

Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International

Volume 26, Issue 11, Page 47-61, 2022; Article no.JGEESI.94027 ISSN: 2454-7352

Spatial Assessment of Flood Vulnerability of Developed Properties in Port Harcourt Metropolis, Rivers State, Nigeria

M. O. Ugwu^{a*}, E. I. Elenwo^a, A. A. Obafemi^a and O. S. Eludoyin^a

^a Department of Geography and Environmental Management, University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JGEESI/2022/v26i11645

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94027

> Received: 25/09/2022 Accepted: 29/11/2022 Published: 16/12/2022

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The study assessed the flood vulnerability of developed properties (DP) in Port Harcourt Metropolis, Rivers State, Nigeria. The study made use of DP data obtained from the open street map, and the combination of landuse/ landcover map obtained from Landsat imagery of 2020, soil texture data, elevation data, and proximity to the active river channel. Descriptive statistics were used for data analysis. Findings showed that 44014 DPs were estimated in Port Harcourt Metropolis. Results showed that the spatial extent of flood lowly vulnerable areas in Port Harcourt Metropolis was 60.78 sq. km (13.22%), moderately vulnerable areas were 259.72 sq km (56.51%) and highly vulnerable areas were 139.14 sq. km (30.27%). Furthermore, 3977 (9.04%) of DP were lowly vulnerable to flood, 34754 (78.96%) were moderately vulnerable to flood and 5283 (12%) were highly vulnerable

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: maureenugwu11@gmail.com;

J. Geo. Env. Earth Sci. Int., vol. 26, no. 11, pp. 47-61, 2022

to flood in the study area. The study can be concluded that the number of developed properties in the Port Harcourt Metropolis varied based on different flood vulnerability levels as more of them were found within the moderate vulnerability levels. Based on the findings, the study recommended that all the developed properties lying in the high flood vulnerability level should be relocated to better locations or at least conform to ways of guiding against the effect of flood on the developed properties; and also periodic enlightenment of the effect of flood to the public would help a lot of preventing people from constructing their house in the highly and moderately flood vulnerable levels.

Keywords: Developed properties; flood; vulnerability; open street.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Floods take a heavy toll on society, costing buildinas lives. damaging and property. disrupting livelihoods, and sometimes necessitating national disaster relief, which has risen to record levels in recent years" [1]. "Despite the rapid economic growth and urbanization witnessed in many developing nations, flooding is the major development challenge facing many of their cities. Urban flooding is intensified by dramatic changes in the impervious area, in addition to heavy rainfall and extreme climatic events" [2]. "High incidences of flooding could be attributed to climate change, reduction in percolation, poor environmental and infrastructure planning, poor governance, population explosion well as as rapid urbanization. The persistent migration of people deprived areas, coupled with from poor governance has put unprecedented pressure on cities' resources and infrastructure" [3]. "From a vulnerability perspective, Asia and the Pacific regions have had their fair share of affecting the social and economic stability of the countries in the region, some of the worst-case scenarios for example include China when in 1998 it suffered a devastating flood that affected 223 million people, killing 3,004 people and rendering 15 million people homeless. The economic loss was over US\$ 23 billion for that year" [4]. "Flooding has become a major hazard in Nigeria in recent years. It was estimated that Nigeria suffered combined losses of more than \$16.9b in damaged properties, oil production, agriculture and other losses due to flood events in 2012 alone" [5]. "Increased flood events coupled with the lack of coping capacity and high levels of vulnerability of the people have continued to put many lives and properties at risk" [5].

According to Alaghmand, et al. [6], "there is a direct relationship between urbanization and hydrological characteristics such as infiltration, runoff frequency and flood depth in an urban

area. They stated that increased urbanization increases floods, both in frequency and magnitude". "The effects of urbanization and global warming on floods will increase in future (due to more urbanization to accommodate increased population in cities and rises in greenhouse gas emissions)" [7,8]. Considering this, flood vulnerability assessment is essential to identify high-risk areas and develop costeffective flood mitigation and/or adaptation strategies. The goal of vulnerability assessment is to understand how a system will be affected by floods. Examples of possible systems could include physical structures such as houses or bridges that could be damaged or destroyed, a business or service whose supply chain could face interruption or a community that could suffer fatalities, property losses, and negative health impacts in the aftermath of a flood.

