physiol.* 2007;213(3):565-573.
18. Giancotti FG, Mainiero F. Integrin-Mediated Adhesion and Signaling in Tumorigenesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1994;1198(1):47-64.
19. Jiang P, Enomoto A, Takahashi M. Cell Biology of the Movement of Breast Cancer Cells: Intracellular Signaling and the Actin

- Cytoskeleton. *Cancer Lett.* 2009;284(2): 122-130.
20. Brakebusch C, Bouvard D, Stanchi F, Sakai T, Fassler R. Integrins in Invasive Growth. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2002;109(8):999-1006.
 21. Hewitt RE, Powe DG, Morrell Balley KE, Leach IH, Ellis IO, Turner DR. Laminin and Collagen IV Subunit Distribution in Normal and Neoplastic Tissues of Colorectum and Breast. *Br. J. Cancer.* 1997;75(2):221-229.
 22. Rolli M, Fransvea E, Pilch J, Saven A, Felding-Habermann B. Activated Integrin Alphavbeta3 Cooperates with Metalloproteinase MMP-9 in Regulating Migration of Metastatic Breast Cancer Cells. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA).* 2003;100(16):9482-9487.
 23. Plow EF, Haas TA, Zhang L, Loftus J, Smith JW. Ligand Binding to Integrins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2000;275(29):21785-21788.
 24. Jacob K, Bosserhoff AK, Wach F, Knuchel R, Klein EC, Hein R, Buettner R. Characterization of Selected Strongly and Weakly Invasive Sublines of a Primary Human Melanoma Cell Line and Isolation of Subtractive cDNA Clones. *Int. J. Cancer.* 1995;60(5):668-675.
 25. Kawahara E, Imai K, Kumagai S, Yamamoto E, Nakanishi I. Inhibitory Effects of Adhesion Oligopeptides on the Invasion of Squamous Carcinoma Cells with Special Reference to Implication of α v Integrins. *J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.* 1995;121(3):133-140.
 26. Amiryan S. Antitumor Activity of Disintegrin-Like Components from the Venom of *Montivipera raddei*. *J. Cancer Ther.* 2011;(2):752-759.
 27. Huang TF, Holt JC, Lukasiewicz H, Niewiarowski S. Trigramin. A low molecular weight peptide inhibiting fibrinogen interaction with platelet receptors expressed on glycoprotein IIb-IIIa complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1987;(262):16157-63.
 28. Calvete JJ, Marcinkiewicz C, Monleón D, Esteve V, Celda B, Juárez P, Sanz L. Snake venom disintegrins: Evolution of structure and function. *Toxicon.* 2005;45(8):1063-74.
 29. Moura-da-Silva AM, Theakston RD, Crampton JM. Evolution of disintegrin cysteine-rich and mammalian matrix-degrading metalloproteinases: Gene duplication and divergence of a common ancestor rather than convergent evolution. *J. Mol. Evol.* 1996;43(3):263-9.
 30. Fox JW, Serrano SM. Structural considerations of the snake venom metalloproteinases, key members of the M12 reprotolysin family of metalloproteinases. *Toxicon.* 2005;45(8): 969-85.
 31. Bjarnason JB, Fox JW. Hemorrhagic metalloproteinases from snake venoms. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics.* 1994; 62(3):325-372.
 32. Jia LG, Shimokawa Ki, Bjarnason JB, Fox, JW. Snake venom metalloproteinases: Structure, function and relationship to the ADAMs family of proteins. *Toxicon.* 1996;34(11-12):1269-1276.
 33. Markland FS. Snake venoms and the hemostatic system. *Toxicon.* 1998;36(12): 1749-1800.
 34. Fox JW, Serrano SM. Insights into and speculations about snake venom metalloproteinase (SVMP) synthesis, folding and disulfide bond formation and their contribution to venom complexity. *FEBS J.* 2008;275(12):3016-30.
 35. Marcinkiewicz C. Functional characteristic of snake venom disintegrins: Potential therapeutic implication. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2005;11(7):815-27.
 36. Marcinkiewicz C. Applications of snake venom components to modulate integrin activities in cell-matrix interactions. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 2013;45(9):1974-86.
 37. Xu CS, Rahman S. Identification by Site-Directed Mutagenesis of Amino Acid Residues Flanking RGD Motifs of Snake Venom Disintegrins for Their Structure and Function. *Sheng Wu Hua Xue Yu Sheng Wu Wu Li Xue Bao (Shanghai).* 2001;33(2):153-157.
 38. Zhou Q, Sherwin RP, Parrish C, Richters V, Groshen SG, Tsao-Wei D, Markland FS. Contortrostatin, a dimeric disintegrin from *Agkistrodon contortrix contortrix*, inhibits breast cancer progression. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 2000;61(3):249-60.
 39. Markland FS, Shieh K, Zhou Q, Golubkov V, Sherwin RP, Richters V, Sposto R. A novel snake venom disintegrin that inhibits human ovarian cancer dissemination and angiogenesis in an orthotopic nude mouse model. *Haemostasis.* 2001;31(3-6):183-91.
 40. Pyrko P, Wang W, Markland FS, Swenson

