



Surgical Removal of Transmigrated Mandibular Canine: A Case Report

Uma Mahindra ^{a++} and Zehra Nahri ^{a##}*

^a Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, CSMSS Dental College, Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar (Aurangabad), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103338>

Case Report

Received: 16/05/2023

Accepted: 24/07/2023

Published: 01/08/2023

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Mandibular canine transmigration is a rare occurrence and is an occasional diagnosis. The majority of patients have no symptoms, and these canines are frequently discovered during a radiological examination prior to orthodontic treatment. The exact aetiology is unknown, and treatment of impacted transmigrated canine can be challenging for a practitioner if it is diagnosed at later stages. Early detection of impacted canines and prompt treatment is utmost important to ensure facial harmony and improved function.

Aims: To determine the management of rare case of an impacted mandibular canine that had transmigrated to the opposite side.

Place of Study: Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, CSMSS Dental College and Hospital, Chhatrapati Sambhaji Nagar (Aurangabad).

Methodology: A patient with transmigrated mandibular canine with crowding in mandibular anterior teeth where orthodontic repositioning of tooth was not possible, was surgically removed by an intraoral approach followed by placement of Platelet Rich Fibrin (PRF) in the defect and that was followed by orthodontic treatment.

Results: PRF placed in the defect enhanced the healing process as PRF is rich in growth factors that promotes early healing and bone formation.

⁺⁺ Professor & HOD;

[#] Postgraduate Student;

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: drzehra28nahri@gmail.com;

Conclusion: The rare phenomenon of transmigration of the mandibular canine crossing the mandibular midline should be carefully evaluated and proper treatment modality according to the case should be considered for the management. Early detection and treatment is necessary to preserve adjoining tissues, and dentition, resulting in improved aesthetic and function. Surgical removal of the transmigrated canine under local anaesthesia appears to be the best form of treatment.

Keywords: Transmigration; mandibular canine; surgical extraction; Platelet Rich Fibrin (PRF).

1. INTRODUCTION

Tooth impaction, translocation, and transmigration can occur when teeth do not emerge in the proper place in the dental arch. An unerupted tooth may migrate to a location some distance away from where it formed, but it usually remains on the same side of the arch. The only tooth in the dental arch that has been reported to migrate across the midline is the mandibular permanent canine [1].

The term "transmigration" was coined by Ando et al. This appears to be the most appropriate phrase. Transmigration was defined by Tarsitano et al. as the phenomenon of an unerupted mandibular canine crossing the midline. Joshi believed that the predilection of a canine to cross the barrier of the mandibular midline suture was more important than the distance travelled. Furthermore, the stage of transmigration of the tooth at the time of examination influences the distance travelled [2].

In their clinical practice, oral and maxillofacial surgeons commonly encounter such clinical entities. Canines are the most important and foundational teeth of the dental jaws in the human dentition. They are essential for maintaining the beauty and functionality of the face, thus any change in their eruption is very concerning to the patient [3].

Transmigrated canines may persist as impacted and remain asymptomatic, or they may cause root resorption of neighbouring teeth, causing discomfort, pain, and neuralgic symptoms in the patient [2]. The incidence of impacted mandibular canines is 20 times lower than that of maxillary canines. The prevalence of transmigrated canines in the mandible ranges from 0.14% to 0.31% [4]. Clinical outcomes associated with canine transmigration include atypical retention of mandibular deciduous canines or the absence of mandibular permanent canines in the dental arch. The majority of the

time, the transmigrated canines were lying horizontally below the apices of the anterior teeth [5]. This paper describes an unique example of transmigrated mandibular canine and its surgical treatment.

2. CASE REPORT

A 15 years old female patient was referred from department of orthodontics and dentofacial orthopaedics in association of radiographic finding of horizontally impacted permanent canine seeking orthodontic treatment. On clinical examination, there was no obvious facial asymmetry, and TMJ movements were within normal limits with adequate mouth opening.

Intraoral examination revealed over retained deciduous canine on lower dentition on right side of the jaw with all four third molars missing side with class I molar relationship and anterior deep bite, there was no pain and tenderness on palpation. There were no significant findings in the dental or medical histories.

