



Genetic Relationship Studies for Seed Yield and Other Important Agronomic Traits in F_{2:3} Populations of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.)

Hussainbi ^{a*}, Khan H. ^a, Arunkumar B. ^b, Tembhurne B. V. ^a
and Anand P. P. ^c

^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India.

^b Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Agricultural Research Station, Nippani, Karnataka, India.

^c Department of Agronomy, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i214088

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108796>

Original Research Article

Received: 04/09/2023

Accepted: 10/11/2023

Published: 11/11/2023

ABSTRACT

The present investigation on genetic relationship studies for seed yield traits in F_{2:3} populations of cowpea involving VBN-1 × RC-19 and KBC-9 × PGCP-6 was carried during *summer* 2021. The results revealed that seed yield/plant recorded significant and positive correlation with pods/plant, seeds/pod, pod length, test weight and total dry matter content/plant in F_{2:3} population of VBN-1 × RC-19; pods/plant seeds/pod, pod length, test weight and total dry matter content/plant in F_{2:3} population of KBC-9 × PGCP-6 indicating the importance of these traits in improvement of cow pea yield. Pods/plant registered significant and positive association with seeds/pod and total dry matter

*Corresponding author: E-mail: husnang@gmail.com;

content/plant in F_{2:3} population of VBN-1 × RC-19. Seeds/pod recorded significant and positive association with pods/plant, pod length and total dry matter content/plant and test weight registered significant and positive association with total dry matter content/plant in F_{2:3} population of KBC-9 × PGCP-6. Seed yield/plant recorded negative association with primary branches/plant in F_{2:3} population of VBN-1 × RC-19 and plant height in F_{2:3} population of KBC-9 × PGCP-6 which suggested that selection for these traits is not rewarding for yield improvement.

Keywords: Cowpea; correlation coefficient; path analysis; direct effect; indirect effect.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) belongs to the family Fabaceae, tribe Phaseoleae, genus *Vigna* and section Catiang [1]. It is an annual self-pollinated diploid ($2n = 2x = 22$) warm-season grain legume with 620 Mb genome size” [2]. “Cowpea has been named so because of its use as cattle feed. It is commonly known as *Lobia*. Its dry edible grains are rich in protein (20–32%) with high amounts of essential amino acids (lysine and tryptophan), minerals (zinc, iron, calcium), vitamins (thiamine, folic acid and riboflavin) and fibre (6%) with low fat (<1%)” [3,4]. “Cowpea is a multifunctional legume grown for food, fodder, vegetable and green manure” [5,6]. “It is photo insensitive in nature and can be cultivated throughout the year. Cowpea is grown in different cropping system as it has relatively drought tolerant nature” [7] “Cowpea can be grown in arid, semiarid and subtropical areas. It is resilient to high temperature and limited water stresses, and grows well on poor soil with a wide range of soil pH thus making it a good choice for resource-poor small-scale farmers for their sustenance” [8].

“Approximately 8.9 mt of dry cowpea grains are produced annually across the world over an area of 14.5 mha with productivity of 616 kg/ha” [9]. “It is cultivated in Africa, South and Central America, East/Southeast Asia, US and Southern Europe” [10]. “It plays an important role in the developing countries of the tropics and subtropics especially in sub Saharan Africa, Asia, Central and South America. In India, cowpea is grown in the states of Gujarat, West Bengal, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Kerala and Orissa” [11]. “In India, cowpea occupies about 4 lakh ha area with 300 kg/ha productivity” [12].

Since polygenes influence quantitative features, direct selection for yield is not very effective. Therefore, it is essential to understand the relationships between characters that either directly or indirectly affect yield. The degree of link between the characteristics is explained by

the correlation coefficient. When there is a greater degree of indirect relationship between the characters, it becomes more challenging to explain a correlation system. The method of path coefficient analysis developed by Wright [13] is helpful in partitioning correlation coefficients into direct and indirect effects and in the assessment of relative contribution of each component to the yield.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material consisted of 100 and 70 F₃ family rows derived from crosses VBN-1 × RC-19 (cross I) and KBC-9 × PGCP-6 (cross II), respectively. These F₃ family rows were evaluated during *summer* 2021 using Augmented Block Design with five checks (C-152, KBC-2, KBC-9, PGCP-6 and IT-803695-1) replicated five times and parents at Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Kalaburagi, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur. Each F₃ progeny family was sown in row length of 4 meter with a spacing of 45 cm × 10 cm. “Five competitive plants selected randomly from each family row were used to record observation on ten traits *viz.*, days to initiation of flowering, days to physiological maturity, plant height, number of primary branches/plant, number of pods/plant, number of seeds/pod, pod length, test weight, dry matter/plant, seed yield/plant” [14]. The mean data of all traits were recorded and utilized for statistical analysis *viz.*, correlation coefficient and path analysis.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Correlation Analysis

