



***In vivo* Bio-efficacy of Fungicides and Antagonists for the Management of Target Leaf Spot of Soybean [*Corynespora cassiicola* (Berk. and Curt.) Wei]**

Ishwari G. Hiremath ^{a*}, Shalini N. Huilgol ^a, Yashoda Hegde ^a and Priyanka K. ^a

^a Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad- 580005, Karnataka, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/13i103120

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106729>

Original Research Article

Received: 17/07/2023

Accepted: 24/09/2023

Published: 30/09/2023

ABSTRACT

Foliar diseases cause major qualitative and quantitative yield loss in soybean, among which target leaf spot of soybean caused by *Corynespora cassiicola* is one of the constraints. Hence, management of this disease is much needed effort. Thus the present investigation was carried out for management of the disease under field condition using fungicides and bioagents that were effective in inhibiting the pathogen under *in vitro* studies. Among the different treatments evaluated, seed treatment with (pyraclostrobin 5% + thiophanate methyl 45%) @ 2 ml/kg seed followed by spray with (tebuconazole 50% + trifloxystrobin 25%) @ 0.05% at 55 and 75 days after sowing

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ishwarihiremath0@gmail.com;

(DAS) recorded the least disease severity of 2.23 percent with higher seed yield (17.20 q/ha) and test weight (17.41 g) as compared to untreated check which recorded disease severity of 27.50 percent. Apart from the target disease, other diseases like rust, anthracnose and Alternaria leaf spot were also take care in the same treatment. Use of fungicides for the management of disease in the absence of resistant genotypes is an old practice and it is one of the best options when there is outbreak.

Keywords: Soybean; target leaf spot; *Corynespora cassiicola*; fungicides; antagonist; management.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] is the most important leguminous oilseed crop grown worldwide, with seeds containing high amounts of both protein (40 %) and oil (20 %). The crop is considered as wonder crop, golden bean and miracle bean of 20th century. The origin of soybean domestication is thought to be in China but its great adaptability to different latitudes, climatic and soil conditions enabled soybean to become the fourth most widely grown crops across the globe after wheat, maize and rice. In India the crop is grown in an area of 12.20 million hectare with a production of 11.90 million tonnes and a productivity of 991 kg/ha” [1].

“Diseases are one of the major constraints for successful cultivation of soybean. The crop is known to be infected by more than 100 pathogens at various stages of crop growth Hartman et al [2] of which 35 are economically important including the most devastating disease like Asian rust” Gupta, [3] Now a days, some of the minor diseases like target leaf spot caused by *Corynespora cassiicola* (Berk. and Curt.) Wei are gaining importance in soybean growing areas of Karnataka. The disease target leaf spot of soybean was first reported during 1945 in USA [4] and now it has been found in most of the important soybean growing states. The disease has also been reported from different countries like Cambodia, Canada, China, Japan and Nicaragua [5] “In India, it was reported from Palampur during 1999-2000 and from Jabalpur during 2002-03” [6].

“The symptoms upon infection by *C. cassiicola* can be noticed on leaves, stems, pods and seeds. Leaf lesions are circular to irregular and appear reddish brown; they vary from small specks to big mature spots. Lesions are frequently surrounded by a dull green or yellowish green halo. Severely affected leaves drop prematurely” [5]. “On stem and petiole the spots are dark brown and spindle shaped. On pods the spots are mostly circular with slightly

depressed having light brown centre and dark brown margin. The pathogen can also survive in a fallow field for two years. Disease severity was significantly higher when humidity is more than 80 per cent” [6]. “Dry weather conditions will suppress disease development. Optimum temperature for mycelial growth of the pathogen is 25-30°C while conidial germination is maximum at 30°C” [7].

The increase in the incidence of minor diseases may be related to change in weather parameters, change in pathogen virulence and introduction of varieties which are resistant to major diseases. From Karnataka, there are no reports or research work conducted on target leaf spot of soybean. Thus, keeping the view of wide occurrence of disease and its destructive nature, an attempt was made to develop an integrated strategy for management of the disease under field condition. “Use of foliar fungicides has not been a reliable control method for target leaf spot. But few fungicides are available for management of target leaf spot of soybean of which most used methyl benzimidazole carbamate (MBC) fungicide is carbendazim” [8].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Isolation and Identification of Target Pathogen

“The infected plants showing typical symptoms of the disease were used for the isolation of pathogen. The standard tissue isolation procedure was followed to isolate the pathogen. The infected tissues of leaves were cut into small bits and were surface sterilized with 0.1 per cent sodium hypochlorite solution for 60 seconds and washed serially in sterilized distilled water and then transferred to sterilized Petri plates containing potato dextrose agar (PDA). Then the plates were incubated at room temperature (28 °C). The hyphal tips from margins of resulting colonies were cut with the help of sterilized 2 mm cork borer and transferred to Petri plates containing PDA” [9].