A panoramic radiograph revealed impacted 43 in midline of mandible. Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) was recommended to determine the exact location of the mandibular canine relative to its adjacent tooth and to plan future treatment. CBCT revealed a horizontally transmigrated mandibular right canine, with the crown passing the midline and approaching the opposite side canine and lying horizontally below the apices of the mandibular anterior teeth labially.

There were two surgical options: an extraoral approach through the lower border of the mandible or an intraoral labial approach through the mucobuccal fold. Because an extra-oral approach would require a sub-mental incision of at least an inch in length and was aesthetically unsuitable, the intraoral labial approach was chosen. The Intraoral method appeared to be more conservative. Before the procedure, the

patient was given 1 g of Amoxicillin orally as a precaution. Local anaesthesia containing 2% lignocaine and 1:80000 adrenaline was injected as a Left inferior alveolar nerve block using sterile protocols. A no. 15 blade was used to make an incision from 1st premolar on right side to 2nd premolar on left side, and a full thickness mucoperiosteal flap was reflected. The symphysis region was exposed all the way to the lower border of mandible.

The bone was cut with a round bur no. 4, and a window was created to expose the crown of the canine, followed by sectioning of the tooth beneath with a straight bur till the cement-enamel junction. The crown was divided and luxated, removed first, followed by the removal of the root with minor luxation. Copious irrigation with betadine and normal saline was done to flush the empty socket. Dental follicle associated with the impacted canine was thoroughly

removed and empty socket was inspected for any remnants.

Meanwhile, patient blood was drawn from the right ante-cubital vein and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 minutes to produce Platelet rich fibrin (PRF), which was then infused into the defect created and surgical closure was performed with 3-0 silk and pressure dressing was administered orally. The patient was prescribed analgesics for three days, with intermittent ice application for the initial 24 hours. The swelling and pain was minimal 3 days post surgery. The removal of the sutures on the seventh post-operative day revealed good healing with no post-operative complications. The patient received orthodontic treatment, and a 1-month post-operative orthopantomogram revealed a good amount of bone at the defect site, with on-going orthodontic treatment and healthy tissue.



Fig. 1. Preoperative intra-oral view



Fig. 2. Preoperative OPG showing transmigrated canine



Fig. 3. Intra oral exposure of canine



Fig. 4. Extracted mandibular impacted canine



Fig. 5. Empty socket

3. DISCUSSION

Canines are considered to play significant aesthetic and functional roles in human

dentition. Impaction, translocation, and, in particular, transmigration involving mandibular canines are uncommon. There is relatively little research on mandibular canine impactions. There have been few references to diagnostic criteria or surgical management of these teeth. The scarcity of these impactions is most likely to blame for the scarcity of material in this area [3,6].



Fig. 6. Blood withdrawn for PRF



Fig. 7. PRF

Panoramic radiographs, in addition to occlusal radiographs, are routinely recommended to detect transmigrated canines. Other investigation methods include lateral cephalograms, CBCT, and, in rare cases, computed tomography (CT). With the introduction of CBCT into the field of dentistry, it is now possible to accurately localise impacted canines and associated vital structures, as well as detect root resorption of adjacent teeth [7].

Mupparapu proposed a classification system for transmigrated teeth, categorising them into five types based on the position of the teeth in the jaw and the pattern of migration.

Following this classification, our case falls into the type 2 category [8].

- Type 1: Canine impacted mesioangularly across the midline, labial, or lingual to the anterior teeth with the crown portion of tooth crossing the midline.
- Type 2: Canine horizontally impacted near the inferior border of the mandible below the apices of the incisors.
- Type 3: Canine erupted either mesial or distal to the opposite canine
- Type 4: Canine horizontally impacted near the inferior border of the mandible below the apices of the premolar or molar on the opposite side.
- Type 5: Canine is positioned vertically in the middle with the long axis of the tooth crossing the midline



Fig. 8. Sutures placed



Fig. 9. Postoperative 1st day



Fig. 10. Postoperative 3rd day



Fig. 11. 1st month post-operative orthopantogram

The majority of the time, transmigrated canines are asymptomatic, though follicular cyst development surrounding the impacted tooth, chronic infection, and fistula formation have been documented [9]. In our case, the patient was accidentally diagnosed with impacted canine despite the absence of clinical symptoms.

