

Physical Science International Journal 5(2): 88-92, 2015, Article no.PSIJ.2015.010 ISSN: 2348-0130

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international www.sciencedomain.org*

The Increasing Role of Chemistry in Understanding Triboelectric Charging of Insulating Materials – A Paradigm Shift

Meurig W. Williams1*

¹Xerox Corporation (1969-1982), 7122 Pine Valley Street, Bradenton, FL, 34202, USA.(Retd.)

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed and interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/PSIJ/2015/14033 *Editor(s):* (1)Christian Brosseau, Department of Physics, University of Western Brittany, France. *Reviewers:* (1)Arwind Kumar Dubey, Production & Integrity Division, UAE. (2)Anonymous, University of the Republic, Uruguay. Complete Peer review History:http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=833&id=33&aid=6854

Mini-review Article

Received 16th September 2014 Accepted 9 th October 2014 Published 6 th November 2014

ABSTRACT

Triboelectric charging of insulating materials has traditionally been considered a physics problem, but chemistry is playing a rapidly increasing role. Several important advances have been made since the last reviews. These developments, together with description of the role of chemistry, are reported here.

Keywords: Triboelectricity; contact charging; mechanisms of contact charging; static electricity

1. INTRODUCTION

Contact and separation of two materials of different compositions usually results in the generation of electrical charges at the contacting surfaces. This is known as triboelectric or contact charging. Repeated contacts result in potential buildup which may result in an electrical discharge, and this is referred to as static electricity. How and why such charge generation

occurs is still largely unsolved, though considerable progress has been made in addressing several unconnected pieces of the puzzle. Mechanisms have been experimentally established for contact between *specific materials* under *specific experimental conditions*: exchange of ions (when a polymer contains mobile ions), exchange of material involving a mechanochemical mechanism (between two polymers); and the exchange of electrons (in

**Corresponding author: Email: tudur07@aol.com;*

metal-polymer contacts). It appears evident that more than one of these mechanisms can occur simultaneously, depending on the compositions of materials involved and the precise nature of the contacts.

The shift away from physics and towards chemistry and other sciences was pointed out in 2012 [1]. Since recent reviews [2,3], several significant developments have occurred. These developments, together with the increasing role of chemistry, are reported here.

2. DISCUSSION

2.1 Demise of the (Physics-Based) Electron Exchange Theory for Insulator-Insulator Contacts, and the Emergence of a New (Chemistry-Based) Theory

Triboelectric charging is one of the basic phenomena upon which the electrophotographic process and copying technology are based, and it was at one time reasonable to assume that its mechanistic understanding would contribute to improvement of this process. At the Xerox Corporation Webster research laboratories in the 1970s, it was assumed that such understanding would result from the physics approach that charging resulted from the exchange of mobile electrons trapped at the contacting polymer surfaces (the Surface State theory). It has long been disputed. Fabish at Xerox in 1979 pointed out, as a result of reviewing models for the electronic structure of organic polymers with focus on those having highly ordered groups with rigid periodic arrays of atoms, some having nearly metallic properties, that "the description of the electronic structures of these materials requires the introduction of concepts more familiar in the field of electrochemistry and physical chemistry than solid state physics." [4]. Diaz reported in 1993 that "theoretical considerations argue against an electron exchange mechanism for insulators." [5]. And Whitesides et al. [6] pointed out in 2010 that "in the early physics literature, many discussions concerning charging of insulating materials have assumed without (as far as we can see) any compelling experimental evidence that electron transfer is involved." .

This theory, in modified form, was later applied by Lacks et al. [7] to account for triboelectric charging in asymmetric contacts between

particulate materials of identical composition. But it has recently (2014) been disproved by Jaeger et al. [8], so another theory is now required. Such a theory had already been proposed by Williams in 2012 [1,3]. Its basis was that polymers and other materials are typically not compositionally homogeneous as a function of depth beneath the surface. Since contact between particles of different sizes are asymmetric, differences of degree or type of mechanical forces in such contacts would expose different layers, and therefore different compositions, at the points of contact. Alternatively, it was proposed that the different stresses would result in differences in the mechanochemistry at the surfaces which would also result in different chemical compositions at the points of contact.