Chart 1. Grade of the observation of the disease on the foliage and disease severity

Grade	Description
0	No lesions
1	1 % leaf area covered with lesion
3	1.1 - 10 % leaf area covered with lesion
5	10.1 – 25 % of the leaf area covered no defoliation, little damage
7	25.1 – 50 % leaf area covered, some leaf drop, death of a few plant damage conspicuous
9	More than 50 % leaf area covered, lesion very common on all plants, defoliation common, death of plant common, damage more than 50 %.

2.2 Experimental Site

The present field experiment was carried out during *kharif* 2018-19 at the experimental plot, AICRP on soybean, Main Agricultural Research Station (MARS), University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India. The experiment was conducted using variety JS 335 in a randomized block design (RBD) consisting of 8 treatments with three replications each.

2.3 Observations on Bio-Efficacy of Fungicides and Antagonists

Disease severity was assessed before spray and 10 days after spray, based on the disease severity score as given in the table. The first spray was done after the first appearance of disease symptoms. The same concentrations were also followed during second spray applications with an interval of 20 days. The data on disease severity were recorded before spray application and 10 days after the spray application. Water sprayed plots served as control.

The observation of the disease on the foliage and disease severity was recorded by using 0-9 scale according to Mayee and Datar [10].

2.4 Assessment of Percent Disease Index (PDI)

To record the severity of target leaf spot disease, 10 plants were selected randomly. Total 5 trifoliate leaves per plant were examined for visual symptoms of diseases. Percent disease index (PDI) was calculated by using the formula given by Wheeler [11].

$$\text{Per cent Disease Index (PDI)} = \frac{\text{Sum of the individual rating}}{\text{Number of leaves observed}} \times \text{Maximum grade} \times 100$$

2.5 Observation on Seed Yield and Test Weight

At the time of harvest, soybean seeds from all the treatments were collected and weighed separately and average weight was calculated. After threshing and winnowing, seed weight of each replication and test weight was recorded and yield per hectare was computed by using net plot yield data and it was then converted to quintal per hectare.

2.6 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out for the data by the following procedure of Randomized Block Design. Calculations were made after applying the test of significance of the means. The per cent data of disease severity were transformed to Arc sine values.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Symptomatology

The disease was noticed on all the above ground plant parts like leaves, stems and pods. On leaves spots appeared circular to irregular and dark brown in colour and size varied from small specks to big mature spots. These spots were surrounded by a dull green or yellowish green halo (Plate 1A, 1B and 1C). As disease progressed, the leaves turned yellow and dropped prematurely. On stem and petiole the spots are dark brown and spindle shaped (Plate 1D). On pods the spots were mostly circular with slightly depressed having light brown centre and dark brown margin (Plate 1E).

3.2 Isolation and Morphological Characteristics of the Pathogen

Isolation of the pathogen was done from leaves showing typical symptoms of the disease target

leaf spot. On the basis of morphological characters of mycelium and conidia, the pathogen was identified as *Corynespora cassiicola*. Under microscopic observation upon isolation, the mycelium was observed as septate, branched and pale brown in colour. Conidiophores raised singly from the mycelium which are septate and pale to dark brown in colour. Conidia formed either singly or in chains of 2-3 which are produced at the tip of the conidiophore. These conidia were slightly curved

or straight having 8-10 pseudosepta and hilum at the base (Plate 2).

3.3 Management of Soybean Target Leaf Spot under Field Condition

A field experiment was carried out during *kharif* 2018-19 at the experimental plot, AICRP on Soybean, MARS, Dharwad for management of target leaf spot of soybean using fungicides and bioagents.




Plate 1. Symptomatology of target leaf spot on differet parts of soybean: A) Initial symptom, B) Dark brown spot with yellow halo on leaves, C) Severely infected leaves, D) Dark brown, spindle shaped spots on stem and petiole, E) Depressed spot with brown margin on pods




Plate 2. Cultural and morphological characteristics of *Corynespora cassiicola*: A) Pure culture of *Corynespora cassiicola* on Potato Dextrose Agar, B) Conidia with conidiophore produced in culture plate

Table 1. Management of soybean target leaf spot (*Corynespora cassiicola*) through fungicides and antagonists under field condition