To some extent, the persistent retention of the primary canine is a consistent sign that results in the detection of its impacted permanent successor. The left canine is more affected than the right canine, and females are more likely to be affected than males. According to Joshi et al, the root resorption of the primary canine is comparatively slow due to the absence of the developing permanent mandibular canine beneath the primary canine, as our patient had retained deciduous canine on the right side of the mandible [2].

The nerve supply from the area of origin is retained by the transmigrated canine. As a result, anaesthetizing the nerve of the involved side to where the impacted canine is located is mandatory, especially under local anaesthesia. Henceforth this justifies administration of left inferior alveolar nerve block in our patient [3].

If transmigrated canine is discovered at a late stage, management becomes extremely difficult. Treatment options include orthodontic repositioning, surgical extraction of the abnormally positioned canine, tooth transplantation, and surgically exposing the canine with orthodontic alignment [10,11]. Because the mandibular arch was crowded in our

case, and the position of the transmigrated canine was near the lower border of the patient's mandible, orthodontic repositioning was not an option, so surgical removal of the transmigrated teeth was the treatment of choice. Henceforth the transmigrated was surgically removed, and the defect site was infused with PRF for faster healing. PRF contains various growth factors that promote early healing and rapid bone formation. Because our patient was young and required immediate treatment, we recommended this treatment as the best available option.

4. CONCLUSION

The erratic and elusive phenomenon of transmigration of the mandibular canine crossing the mandibular midline is described in the dental literature. Some of the previously reported cases of transmigration canine in which extraction of the canine was elected as treatment of choice are as follows:

A case of bilateral canine transmigration with kissing phenomenon was described, which was treated surgically and yielded excellent results by incorporating Platelet Rich Fibrin along with bone allograft in the osseous defect, aiding bone regeneration and healing which is similar to this case, as PRF is used alone in this case to aid bone regeneration [12].

In a case sequence, seven cases of canine transmigration was reported during orthodontic treatment, one of which involved the maxilla. A panoramic radiograph is an essential tool in the early diagnosis of transmigration and was

recommended in cases of retained deciduous or missing teeth for proper patient management. It was also concluded that in transmigration cases, cone beam computed tomography can help assess three-dimensional location, labial and lingual displacement, and root resorption [13].

In a case series, clinical and radiological characteristics of transmigrated canines in a Spanish population was evaluated, in total, 52 patients had transmigrated canines, resulting in a 0.76% prevalence. There were 28 women and 24 men in this sample. When a transmigrated canine was found, a CBCT scan was performed to evaluate the clinical and radiological variables associated with canine transmigration. The left was the most common side of transmigration as compared to the right side. According to the Mupparapu classification, corresponded to type IV (42.30%), type II (36.53%), type I (15.38%), and type V (5.76%), with no type III transigrations found. Only 17.30% of cases had clinical manifestations, and 11.53% of radiological findings revealed the presence of tooth cysts, which were confirmed by histopathological studies [14].

A cone beam computed tomography study conducted in the past, was to examine and evaluate the maxillary and mandibular impacted and transmigrant canines, their relationship with neighboring tissues and pathology. It was concluded that transmigration incidence was statistically higher in the lower jaw as compared to the upper jaw. In cases of impacted or transmigrated canine along with a detailed clinical examination, CBCT is of a great importance for correct treatment planning and minimizing future complications after the surgical removal of the impacted canine [15]. Radiographic examination using panoramic radiographs is essential for diagnosing impacted canines, and newer technologies such as CBCT make it very effective for precisely diagnosing transmigrated canines.