The vulnerability of the built environment to floods is referred to as physical vulnerability. An important consequence of flooding is the damage to physical structures such as buildings, bridges, roads, and public utilities. According to the World Bank [9], "physical vulnerability encompasses the structural and non-structural damage to buildings or building components or other infrastructure". "These damages could be direct, in terms of gradual and consistent deterioration of buildings and other infrastructure" [9,10]. "Flood damage to buildings is often extensive and deteriorates their material compositions and structures as well as their function" [11]. "The IPCC thus indicates that vulnerability encompasses a variety of concepts including sensitivity or susceptibility to harm and lack of capacity to cope and adapt. Therefore, information on the elements at risk (e.g., people; built environment; eco-systems), the exposure (e.g. proximity to the river; elevation of the area; frequency, duration, and depth of floods), and susceptibility (e.q. socio-economic areas' capacities, coping, and recovery) are essential for assessing physical damage due to flood" [12,10]. In Nigeria, several studies have been

carried out on vulnerability assessment using various techniques including Remote Sensing and GIS techniques [13-21] to ascertain the vulnerability level while a study conducted by Ugwu [22] was able to examine the effects of incidence of flooding on property value in Port Harcourt metropolis, Rivers State. None of these studies assessed the vulnerability of developed properties. Therefore, this study is assessing the flood vulnerability levels of developed properties in Port Harcourt Metropolis, Rivers State, Nigeria.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in Port Harcourt Metropolis., Rivers State, Nigeria It is located on latitude 04° 42' and 04° 47'N of the Equator and longitude 06° 55'and 07° 08'E of the Greenwich Meridian (Fig. 1). Port Harcourt Metropolis covers an area of 387.261000 (sq. km). Port Harcourt is the Capital City of Rivers State of Nigeria. The study area has a tropical monsoon climate with a mean annual temperature of 28°C and annual rainfall over 2500mm. The relative humidity is very high with an annual mean of 85%. The relief is generally lowland with an average elevation between 20m and 30m above sea level and the geology of the area comprises basically of alluvial sedimentary basin and basement complex. The vegetation found in this area includes raffia palms, thick mangrove forests and light rainforests. The soil is usually sandy or sandy loam underlain by a layer of the impervious pan and is always leached due to heavy rainfall. The study area is well-drained with both fresh and salt water. The saltwater is caused by the intrusion of seawater inland, thereby making the water slightly salty.

This study employed the use of both primary and secondary data. The primary data included Landuse map of the capital cities acquired from the Landsat Imagery of 30m x 30m and the network. drainage road network. and communities location extracted from the topographic map of 1: 100,000 scale of the study area; and soil map derived from the FAO website. The Landsat imagery of 2020 with path and rows of 188 and 057 and sensor ID of OLI/TIRS was collected for the cities. The bands of Landsat satellite imageries of each of the



Fig. 1. Port Harcourt Metropolis

study locations were combined to have composite imagery. The shapefile of each of the study locations was used to clip the composite imagery to have a definite boundary of the study area. However, the topographical map was georeferenced to the world coordinate system (WGS 84) in ArcGIS 10.7 from where the communities and river networks were obtained while the SRTM imagery was used to determine the elevation of each of the study locations. soil map was generated from The the World Soil Map created by FAO/UNESCO (1973). The soil map supplied information on the soil dominant composition, and the textural class (1=Coarse, 2=Medium/Fine, 3=Fine). The information on developed properties was obtained from the Open Street Map website (https://www.openstreetmap.org/#map=11/4.900 8/6.0796&lavers=N). Thereafter Spatial Manager Desktop software was used to extract and convert the data into a shapefile and later imported into the ArcGIS environment for further analysis.

This study made use of ranking methods of the vulnerability factors which is embedded in the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) proposed by Saaty (1980) [23]. AHP is a multi-criteria decision-making technique, which provides a systematic approach for assessing and integrating the impacts of various factors, involving several levels of dependent or independent, qualitative as well as quantitative information [24]. The ranking method was adopted because the criterion weights are usually determined in the consultation process with choice or decision makers which resulted in a ratio value assigned to every criterion map [25]. In the ranking method, every criterion under consideration is ranked in the order of the decision maker's preference. To generate criterion values for each evaluation unit, each factor was weighted according to the estimated significance of causing the flood.