Lacks et al. [9] have now (2014) followed by proposing a similar mechanism for charging in asymmetric contacts between identical glass plates. They report a correlation between charging and the degree of deformation of the glass surfaces. Their interpretation is that, since deformation of a surface changes its chemical properties, asymmetric deformations change the chemical properties of the glass surfaces in different ways, so that the contacts are actually between materials having different chemical properties. It is suggested here that, since glass, in common with polymers, is typically not compositionally homogeneous as a function of depth beneath the surface, asymmetric deformation of the glass surfaces simply exposes different compositions at the points of contact, as earlier proposed by Williams.

2.2 A Chemistry-based Discovery of Major Business Significance

In 2011, it was demonstrated by Grzybowski and his group that pressing together and separation of two different polymers resulted in material and charge transfer, both of which were totally different from that previously reported [10]. They demonstrated, using Kelvin Force Microscopy (KFM), that each surface consists of irregular mosaic patterns, each domain supporting large numbers of either positive or negative charges, resulting in a net charge of one sign on both surfaces. Following up on this landmark discovery, they reported in 2013 [11] that the surface charges in the domains are stabilized by association with surface radicals, which are formed by polymer bond scission. And doping the polymers with free radical scavengers removes the radicals, resulting in destabilization of the domains and rapid discharge. The business significance of this is immense, because it allows the design of antistatic coatings to protect semiconductor devices from failure caused by buildup of static electricity, a matter of increasing concern in view of the continued miniaturization of such devices, with increasing sensitivity to such discharges.

2.3 The Role of Mechanochemistry

Galembeck et al. [12] have recently published further support for the mechanochemical mechanism for triboelectric charge exchange which was previously published in 2012 [13]. Mechanochemical reactions, triggered by friction, produce ionic polymer chain fragments that are transferred between the surfaces, even when the two surfaces have identical compositions.

2.4 Understanding Metal-insulator Contacts

Contact between a metal and an insulator presents a different scenario than between two insulators. Since triboelectric charging between two metals has long been established to result from electron exchange, it was reasonable to suggest that electron exchange may be at least a contributory mechanism to metal-polymer charging. And, indeed, some experimenters have reported that charge exchange is linearly related to the work functions of the metals, which supports an electron exchange mechanism [14,15,16]. But others have found that this correlation does not always apply [17]. This strongly suggests that additional mechanisms can apply simultaneously. The finding that some materials form a cyclic triboelectric series [18] strongly supports more than one simultaneous mechanism. Based on current knowledge, it is reasonable to suggest that the additional mechanism would be material transfer.

The application of polymer chemistry has recently been proposed for determining the relative contributions of *material* and *electron* transfer to metal-polymer charging [19]. Polymers were designed such that the compositions of their topmost molecular layers were totally different from those of the bulk. There are two ways to achieve the surface-bulk compositional differences. Fluorinated molecules and polymers have low surface energies and, when added to polymers, will migrate to the topmost molecular layers when the films are solution cast to allow for thermodynamic

equilibrium [20,21,22]. Alternatively, ionic components, on account of their high surface energies, will avoid the topmost layers. The availability of several extremely sensitive methods for analyzing surfaces at a molecular level adds to the appeal of this approach to determining the depth of a polymer surface that affects charging, a concept referred to as the "charge penetration depth". These include XPS (x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy) [23], TOF SIMS (Time-of-Flight Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry) [24] and LEIS (Low Energy Ion Scattering) [25].

A series of polymer films was prepared such that their surface molecular compositions were identical, but they differed systematically in their bulk compositions. Bare metal and polymer coated beads were cascaded over these films, and the charge on the beads determined. It was found that charging of the polymer coated beads was constant for the film series, implying that charging was determined by the topmost surface layers of the films. But charging of the metal beads varied systematically according to the bulk compositions of the films, indicating that charging was determined by deeper levels of the films. It was suggested that the rougher metal beads may gouge out deeper layers of the films than the smoother polymer coated beads. Whether charging of the metal beads results from material or electron transfer depends on whether it is the surface roughness or the metallic nature of the beads that results in the charging to be related to the bulk compositions of the films. This can be determined by systematically varying the surface roughness of the metal beads.