A case of transmigrated canine associated with the dentigerous cyst was reported that develops in the canine of an impacted, embedded, unerupted, or developing tooth. The radiographic evaluation, was primarily based on the panoramic radiograph, to correctly diagnose the case of dentigerous cyst. Treatment of choice for this reported case was early detection, surgical removal of transmigrated canine and cystic enucleation [16].

Early detection and treatment help to preserve such canines, adjoining tissues, and dentition, resulting in improved aesthetic and function. Furthermore, the canine occasionally migrates without any pathology, but in rare cases, a cyst or odontome supplements such teeth. The aetiology of this phenomenon is unknown. In the majority of cases, surgical removal of the transmigrated canine under local anaesthesia appears to be the best form of treatment. A complete diagnostic and therapeutic method, as well as sound.

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, patient(s) written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard guideline participant consent and ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the authors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my guide for her precious clinical tips and my assistant for assisting me in my case.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Camilleri S, Scerri E. Transmigration of mandibular canines—a review of the literature and a report of five cases. *The Angle Orthodontist*. 2003;73(6):753-62.
2. Joshi MR. Transmigrant mandibular canines: a record of 28 cases and a retrospective review of the literature. *The Angle Orthodontist*. 2001;71(1):12-22.
3. Mihir Dani, Mohan Baliga, Ananya Mishra Transmigrated mandibular canine and its surgical management, *Guident*; 2021.
4. Aydin U, Yilmaz HH, Yildirim D. Incidence of canine impaction and transmigration in a patient population. *Dentomaxillofacial Radiology*. 2004;33(3):164-9
5. Miranti R, Levbarg M. Extraction of a horizontally transmigrated impacted mandibular canine: report of case. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*. 1974 ;88(3):607-10.

6. Bhullar MK, Aggarwal I, Verma R, Uppal AS. Mandibular canine transmigration: Report of three cases and literature review. *Journal of International Society of Preventive & Community Dentistry*. 2017;7(1):8
7. Laffranchi L, Dalessandri D, Fontana P, Visconti L, Sapelli P. Cone beam computed tomography role in diagnosis and treatment of impacted canine patient's: A case report. *Minerva Stomatologica*. 2010;59(6):363-76
8. Mupparapu M, Auluck A, Suhas S, Pai KM, Nagpal A. Patterns of intraosseous transmigration and ectopic eruption of bilaterally transmigrating mandibular canines: radiographic study and proposed classification. *Quintessence International*. 2007;38(10).
9. Rebellato J, Schabel B. Treatment of a patient with an impacted transmigrant mandibular canine and a palatally impacted maxillary canine. *The Angle Orthodontist*. 2003;73(3):328-36
10. Díaz-Sánchez RM, Castillo-de-Oyagüe R, Serrera-Figallo MÁ, Hita-Iglesias P, Gutiérrez-Pérez JL, Torres-Lagares D. Transmigration of mandibular cuspids: review of published reports and description of nine new cases. *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. 2016;54(3):241-7.
11. González-Sánchez MA, Berini-Aytés L, Gay-Escoda C. Transmigrant impacted mandibular canines: a retrospective study of 15 cases. *The Journal of the American Dental Association*. 2007;138(11):1450-5
12. Wagre RB, Mahindra U, Motwani D, Salve R. Kissing mandibular canines: An uncommon case of bilaterally transmigrated impacted mandibular canines being treated surgically: A case report. *Int J Med Dent Case Rep*. 2021;12:1-4
13. Joshi, Harshil, Jatti Deepa, Taluja Rameshwar, Taluja Swetha, Kaur Manjot, Mann Shireen; 2021.
14. Anshul, & ., Suman & Sood, Bhargavi & Nagpal, Archana & Grover, Seema & Dogra, Namrata. Kissing transmigrated mandibular canines sharing a Dentigerous cyst; 2022.
15. Martínez-Rodríguez César, Martínez-Rodríguez Natalia, Alamán Jose, Ruiz Pedro, Santos-Marino Juan, Martínez-González José, Barona Cristina; 2022.
16. Bayramoglu Zeynep, Miloglu Ozkan, Ilbaş Fatmanur; 2023.

© 2023 Mahindra and Nahri; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/103338>