Seed yield/plant recorded significant and positive correlation with of pods/plant (0.744), seeds/pod (0.633), pod length (0.609), test weight (0.438) and total dry matter content/plant (0.922) in F_{2:3} population of VBN-1 × RC-19 (Table 1 and Fig. 1); pods/plant (0.849), seeds/pod (0.549), pod length (0.495), test weight (0.331) and total dry

matter content/plant (0.941) in $F_{2:3}$ population of KBC-9 × PGCP-6 (Table 2 and Fig. 2) indicating the importance of these traits, while selection is under consideration for yield. Similar findings were reported by Patil et al. [15], Sabale et al. [16], Patil et al. [17] and Sawant [18] for pods/plant, pod length and test weight; Onkar and Paroda [19], Gowda [20], Ananda [21] and Khan et al. [22] for seeds/pod; Bhardu and Navale [23] for total dry matter content/plant.

Pods/plant registered significant and positive association with seeds/pod and total dry matter content/plant. Similar results were reported by Khan et al. [22], Onkar and Paroda [19] and Biradar et al. [24] for seeds/pod. Pod length recorded significant and positive association with seeds/pod and total dry matter content/plant. Similar findings were reported by Walle et al. [25] for dry matter/plant.

Seeds/pod recorded significant and positive association with pods/plant, pod length and total dry matter content/plant. Similar findings were reported by Onkar and Paroda [19], Biradar et al. [24] and Khan et al. [22] for pods/plant. Test weight registered significant and positive association with total dry matter content/plant. These results are in consonance with the findings of Walle et al. [25].

Seed yield/plant recorded negative association with primary branches/plant (-0.049) in $F_{2:3}$ population of VBN-1 × RC-19 and plant height (-0.080) in $F_{2:3}$ population of KBC-9 × PGCP-6 which suggested that selection for these traits is not rewarding for yield improvement. These results are in consonance with the findings of Dinesh et al. [26] for primary branches/plant and Rangaiah et al. [27] for plant height. However, Sabale et al. [16], Bhardu and Navale [23], Kurer [28], Sawant [18] and Biradar et al. [29] obtained contrasting results for branches/plant; Belhekar et al. [30], Kurer [28], Bhardu and Navale [29] for plant height.

Path Co-Efficient Analysis: Path co-efficient analysis indicated that high direct effect for seed yield/plant was recorded by total dry matter content/plant (0.4514) followed by pods/plant (0.3396) in F_3 population of VBN-1 × RC-19 (Table 3 and Fig. 3); pods/plant (0.4545) followed by total dry matter content/plant (0.4171) in F_3 population of KBC-9 × PGCP-6 (Table 4 and Fig. 4). Similar findings were also reported by Patil et al. [17], Sawant [18], Kalaiyarasi and Palanisamy

[31], Biradar et al. [29], Dinesh et al. [26], Sheela [32], Srinivas et al. [33], Nistha and Khan [34] and Kurer [28]. Yadav et al. [35] for pods/plant; Bhardu and Navale [29] for total dry matter content/plant.

Pods/plant had positive indirect effect *via* days to first flowering (0.0569), days to maturity (0.0562) and plant height (0.0075), seeds/pod (0.0852), pod length (0.0776), test weight (0.0280) and dry matter/plant (0.2480) in F_3 population of VBN-1 × RC-19; days to first flowering (0.0072), primary branches/plant (0.0519), seeds/pod (0.0980), pod length (0.0721), test weight (0.0359), dry matter/plant (0.3626) in F_3 population of KBC-9 × PGCP-6. Total dry matter content/plant had positive indirect effect *via* days to first flowering (0.0245), days to physiological maturity (0.0327), primary branches/plant (0.0024), pods/plant (0.3296), pod length (0.2542), seeds/pod (0.2616) and test weight (0.1635) in F_3 population of VBN-1 × RC-19; days to first flowering (0.0385), days to physiological maturity (0.0451), primary branches/plant (0.0076), pods/plant (0.3327), pod length (0.1854), seeds/pod (0.2133), test weight (0.1483) in F_3 population of KBC-9 × PGCP-6. Thus, selecting the above said traits while breeding will help to accelerate cowpea improvement programme.