3. CONCLUSION

Triboectricity is of considerable importance in industry, in solving problems such as damage to electronic equipment and tanker explosions, and also for the design of industrial processes such as the separation of materials in the recycling industry. It is of major significance to NASA in connection with space exploration, and to the pharmaceutical industry on account of unwanted charges created in the handling of powders. And it is the basis for useful products, the best known being copiers and laser printers. Phone sized portable x-ray machines are currently being developed by California start-up Tribogenics, representing yet another major application of triboelectricity, and a revolution in the industrial and medical application of x-rays. The widespread importance of triboelectricity is such that research is currently being conducted on its various aspects by engineers (electrical and aeronautical).physicists. chemists. medical aeronautical), physicists, practitioners and meteorologists, and yet its basic understanding remains elusive. Significant levels of understanding of the mechanisms of triboelectric charge generation have been accomplished for specific materials and experimental conditions. But expanding this to include a wider range of materials, contact types and experimental conditions in general, and integrating these into a coherent whole, will require continued efforts along multidisciplinary fronts, with various aspects of chemistry being of prime importance.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Williams MW. What Creates Static Electricity? Traditionally considered a physics problem, the answer is beginning to emerge from chemistry and other sciences. Am Sci. July-August 2012. Available: [http://www.americanscientist.org/issues/fe](http://www.americanscientist.org/issues/feature/2012/4/what-creates-static-electricity) [ature/2012/4/what-creates-static-electricity](http://www.americanscientist.org/issues/feature/2012/4/what-creates-static-electricity)
- 2. Lacks DJ, Sankaran RM. Contact electrification of insulating materials. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 2011;44:453001. Available: [https://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?ori](https://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig_q=RN:43112006) [g_q=RN:43112006](https://inis.iaea.org/search/search.aspx?orig_q=RN:43112006)
- 3. Williams MW. Triboelectric charging of insulating polymers–some new
perspectives. AIP Advances. 2012:2: AIP Advances. 010701. Available:

[http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/a](http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/adva/2/1/10.1063/1.3687233) [dva/2/1/10.1063/1.3687233](http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/adva/2/1/10.1063/1.3687233)

- 4. Fabish TJ. Critical reviews solid state and materials sciences. 1979;8(4):383–420. Available: [http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.108](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10408437908243627#.VGXifvnF8xQ) [0/10408437908243627#.VGXifvnF8xQ](http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10408437908243627#.VGXifvnF8xQ)
- 5. Diaz AF, Guay J. Contact charging of organic materials: Ion vs. electron transfer. IBM J. Res. Dev. 1993;37:249. Available: [http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/arti](http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/articleDetails.jsp?arnumber=5389634) [cleDetails.jsp?arnumber=5389634](http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/articleDetails.jsp?arnumber=5389634)
- 6. Vella SJ, Chen X, Thomas SW, Zhao X, Suo Z, Whitesides GM. The determination of the location of contact

Electrification-induced discharge Events. J. Phys. Chem. 2010;C 114(48):20885– 20895. Available:

[http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jp1078](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jp107883u) [83u](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jp107883u)

- 7. Kok JF, Lacks DJ. Electrification of granular systems of identical insulators. Phys Rev E. 2009;79:051304. Available: [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19518](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19518446)
- [446](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19518446) 8. Waitukaitis SR, Lee V, Pierson JM, Forman SL, Jaeger HM. Size-dependent same-material tribocharging in insulating grains. Phys. Rev. Lett. 30 May 2014. Available: [http://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/](http://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.218001) [PhysRevLett.112.218001](http://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.218001)
- 9. Xie L, He PF, Zhou J, Lacks DJ. Correlation of contact deformation with contact electrification of identical materials. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 2014;47:215-501. Available: [http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-](http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-3727/47/21/215501) [3727/47/21/215501](http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-3727/47/21/215501)
- 10. Baytekin HT, Patashinski AZ, Branicki M, Baytekin B, Soh S, Grzybowski BA. The mosaic of surface charge in contact electrification. Science. 2011;333(6040): 308-12. Available:

[http://www.sciencemag.org/content/333/60](http://www.sciencemag.org/content/333/6040/308) [40/308](http://www.sciencemag.org/content/333/6040/308)

11. Baytekin HT, Baytekin B, Hermans TM, Kowalczyk B, Grzybowski BA. Control of surface charges by radicals as a principle of antistatic polymers protecting electronic circuitry. Science. 2013;341(6152):1368- 1371 . Available[:https://www.sciencemag.org/cont](https://www.sciencemag.org/content/341/6152/1368?related-urls=yes&legid=sci;341/6152/1368) [ent/341/6152/1368?related-](https://www.sciencemag.org/content/341/6152/1368?related-urls=yes&legid=sci;341/6152/1368)

[urls=yes&legid=sci;341/6152/1368](https://www.sciencemag.org/content/341/6152/1368?related-urls=yes&legid=sci;341/6152/1368)