The landuse/land cover map was generated from the satellite imageries. A supervised classification technique was adopted with the use of the MAXLIKE (Maximum Likelihood Algorithm) module to classify the imagery in the area. The area in a square kilometre of each landuse type was calculated. The drainage network which determines the proximity to river channels and

communities was mapped from the topographical map. These geographic features were digitized and captured as vector data in ArcGIS 10.7. The elevation map was derived from the STRM of 2020. The boundary of each of the study locations was used to clip the imagery to have the exact delineation of the boundary. The landuse, proximity to river channels (drainage), elevation and soil texture maps were reclassified into high vulnerability, moderate vulnerability, and low vulnerability. The landuse, proximity to river channels (drainage), elevation maps and soil texture were reclassified to determine the vulnerability across the cities of the region. Spatial query in ArcGIS 10.7 was used to determine the vulnerability levels that each developed properties fall into and also used to determine the spatial extent of each vulnerability level which was categorised into hiah vulnerability, moderate vulnerability, and low vulnerability. Descriptive statistics involving frequencies and percentages were used in the data analysis. Data were presented in tables and maps.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Vulnerability Assessment of Developed Properties in Port Harcourt

The analysis presented in Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Table 1 reveals the landuse pattern of Port Metropolis, landuse vulnerabilitv Harcourt level to flood and the spatial extent covered by landuse class. The analysis each revealed that five major landuse patterns were identified in Port Harcourt and these included waterbodies, swamp forest/riparian vegetation, built-up area, thick vegetation and farmlands/sparse vegetation. The analysis reveals that waterbody occupied 24.71 sq km (5.39%), swamp forest/riparian vegetation occupied 64.06 sq km (13.98%) while the built-up area covered 216.91 sq km (47.35%) and thick vegetation covered 73.94 sq km farmlands/sparse vegetation (16.14%) and occupied 78.51 sq km (17.14%). Thus, the level of landuse vulnerability to flood reveals that 16.14% the total area of was lowly vulnerable based on landuse. 31.12% was moderately vulnerable and 53.74% was highly vulnerable.



Ugwu et al.; J. Geo. Env. Earth Sci. Int., vol. 26, no. 11, pp. 47-61, 2022; Article no.JGEESI.94027

Fig. 2. Landuse Pattern in Port Harcourt in 2020



Fig. 3. Landuse vulnerability to flood in Port Harcourt

| S/n | Landuse | Spatial extent (Km ²) | Percentage (%) | Vulnerability assigned values | Vulnerability Levels |
|-----|------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Waterbody | 24.71 | 5.39 | 3 | High Vulnerability |
| 2 | Swamp | 64.06 | | 2 | Moderate |
| | Forest/Riparian | | 13.98 | | Vulnerability |
| 3 | Built Up Area | 216.91 | 47.35 | 3 | High Vulnerability |
| 4 | Thick Vegetation | 73.94 | 16.14 | 1 | Low Vulnerability |
| 5 | Farmlands/Sparse | 78.51 | | 2 | Moderate |
| | Vegetation | | 17.14 | | Vulnerability |
| | Total | 458.13 | 100.00 | | |





Fig. 4. Active River Channels in Port Harcourt

| S/n | Drainage buffer (m) | Spatial extent (km ²) | Percentage (%) | Vulnerability assigned values | Vulnerability levels |
|-----|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | 500 | 115.01 | 47.73 | 3 | High Vulnerability |
| 2 | 1000 | 72.553 | 30.11 | 2 | Moderate Vulnerability |
| 3 | 1500 Total | 53.399 240.96 | 22.16 100.00 | 1 | Low Vulnerability |

3.2 Proximity to River Channel (Drainage) Vulnerability Map

The analysis of proximity to active river channels is presented in Fig. 4, Fig. 5, Fig. 6 and Table 2. It is shown that the three scenarios considered were 500m, 1000m and 1500m radius from the centre of the river. The areal extent covered by the distance of 500m which was categorized as the high vulnerability was 115.01 sq km (47.73 %), 72.55 sq km (30.11%) were occupied by 1000m distance from the active rive river channels and this was categorized to be moderate vulnerability while the low vulnerability which was 1500m distance from the river occupied 53.40 sq km (22.16%). With this analysis, it is regarded that the highly vulnerable had almost half of the total area occupied by the buffers and it was even found as the highest among other levels based on the proximity to active river channels.



Fig. 5. Buffering Analysis for Active River Channels in Port Harcourt



Fig. 6. Proximity to river active channel vulnerability to flood in Port Harcourt

3.3 Soil Texture Vulnerability Map in Port Harcourt

The soil texture and its vulnerability to flooding are displayed in Fig. 7, Fig. 8 and Table 2. It is shown that the major soil texture identified are coarse, and fine and the spatial coverage of the highly vulnerable part of the study location was 87.15% while 12.85% was left with moderate vulnerability to flooding. Meanwhile, coarse had spatial coverage of 342.13 sq km (74.67%), and fine texture had 58.87 sq km (12.85%).