- SD, Schmitmeier S, Schönthal AH, Chen TC. The role of contortrostatin, a snake venom disintegrin, in the inhibition of tumor progression and prolongation of survival in a rodent glioma model. *J. Neurosurg.* 2005;103(3):526-37.
41. Marinou I, Havaki S, Goutas N, Vlachodimitropoulos D, Baltagis G, Konstantakou GE, Stravopodis JD, Koudoumas GD, Marinos E, Kouloukoussa M. Action of the Disintegrin Contortrostatin on Breast Cancer Cell Primary Cultures. *ABCR.* 2013;2(4):161-169.
 42. Lin E, Wang Q, Swenson S, Jadvar H, Groshen S, Ye W, Markland FS, Pinski J. The disintegrin contortrostatin in combination with docetaxel is a potent inhibitor of prostate cancer *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Prostate.* 2010;70(12):1359-70.
 43. Danen EH, Marcinkiewicz C, Cornelissen IM, van Kraats AA, Pachter JA, Ruiter DJ, Niewiarowski S, van Muijen GN. The disintegrin eristostatin interferes with integrin alpha 4 beta 1 function and with experimental metastasis of human melanoma cells. *Exp. Cell Res.* 1998;238(1):188-96.
 44. Tian J, Paquette-Straub C, Sage EH, Funk SE, Patel V, Galileo D, McLane MA. Inhibition of melanoma cell motility by the snake venom disintegrin eristostatin. *Toxicon.* 2007;49(7):899-908. Epub 2007 Jan 10. Erratum in: *Toxicon.* 2007; 50(3):448.
 45. Hailey S, Adams E, Penn R, Wong A, McLane MA. Effect of the disintegrin eristostatin on melanoma-natural killer cell interactions. *Toxicon.* 2013;61(10):83-93.
 46. Soszka T, Knudsen KA, Beviglia L, Rossi C, Poggi A, Niewiarowski S. Inhibition of murine melanoma cell-matrix adhesion and experimental metastasis by albolabrin, an RGD-containing peptide isolated from the venom of *Trimeresurus albolabris*. *Exp. Cell Res.* 1991;196(1):6-12.
 47. Sheu JR, Lin CH, Peng HC, Teng CM, Huang TF. Triflavin, an Arg-Gly-Asp-containing peptide, inhibits tumor cell-induced platelet aggregation. *Jpn J. Cancer Res.* 1993;84(10):1062-71.
 48. Oshikawa K, Terada S. Ussuristatin 2, a novel KGD-bearing disintegrin from *Agkistrodon ussuriensis* venom. *J. Biochem.* 1999;125(1):31-5.
 49. Yang RS, Tang CH, Chuang WJ, Huang TH, Peng HC, Huang TF, Fu WM. Inhibition of tumor formation by snake venom disintegrin. *Toxicon.* 2005;45(5): 661-9.
 50. Brown MC, Staniszewska I, Lazarovici P, Tuszynski GP, Del Valle L, Marcinkiewicz C. Regulatory effect of nerve growth factor in alpha9 beta1 integrin-dependent progression of glioblastoma. *Neuro Oncol.* 2008;10(6):968-80.
 51. Fiorilli P, Partridge D, Staniszewska I, Wang JY, Grabacka M, So K, et al. Integrins mediate adhesion of medulloblastoma cells to tenascin and activate pathways associated with survival and proliferation. *Lab. Invest.* 2008;88(11): 1143-56.
 52. Gupta SK, Oommen S, Aubry MC, Williams BP, Vlahakis NE. Integrin $\alpha 9 \beta 1$ promotes malignant tumor growth and metastasis by potentiating epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Oncogene.* 2013;32(2):141-50.
 53. Olfa KZ, José L, Salma D, Amine B, Najet SA, Nicolas A, Maxime L, Raoudha Z, Kamel M, Jacques M, Jean-Marc S, Mohamed el A, Naziha M. Lebestatin, a disintegrin from *Macrovipera* venom, inhibits integrin-mediated cell adhesion, migration and angiogenesis. *Lab. Invest.* 2005;85(12):1507-16.
 54. Staniszewska I, Walsh EM, Rothman VL, Gaathon A, Tuszynski GP, Calvete JJ, Lazarovici P, Marcinkiewicz C. Effect of VP12 and viperistatin on inhibition of collagen-receptor-dependent melanoma metastasis. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* 2009;8(15): 1507-16.
 55. Selistre-de-Araujo HS, Pontes CLS, Montenegro CF, Ana Carolina BM. *Toxins* 2010;2(11): 2606-2651.
 56. Beviglia L, Poqqi A, Rossi C, McLane MA, Calabrese R, Scanziani R, Cook JJ, Niewiarowski S. *Thromb. Res.* 1993;71(4): 301-315.
 57. Nakamura I, Tanaka H, Rodan GA, Duong LT. Echistatin inhibits the migration of murine pre-fusion osteoclasts and the formation of multinucleated osteoclast-like cells. *Endocrinology.* 1998;139(5):182-193.
 58. Yang RS, Tang CH, Chuang WJ, Huang TH, Peng HC, Huang TF, Fu WM. Inhibition of tumor formation by snake

- venom disintegrin. *Toxicon*. 2005;45(4): 661–669.
59. Minea R, Helchowski C, Rubino B, Brodmann K, Swenson S, Markland F. Development of a chimeric recombinant disintegrin as a cost-effective anticancer agent with promising translational potential. *Toxicon*. 2012;59:472-486.
60. Kastin A, editor. *Handbook of Biologically Active Peptides*, 2nd Edition. Elsevier; 2013.

© 2015 Marinou et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=1126&id=22&aid=9057>