Treatments	Treatment Details	Per cent disease index	Per cent disease reduction over control	Test weight (g)	Yield (q/ha)
T ₁	Seed treatment with (carboxin 37.5 % + thiram 37.5 %) 2 g/kg seed + spray with thiophanate methyl @ 0.1 % at 55 and 75 DAS	8.24(16.68)*	70.03	12.40	14.48
T ₂	Seed treatment with (carboxin 37.5 % + thiram 37.5 %) @ 2 g/kg seed + spray with carbendazim @ 0.1 % at 55 and 75 DAS	10.75(19.11)	60.90	11.16	13.24
T ₃	Seed treatment with (pyraclostrobin 5 % + thiophanate methyl 45 %) @ 2 ml/kg seed + spray with (tebuconazole 50 % + trifloxystrobin 25 %) @ 0.05 % at 55 and 75 DAS	2.23(8.33)	91.89	17.41	17.21
T ₄	Seed treatment with (pyraclostrobin 5 % + thiophanate methyl 45 %) @ 2 ml/kg seed + spray with thiophanate methyl @ 0.1 % at 55 and 75 DAS	4.86(12.69)	82.32	16.42	16.03
T ₅	Seed treatment with <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> @ 5 g/kg seed + spray with <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> @ 0.2 % at 55 and 75 DAS	19.94(26.36)	27.49	10.16	10.60
T ₆	Seed treatment with <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> @ 5 g/kg seed + spray with carbendazim @ 1 g/l at 55 and 75 DAS	15.99(23.55)	41.84	10.30	11.03
T ₇	Seed treatment with <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> @ 5 g/kg seed + spray with (tebuconazole 50 % + trifloxystrobin 25 %) @ 0.05 % at 55 DAS and spray with <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> @ 0.2 % at 75 DAS	12.12(20.35)	55.92	10.90	12.54
T ₈	Untreated check	27.50(31.61)	-	9.20	9.04
S. Em. ±		1.28	-	0.10	0.75
C. D. at 5 %		3.88	-	0.32	2.29
C.V.		11.17	-	1.48	10.06

*Arc sine transformed values; DAS - Days After Sowing

Eight different treatments were evaluated under field condition as discussed in Table 1. Among the treatments, T₃ [Seed treatment with (pyraclostrobin 5 % + thiophanate methyl 45 %) @ 2 ml/kg seed followed by spray with (tebuconazole 50 % + trifloxystrobin 25 %) @ 0.05 % at 55 and 75 DAS] recorded the least disease severity of 2.23 per cent with numerically higher seed yield of 17.20 q/ha and test weight of 17.41 g as compared to untreated check (PDI - 27.50 %, Yield - 9.04 q/ha, Test weight - 9.20 g) and was significantly superior to rest of the treatments. Along with target leaf spot, the treatment has also taken care of other foliar diseases like rust, alternaria leaf spot and anthracnose.

Seed treatment with (pyraclostrobin 5 % + thiophanate methyl 45 %) @ 2 ml/kg seed + spray with thiophanate methyl @ 0.1 % at 55 and 75 DAS (T₄) recorded PDI of 4.86 with yield of 16.03 q/ha and test weight of 16.42 g, followed by seed treatment with (carboxin 37.5 % + thiram 37.5 %) 2 g/kg seed + spray with thiophanate methyl @ 0.1 % at 55 and 75 DAS with 8.24 per cent disease severity and was statistically on par with T₇ i.e., seed treatment with *Trichoderma harzianum* @ 5 g/kg seed + spray with (tebuconazole + trifloxystrobin) @ 0.05 per cent at 55 DAS and spray with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* @ 0.2 per cent at 75 DAS which

recorded PDI of 12.12 per cent with a yield of 12.54 q/ha (Table 1 and Fig. 1).

4. DISCUSSION

Corynespora cassiicola (Berk. and Curt.) Wei causing target leaf spot of soybean is having wide host range including crop and non-crop species. The MBC fungicides carbendazim and thiophanate-methyl have been used in the last decade to control target spot, anthracnose (*Colletotrichum truncatum* (Schw.) Andrus & Moore, 1935), *Cercospora* leaf blight (*Cercospora kikuchii* T. Matumoto & Tomoy, 1925) and *Septoria* brown spot (*Septoria glycines* Hemmi, 1915), but their efficacy has been decreasing year after year. There are several scientific proofs that deciphers the effectiveness of fungicides and biocontrol agents against soybean target leaf spot [12-17] Xavier et al. (2013), studied the incidence of target leaf spot disease on soybean and observed increased incidence in recent years in Brazil even with intensive use of fungicides, and fungal resistance has been reported in recent studies. Similar work was carried out by Pernezny et al. [18] for which they studied the management of target spot of tomato using different fungicides and reported that strobilurin group of fungicides like azoxystrobin found to be excellent in managing the disease. The results are also in




Fig. 1. Management of soybean target leaf spot (*Corynespora cassiicola*) through fungicides and antagonists under field condition

confirmative with the findings of Choudhary *et al.* [19] who reported that seed treatment with recommended combi product fungicides found best in managing the disease. Management of target leaf spot of soybean under field condition using six different fungicides as foliar spray among which Hexaconazole found most effective in managing the disease with disease severity of 33.67 per cent as compared to control (51.00 %). Mancozeb and pyraclostrobin found least effective with disease severity of 43.00 and 42.67 per cent respectively [9]. The combi product fungicide (pyraclostrobin 5 % + thiophanate methyl 45 %) is a combination of systemic and strobilurin group of fungicides, which is a new molecule using now a days against most of the seed borne diseases as a seed treating fungicide. Use of fungicides for the management of disease in the absence of resistant genotypes is an old practice and it is one of the best options when there is outbreak of disease but these fungicides need to be used judiciously based on their need, dose and type of disease to be managed [20].