Fig. 7. Soil Texture of Port Harcourt



Fig. 8. Soil texture vulnerability to flood in Port Harcourt

Ugwu et al.; J. Geo. Env. Earth Sci. Int., vol. 26, no. 11, pp. 47-61, 2022; Article no.JGEESI.94027

| S/n | Soil texture | Spatial extent (Km ²) | Percentage (%) | Vulnerability assigned values | Vulnerability levels |
|-----|----------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Coarse Texture | 342.13 | 74.67 | 3 | Low vulnerability |
| 2 | Fine Texture | 58.872 | 12.85 | 2 | High vulnerability |
| 3 | Mixed Texture | 57.197 | | 3 | Moderate |
| | | | 12.48 | | vulnerability |
| | Total | 458.2 | 100.00 | | |

Table 3. Soil texture and its vulnerability to flood in Port Harcourt



Fig. 9. Elevation of Port Harcourt



Fig. 10. Elevation vulnerability to flood in Port Harcourt

| S/n | Elevation level (m) | Spatial extent (Km²) | Percentage (%) | Vulnerability assigned values | Vulnerability levels |
|-----|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 0-8 | 30.9 | 7.77 | 3 | High Vulnerability |
| 2 | 8.01-14 | 73.32 | 18.43 | 2 | Moderate Vulnerability |
| 3 | 14.01-19 | 126.17 | 31.71 | 2 | Moderate Vulnerability |
| 4 | 19.01-24 | 123.92 | 31.14 | 2 | Moderate Vulnerability |
| 5 | 24.01-39 | 43.62 | 10.96 | 1 | Low Vulnerability |
| | Total | 397.93 | 100.00 | | |

Table 4. Analysis of elevation levels and vulnerability to Flood in Port Harcourt

3.4 Flood Vulnerability Map Based on Elevation

The analysis of the elevation of Port Harcourt is displayed in Fig. 9, Fig. 10 and Table 3. It was discovered that the high vulnerability due to elevation covered a spatial extent of 30.9 sq km (7.77%) while moderate ranging from 8.01m to 24m had a spatial extent of 323.41 sq km (81.28%) and the spatial extent of low vulnerability was 43.62 sq km (10.96%).

3.5 Flood Vulnerability Map and Developed Properties Vulnerability Levels

The flood vulnerability levels in Port Harcourt are shown in Fig. 11 and Table 4 whereby it is found that the low flood vulnerability levels occupied 60.78 sq km (13.22%) while the moderate flood vulnerability occupied 259.72 sq km (56.51%) and high flood vulnerability level had a spatial extent of 139.14 sq km (30.27%). The analysis has revealed that the majority of the part of Port Harcourt is under moderate flood vulnerability but despite this, some areas are still categorized as highly vulnerable to flood.

Furthermore, the flood vulnerability levels that developed properties belonged to are displayed in Fig. 12 for the lowly vulnerable developed properties, Fig. 13 for the moderately vulnerable developed properties and Fig. 14 for the highly vulnerable developed properties. The analysis contained in Table 5 reveals that 3977 (9.04%) of the total developed properties in Port Harcourt had a low flood vulnerability, 34754 (78.96%) had a moderate flood vulnerability and 5283 (12.00%) had a high flood vulnerability. The implication is that more than 80% of the developed properties identified in Port Harcourt are liable to be vulnerable to at least moderate floods.

| S/n | Vulnerability level | Spatial extent (Km ²) | Percentage (%) |
|-----|---------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Low | 60.78 | 13.22 |
| 2 | Moderate | 259.72 | 56.51 |
| 3 | High | 139.14 | 30.27 |
| | Total | 459.64 | 100.00 |

Table 5. Flood vulnerability levels in Port Harcourt

| SN | Vulnerability | Number of developed properties | Percentage (%) |
|----|---------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Low | 3977 | 9.04 |
| 2 | Moderate | 34754 | 78.96 |
| 3 | High | 5283 | 12.00 |
| | Total | 44014 | 100.00 |



Ugwu et al.; J. Geo. Env. Earth Sci. Int., vol. 26, no. 11, pp. 47-61, 2022; Article no.JGEESI.94027