Studies using correlation analysis showed that, for both segregating populations, the number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, pod length, test weight, and dry matter per plant all significantly positively correlated with seed yield per plant. This suggests that each of these characteristics had a substantial impact on direct selection. Therefore, a high seed output in cowpea could be achieved by improving these features.

“Path analysis gave an idea that the traits *viz.*, plant height, number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant, pod length, number of seeds/pod, test weight and total dry matter content/plant in $F_{2:3}$ population of VBN-1 × RC-19; days to initiation of flowering, plant height, number of branches/plant, number of pods/plant, pod length, number of seeds/pod, test weight and total dry matter content/plant in $F_{2:3}$ population of KBC-9 × PGCP-6 possessed high direct effect and significant positive relationship with seed yield/plant” [14]. These traits were major determinants of seed yield. Thus, these traits should be given more emphasis during selection for yield improvement in cowpea.

Table 1. Phenotypic correlation coefficient between yield and yield attributing in F_{2:3} population of VBN-1 × RC-19

Traits	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	X ₅	X ₆	X ₇	X ₈	X ₉	X ₁₀
X ₁	1.000	0.824**	0.146	-0.104	0.168	0.012	0.003	0.011	0.054	0.045
X ₂		1.000	0.121	-0.073	0.166	0.006	-0.009	-0.053	0.072	0.036
X ₃			1.000	-0.060	0.022	-0.013	-0.017	0.145	-0.040	0.038
X ₄				1.000	-0.112	-0.015	-0.003	-0.077	0.005	-0.049
X ₅					1.000	0.229**	0.251**	0.082	0.730**	0.744**
X ₆						1.000	0.957**	0.125	0.563**	0.609**
X ₇							1.000	0.125	0.580**	0.633**
X ₈								1.000	0.362**	0.438**
X ₉									1.000	0.922**

*= Significance at 0.05 % of probability ** Significance at 0.5 % of probability

Table 2. Phenotypic correlation coefficient between yield and yield attributing in F_{2:3} population of KBC-9 × PGCP-6

Traits	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	X ₅	X ₆	X ₇	X ₈	X ₉	X ₁₀
X ₁	1.000	0.620**	0.045	0.240*	0.016	0.171	0.233*	-0.072	0.092	0.136
X ₂		1.000	-0.019	0.147	-0.006	0.302**	0.299**	-0.010	0.108	0.101
X ₃			1.000	0.055	-0.052	-0.128	-0.066	-0.046	-0.018	-0.080
X ₄				1.000	0.114	0.000	0.035	-0.113	0.018	0.084
X ₅					1.000	0.159	0.216*	0.079	0.798**	0.849**
X ₆						1.000	0.845**	0.177	0.445**	0.495**
X ₇							1.000	0.095	0.511**	0.549**
X ₈								1.000	0.356**	0.331**
X ₉									1.000	0.941**

*= Significance at 0.05% of probability ** Significance at 0.5 % of probability

Where

X₁=Days to initiation of flowering X₂=Days to physiological maturity X₃=Plant height X₄=Number of branches/plant X₅= Number of pods/plant X₆= Pod length X₇= Number of seeds/pod X₈=Test weight X₉=Total dry matter content/plant X₁₀=Seed yield/plant




Fig. 1. Shaded correlation matrix of quantitative traits in F₃ segregating generation of VBN-1 × RC-19




Fig. 2. Shaded correlation matrix of quantitative traits in F₃ segregating generation of KBC-9 × PGCP-6

Table 3. Phenotypic path co-efficient among seed yield and its attributing characters in F_{2:3} population of VBN-1 × RC-19

Traits	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	X ₅	X ₆	X ₇	X ₈	X ₉	X ₁₀
X ₁	-0.0268	-0.0221	-0.0039	0.0028	-0.0045	-0.0003	-0.0001	-0.0003	-0.0015	0.0446
X ₂	-0.0174	-0.0211	-0.0026	0.0015	-0.0035	-0.0001	0.0002	0.0011	-0.0015	0.0363
X ₃	0.0043	0.0036	0.0295	-0.0018	0.0007	-0.0004	-0.0005	0.0043	-0.0012	0.0383
X ₄	-0.0001	-0.0001	-0.0001	0.0010	-0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	-0.0001	0.0000	-0.0489
X ₅	0.0569	0.0562	0.0075	-0.0378	0.3396	0.0776	0.0852	0.0280	0.2480	0.7440**
X ₆	0.0003	0.0002	-0.0004	-0.0004	0.0068	0.0296	0.0283	0.0037	0.0167	0.6090**
X ₇	0.0006	-0.0020	-0.0039	-0.0006	0.0583	0.2225	0.2325	0.0291	0.1348	0.6330**
X ₈	0.0022	-0.0110	0.0302	-0.0160	0.0172	0.0261	0.0260	0.2083	0.0755	0.4380**
X ₉	0.0245	0.0327	-0.0182	0.0024	0.3296	0.2542	0.2616	0.1635	0.4514	0.9220**