5. CONCLUSION

The present investigation carried out for the evaluation of fungicides and bioagents concluded that the fungicide (pyraclostrobin 5 % + thiophanate methyl 45 %) as seed treatment followed by two sprays with (tebuconazole 50 % + trifloxystrobin 25 %) has given good results in the effective management of soybean target leaf spot and also other foliar diseases like rust and anthracnose.

CONSENT

It was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. All the authors have seen and approved the manuscript, and all have taken a valid role through either study design, data generation or manuscript preparation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Anonymous. Annual Report. All India coordinated research project on soybean. ICAR - IISR, Indore. 2022;2-3.
2. Hartman GL, Manandhar JB, Sinclair JB. Incidence of *Colletotrichum* spp. on soybean and weeds in Illinois and pathogenicity of *Colletotrichum truncatum*. Plant Disease. 1986;70(8):780-782.
3. Gupta GK. Soybean production and improvement in India. National Research Centre for Soybean, Indore, India. 2004;145-168.
4. Olive LS, Bain DC and Lefebvre CLA. leaf spot of cowpea and soybean caused by an undescribed species of *Helminthosporium*. Phytopathology. 1945;35:822-831.
5. Sinclair JB. Compendium of soybean diseases. American Phyto pathological Society. 1982;4: 27-28.
6. Patel MP. Studies on *Corynespora cassiicola* (Bark. and Curt.) Wei. Causing target spot of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill). M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Indira Gandhi Agric. Univ. Raipur, Chhattisgarh (India). 2005;12.
7. Kang SW, Kwon JH, Chung BK, Sho JK, Lee YS, Kim HK. Identification and etiological of new disease *Corynespora* leaf spot of cucumber caused by *Corynespora cassiicola*. Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1993;35(1):332-336.
8. Ghini R, Kimati H. Resistencia de fungos a fungicidas. Jagariuna. 2000;41(2):78 83.
9. Kurre AK. Studies on variability and management of target leaf spot of soybean caused by *Corynespora cassiicola* (Berk. and Curt.) Wei. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh (India); 2016.
10. Mayee CD and Datar VV. Phytopathometry. *Tech. Bull.* Marathwada Agri. Univ., Parbhani, Maharashtra, India. 1986; p. 81.
11. Wheeler BEJ. An introduction to plant diseases. John Willey and Sons Ltd., London. 1969;301.
12. Sloane LW, Crawford SH, Hom NL, Caner RB. Soybean yields increased by foliar fungicides. Louisiana Agriculture. 1975;18(4):4-5.
13. Conner RA. *Corynespora* leaf spot, a disease of Florida papaya. Proc. Florida state Hort. Soc., USA. 1978;184-185.
14. Mukherjee N and Dasgupta MK. Leaf blight and decline disease of papaya. Indian Agriculturist. 1982;26(2):147-149.
15. Rodriguez R and Melendez PL. Chemical control of cowpea powdery mildew and foliar spots. J. Agric. Univ. Puerto Rico. 1984;68(4):445-455.
16. Mehrotra A and Mehrotra A. *Corynespora* leaf spot, a new disease of *Pterygota alata*

- and its management. Indian Journal of Forestry. 2001;24(2):237.
17. Manju MJ, Mushrif S, Santhosh HM, Patil RS, Shankarappa TH, Benagi VI, Idicula SP. Evaluation of different fungi toxicants against *Corynespora cassiicola* causing Corynespora leaf fall disease of rubber [*Hevea brasiliensis* (Muell.) Arg.]. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019; 8(2):1640-1647.
 18. Pernezny K, Collins J, Carroll A, Stoffella P and Beaney A. Control of target spot of tomato with fungicides, systemic acquired resistance activators and a biocontrol agent. Plant Protection Science. 2002; 38(3):81-88.
 19. Choudhary CS, Arun A, Prasad SM. Management of Corynespora blight of sesame through fungicides and antagonists. International Journal of Plant Protection. 2014;7(1):267-269.
 20. Bhatnagar PS, Tiwari SP. Soybean in genetics, cytogenetics and breeding of crop plants. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. 1996;8:195.

© 2023 Hiremath et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106729>