Fig. 11. Flood vulnerability in Port Harcourt



Fig. 12. Developed properties of low flood vulnerability in Port Harcourt



Ugwu et al.; J. Geo. Env. Earth Sci. Int., vol. 26, no. 11, pp. 47-61, 2022; Article no.JGEESI.94027

Fig. 13. Developed properties of moderate flood vulnerability in Port Harcourt



Fig. 14. Developed properties of high flood vulnerability in Port Harcourt

4. DISCUSSION

The flood vulnerability assessment of developed buildings showed that many of the developed are faced with moderate flood vulnerability and this may slightly affect their potential use for humankind. Floods are one of the common natural phenomena that can cause a huge danger to people and building objects located in flood plains. The particularly severe effects of such disasters are felt in heavily urbanized areas [26]. As a result of an increase in the flow velocity of the flood, the ground erodes, especially intense in places where obstacles are encountered, which are also construction objects. The erosion of foundations is a frequent cause of the collapse or emergency states of buildings [26]. Similarly, Park et al. [27] reported that urbanization on floodplains results in a rise in property values, therefore increasing potential flood damage. Because flood damage is inevitable in floodplains, settling and investing in the floodplain contributes to a higher risk of flood damage. Other buildings are found in a low and high-flood vulnerable areas, although they are lower in number than the moderately vulnerable buildings. This is in agreement with the findings of Park et al. [27] whereby the fuzzy values revealed the vulnerability level of each building to flood damage split into stages which included very low vulnerability, low vulnerability, medium vulnerability, high vulnerability and very high vulnerability. Kropp [28] buttressed that the risk of flooding has always been present for buildings close to rivers or coasts, but it has been growing in recent years. Major floods all over the world over the past decade have shown that flooding is a significant environmental hazard.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDA-TIONS

The study can be concluded that the number of developed properties in the Port Harcourt Metropolis varied significantly based on different flood vulnerability levels and more of them were found within the moderate vulnerability levels. Based on the findings, the study recommended that all the developed properties lying in the high flood vulnerability level should be relocated to better locations or at least conform to ways of guiding against the effect of flood on the developed properties, and regular public enlightenment of the effect of the flood would help in preventing people from constructing their house in the highly and moderately flood vulnerable levels.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. National Research Council. Tying flood insurance to flood risk for low-lying structures in the floodplain. Washington DC: The National Academies Press; 2015. Available:https://doi.org/10.17226/21720.
- 2. Birhanu D. Kima H. Jangb C, Parka S. Flood risk and vulnerability of addis ababa city due to climate change and urbanization. Procedia Engineering. 2016; 154 696-702.
- Odufuwa BO, Adedeji OH, Oladesu JO, Bongwa A. Floods of fury in Nigerian Cities. Journal of Sustainable Development. 2012;5 (7):69-79. DOI:10.5539/jsd.v5n7p69
- Amangabara GT, Obenade M. Flood vulnerability assessment of Niger Delta states relative to 2012 flood disaster in Nigeria. American Journal of Environmental Protection. 2015;3(3):76-83. DOI: 10.12691/env-3-3-3
- 5. Oladokun VO, Proverbs D. Flood risk management in Nigeria: A review of the challenges and opportunities. International Journal of Safety and Security Engineering. 2016;6(3):485–497.
- Alaghmand S, Abdullah R, Abustan I, Vosoogh B. GIS-based river flood hazard mapping in urban area (A case study in Kayu Ara River Basin Malaysia)' International Journal of Engineering and Technology. 2010;2(6):488–500.
- IPCC 2013 Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental panel on climate change Cambridge University Press Cambridge United Kingdom and New York NY USA; 2013.
- Rashetnia S. Flood vulnerability assessment by applying a fuzzy logic method: A case study from Melbourne. Unpublished Msc. Thesis. Victoria University Melbourne Australia; 2016.
- World Bank. The Caribbean Handbook for Risk Information Management (CHARIM); EU-funded ACP-EU Natural Disaster Risk Reduction Program Ed.; World Bank (WB): Washington DC USA 2014; 2014.
- 10. Fatemi N, Okyere SA, Diko SK, Kita M, Shimoda M, Matsubara S. Physical

vulnerability and local responses to flood damage in Peri-Urban Areas of Dhaka Bangladesh. Sustainability. 2020;12: 3957.