Residual effect = 0.275

** Significance at 0.5 % of probability

Table 4. Phenotypic path co-efficient among seed yield and its attributing characters in F_{2:3} population of KBC-9 × PGCP-6

Traits	X ₁	X ₂	X ₃	X ₄	X ₅	X ₆	X ₇	X ₈	X ₉	X ₁₀
X ₁	0.0899	0.0558	0.0040	0.0215	0.0014	0.0154	0.0209	-0.0065	0.0083	0.1360
X ₂	-0.0449	-0.0724	0.0013	-0.0106	0.0004	-0.0218	-0.0216	0.0007	-0.0078	0.1007
X ₃	-0.0012	0.0005	-0.0277	-0.0015	0.0014	0.0036	0.0018	0.0013	0.0005	-0.0801
X ₄	0.0058	0.0036	0.0013	0.0243	0.0028	0.0000	0.0008	-0.0028	0.0004	0.0841
X ₅	0.0072	-0.0026	-0.0238	0.0519	0.4545	0.0721	0.0980	0.0359	0.3626	0.8490**
X ₆	0.0174	0.0306	-0.0130	0.0000	0.0161	0.1016	0.0858	0.0180	0.0451	0.4950**
X ₇	0.0322	0.0414	-0.0091	0.0048	0.0298	0.1169	0.1383	0.0132	0.0707	0.5490**
X ₈	-0.0089	-0.0013	-0.0057	-0.0140	0.0097	0.0218	0.0117	0.1232	0.0438	0.3310**
X ₉	0.0385	0.0451	-0.0075	0.0076	0.3327	0.1854	0.2133	0.1483	0.4171	0.9410**

Residual effect = 0.214

** Significance at 0.5 % of probability

Where

X₁=Days to initiation of flowering X₂=Days to physiological maturity X₃=Plant height X₄=Number of branches/plant X₅= Number of pods/plant X₆= Pod length X₇= Number of seeds/pod X₈=Test weight X₉=Total dry matter content/plant X₁₀=Seed yield/plant




Fig. 3. Phenotypic path diagram showing the influence of yield components on seed yield/plant in F_3 segregating generation of VBN-1 \times RC-19




Fig. 4. Phenotypic path diagram showing the influence of yield components on seed yield/plant in F_3 segregating generation of KBC-9 \times PGCP-6

4. CONCLUSION

Seed yield/plant recorded negative association with primary branches/plant in $F_{2:3}$ population of