- 12. Balestrin LB, da Silva DS, Galembeck F. ESA conference proceedings; 2014.
- 13. Burgo TAL, Ducati TRD, Francisco KR, Clinckspoor KJ, Galembeck F, Galembeck SE. Triboelectricity: Macroscopic charge patterns formed by self-arraying Ions on polymer surfaces. Langmuir; 2012. Available: [http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/la3012](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/la301228j) [28j](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/la301228j)
- 14. Davies DK. Charge generation on dielectric surfaces. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 1969;Ser.22:1533–1537. Available: [http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-](http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-3727/2/11/307/) [3727/2/11/307/](http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-3727/2/11/307/)

Williams; PSIJ, 5(2): 88-92, 2015; Article no.PSIJ.2015.010

- 15. Lowell J. The electrification of polymers by metals. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys*.* 1976;9(11):1571. Available: [http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-](http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-3727/9/11/006/) [3727/9/11/006/](http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-3727/9/11/006/)
- 16. Harper WR. Contact and Frictional Electrification. Laplacian, Morgan Hill; 1998
- 17. Akande AR, Lowell J. Charge transfer in metal/polymer contacts. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys*.* 1987;20:565. Available: [http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-](http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-3727/20/5/002) [3727/20/5/002](http://iopscience.iop.org/0022-3727/20/5/002)
- 18. McCarty LS, Whitesides GM. Electrostatic charging due to separation of ions at interfaces: Contact electrification of ionic electrets. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*.* 2008;27(12):2188–2207. Available: [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/a](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/anie.200701812/abstract;jsessionid=64FAF478ABCCE0E2B905AB6FEA75D572.f04t04) [nie.200701812/abstract;jsessionid=64FAF](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/anie.200701812/abstract;jsessionid=64FAF478ABCCE0E2B905AB6FEA75D572.f04t04) [478ABCCE0E2B905AB6FEA75D572.f04t0](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/anie.200701812/abstract;jsessionid=64FAF478ABCCE0E2B905AB6FEA75D572.f04t04) [4](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/anie.200701812/abstract;jsessionid=64FAF478ABCCE0E2B905AB6FEA75D572.f04t04)
- 19. Williams MW. Triboelectric charging in metal–polymer contacts – How to distinguish between electron and material transfer mechanisms. J. Electrostatics. 2013;71(1):53–54.

Availabl[e:http://www.sciencedirect.com/sci](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304388612001209) [ence/article/pii/S0304388612001209](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304388612001209)

20. Thomas RR, Anton DR, Graham WF, Darmon MJ, Sauer BB, Stika KM, Swartzfager DG. Preparation and Surface Properties of Acrylic Polymers Containing Fluorinated Monomers. Macromolecules. 1997;30(10):2883–2890.

Available: [http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ma961](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ma9618686) [8686](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ma9618686)

- 21. Kassis CM, Steehler JK, Betts DE, Guan Z, Romack TJ, DeSimone JM, Linton RW. XPS studies of fluorinated acrylate polymers and block copolymers with polystyrene. Macromolecules. 1996;29(9): 3247–3254. Available: [http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ma951](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ma951782x) [782x](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/ma951782x) 22. Kim YS, Lee JS, Ji Q, McGrath JE.
	- Surface properties of fluorinated oxetanepolyol modified polyurethane block copolymers. Polymer. 2002;43(25):7161– 7170. DOI: 10.1016/S0032-3861(02)00458-5. Available: [http://www.researchgate.net/publication/23](http://www.researchgate.net/publication/239708144_Surface_properties_of_fluorinated_oxetane_polyol_modified_polyurethane_block_copolymers) 9708144 Surface properties of fluorinate d_oxetane_polyol_modified_polyurethane [block_copolymers](http://www.researchgate.net/publication/239708144_Surface_properties_of_fluorinated_oxetane_polyol_modified_polyurethane_block_copolymers)
- 23. Weng LT and Chan CM, Proc. 12th European Conference on Applications of Surface and Interface Analysis, Brussels, Belgium. 2007.
- 24. Vickerman JC, Briggs D, Eds., ToF SIMS: Surface analysis by mass spectrometry, Chichester, U.K. : IM Publications; 2001.
- 25. Rabalais JW. Principles and applications of ion scattering spectrometry: Surface chemical and structural analysis. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. ISBN 0-471-20277-0; 2003.

 $_$, *© 2015Williams; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0\),](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=833&id=33&aid=6854*