DOI:10.3390/su12103957

- Blanco A, Schanze J. Conceptual and methodological frameworks for large scale and high resolution analysis of the physical flood vulnerability of buildings. In Comprehensive Flood Risk Management— Research for Policy and Practice; Klijn F. Schweckendiek T. Eds.; CRC Press: Rotterdam The Netherlands. 2012;591– 598.
- 12. Yankson PWK, Owusu AB, Owusu G, Boakye-Danquah J, Tetteh JD. Assessment of coastal communities' vulnerability to floods using indicator-based approach: A case study of Greater Accra Metropolitan Area Ghana. Natural Hazards. 2017;89:661–689.
- Happy OJ, Makwe E, Shuaib MH. Flood vulnerability assessment and disaster risk reduction in Kubwa Federal Capital Territory Nigeria. American Journal of Environmental Engineering and Science. 2014;1(2):55-66.
- Eguaroje OE, Alaga TA, Ogbole JO, Omolere S, Alwadood J, Kolawole IS, Ajileye OO. Flood vulnerability assessment of Ibadan City Oyo State Nigeria. World Environment. 2015;5(4):149-159. DOI: 10.5923/j.env.20150504.03
- Akukwe TI, Ogbodo C. Spatial analysis of vulnerability to flooding in Port Harcourt Metropolis Nigeria. SAGE Open. 2015;1– 19.

DOI: 10.1177/2158244015575558.

 Dalil M, Mohammad N.H, Yamman UM, Husaini A, Mohammed SL. An assessment of flood vulnerability on physical development along drainage channels in Minna Niger State Nigeria. African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. 2015;9(1):38-46.

DOI: 10.5897/AJEST2014.1815

- Gelleh ID, Ibidun OA, Okeke UH. Flood vulnerability assessment in Lagos city using multi–criteria analysis. Greener Journal of Environment Management and Public Safety. 2016:5(2):024-032 Available:http://doi.org/10.15580/GJEMPS. 2016.2.011316005
- 18. Nkwunonwo UC. Assessment of social vulnerability for efficient management of

urban pluvial flooding in the Lagos Metropolis of Nigeria. Journal of Environmental Studies. 2017;3(1):11.

- Samuel KJ, Yakubu S, Ologunorisa TE, Kola-Olusanya A. A post-disaster assessment of riverine communities impacted by a severe flooding event. Ghana Journal of Geography. 2017; 9(1):17–41.
- 20. Chiabu N. Esther M, Ignatius OA. Assessment of flood risk of cross River State using Geographic Information Svstem (GIS). Congress 2018 FIG Embracing our smart world where the continents connect: enhancing the geospatial maturity of societies Istanbul Turkey May 6-11; 2018.
- Afolabi OO, Emelu VO, Wali E, Orji MC, Bosco- Abiahu LC, Yemi-Jonathan OIT, Wali OA, Asomaku SO. Geospatial analysis of flood vulnerability levels based on physical characteristics and resilience capacity of peri-urban settlements in Nigeria. Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection. 2022;10: 267-288.

Available:https://doi.org/10.4236/gep.2022. 108017

- 22. Ugwu MO. Effects of incidence of flooding on property value in Port Harcourt metropolis Rivers State. Unpublished Msc. Thesis University of Port Harcourt; 2017.
- 23. Saaty TL. The Analytic Hierarchy Process: Planning, Priority Setting, Resources Allocation. Mc Graw-Hill, New York. 1980;19.
- Bapalu, V., & Rajiv, S. R. (2006). GIS in Flood Hazard Mapping: A Case Study of Kosi River Basin, India. GIS Development Conference in 2005. pp. 1-7. Available:http://www.gisdevelopment.net/a pplication/natural_hazards/floods/floods00 1pf.htm
- Lawal DU, Matori A, Balogun A. A Geographic Information System and Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis in Proposing New Recreational Park Sites in University Teknologi Malaysia. Modern Applied Science. 2011;5:39-55.
- Wilk K. Hazards for buildings and structures caused by flood conditions. E3S Web of Conferences. 2018;45 00101 1-8. Available:https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/2 0184500101

Ugwu et al.; J. Geo. Env. Earth Sci. Int., vol. 26, no. 11, pp. 47-61, 2022; Article no.JGEESI.94027

- Park K, Choi SH, Yu I. Risk type analysis of building on urban flood damage. Water. 2021;13:1-17. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/w131825 05
- 28. Kropp S. The influence of flooding fig the value of real estate on Germany TS06Hworking week Valuation - Environmental Factors. 2012; 5729.

© 2022 Ugwu et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94027