VBN-1 \times RC-19 and plant height in $F_{2:3}$ population of KBC-9 \times PGCP-6 which suggested that selection for these traits is not rewarding for yield improvement.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Verdcourt B. Studies in the Leguminosae-Papilionoideae for the flora of tropical East Africa. IV Kew Bulletin. 1970;24:507-569.
- Munoz AM, Mirebrahim H, Xu P, Wanamaker SI, Luo M, Alhakami H, Bozdog S. Genome resources for climate resilient cowpea, an essential crop for food security. *Pl. J.* 2017;89:1042–1054.
- Sebetha ET, Modi AT, Owoeye LG. Cowpea crude protein as affected by cropping system, site and nitrogen fertilization. *J. Agric. Sci.* 2014;7:224.
- Boukar O, Fatokun CA, Roberts PA, Abberton M, Huynh BL, Close TJ, Ehlers JD. Cowpea, In: De Ron AM (ed) Grain legumes, Hand Book of Plant Breeding. Springer, New York. 2015;219–250.
- Timko MP, Singh BB. Cowpea, a multifunctional legume. *Genomics of Tropical Crop Plants*, Springer, New York. 2008;227-258.
- Gonçalves A, Goufo P, Barros A, Domínguez-Perles R, Trindade H, Rosa EA, Rodrigues M. Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.), a renewed multipurpose crop for a more sustainable agri food system: nutritional advantages and constraints. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2016; 96:2941-2951.
- Vavilov NJ. The origin, variation, immunity and breeding cultivated plants. *Chron. Bot.* Vol. 10. The Ronald Press Co.; Newyork. 1949;364.
- Carvalho M, Lino Neto T, Rosa E, Carnide V. Cowpea: A legume crop for a challenging environment. *J. Sci. Food. Agric.* 2017;97:4273–4284.
- FAOSTAT. Agriculture organization of the United Nations statistics division, economic and social development department, RomeL 2019.
- Singh BB, Timko MP, Aragao FJ. Advances in cowpea improvement and genomics. In *Legumes in the Omic Era*, Springer, New York, 2014;131-153.
- Aykroyd WR. ICMR Special Report, Series No. 42; 1963.
- FAOSTAT. Agriculture organization of the United Nations statistics division, economic and social development department, Rome; 2018.
- Wright S. Correlation and causation. *J. Agric. Res.* 1921;20: 557-585.
- Hussainbi, Hasan Khan, Arunkumar B, Tembhumne BV, Anand P. Patil. Study of Simple Measures of Genetic Variability Parameters in F₂:3 Populations of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). *Biological Forum – An International Journal.* 2023;15(10):580-584.
- Patil S, Pethe UB, Mahadik SG, Dalvi VV, Joshi MS. Correlation and path analysis study in F₃ generation of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) genotypes. *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.* 2021;10(1): 203-207.
- Sabale GR, Pandurang DW, Bhave SG, Desai SS. Correlation and path analysis studies in F₂ generation of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* subsp. *unguiculata*). *J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem.* 2019;8(6):1451-1454.
- Patil SJ, Venugopal R, Gouda JV, Parameshwarappa R. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in cowpea. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* 1989;2:170-175.
- Sawant DS. Association and path analysis in cowpea. *Ann. Agri. Res.* 1994;15:134-139.
- Onkar S, Paroda RS. Association analysis of grain yield and its components in chickpea following hybridization and combination of hybridization and mutagenesis. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 1986;56: 1329-1341.
- Gowda TH. Studies on the association of yield components in three F₂ populations of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) and their implication in selection. *Legume Res.* 1996;14:15-19.
- Ananda M. Comparative assessment of genetic variability in F₂, F₃ and biparental mating progenies in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). M. Sc. (Agri) Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Bangalore; 2012.
- Khan H, Vishwanatha KP, Sowmya HC. Genetic variability and association studies in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. walp.). *J. Food Legumes.* 2013;26(3 & 4):42-45.
- Bhardu D, Navale PA. Correlation and path analysis studies in F₃ population of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). *Legume Res.* 2011;34(1):41-44.
- Biradar BD, Goud JV, Patil SS. Association of grain yield with other characters in three F₂ populations of cowpea (*Vigna*

- unguiculata* L. Walp.). Crop Res. 1996;11: 179-183.
25. Walle T, Mekbib F, Amsalu B, Gedil M. Correlation and path co-efficient analyses in Ethiopia. American J. Pl. Sci. 2018; 9:2794-2812.
 26. Dinesh HB, Viswanatha KP, Lohithaswa HC, Pavan R, Singh P. Variability, correlation and path analysis studies in F₃ generation of cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp]. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci. 2017;6(9):1420-1428.
 27. Rangaiah S, Nehru SD, Mahadeva P. Genetic studies in two cross derivatives of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). Mysore J. Agric. Sci. 1999;33:125-129.
 28. Kurer S. Genetic variability studies in F₂ and F₃ generations of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.), M. Sc. Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad (India); 2007.
 29. Biradar S, Salimath PM, Sridevi O. Association studies in the three segregating populations of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 2007;20(2):252-254.
 30. Belhekar PS, Bendale VW, Jamadagni BM, Birari SP. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of cowpea and asparagus bean crosses in F₂ generation. J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ. 2003;28(2):145-147.
 31. Kalaiyarasi R, Palanisamy GA. Path analysis of F₃ populations in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.). Legume Res. 2002;25(1):47-49.
 32. Sheela H. Variability studies in segregating populations of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp). Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. Agric. Hortic. Sci., Shivamogga (India); 2017.
 33. Srinivas J, Kale VS, Nagre PK, Meshram S. Correlation and path analysis study in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) genotypes. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017;6(6):3305-3313.
 34. Nistha M and Khan H. Character association and path analysis in F₃ segregating generations for yield and its component traits in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 2020;9(4):794-799
 35. Yadav KS, Yadava HS, Naik ML. Correlations and path analysis in early generations of cowpea. Indian J. Pulses Res. 2003;16:101-103.

© 2023 Hussainbi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108796>