

## Research Article

# A Weak Convergence Theorem for Common Fixed Points of Two Nonlinear Mappings in Hilbert Spaces

Takanori Ibaraki <sup>1</sup>, Shunsuke Kajiba,<sup>2,3</sup> and Yukio Takeuchi<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics Education, Yokohama National University, Tokiwadai, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Environment and Information Sciences, Yokohama National University, Tokiwadai, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan

<sup>3</sup>Chiba Reimei High School, 625 Ho Yachimata-shi, Chiba 289-1115, Japan

<sup>4</sup>Takahashi Institute for Nonlinear Analysis, 1-11-11 Nakazato, Minami, Yokohama 232-0063, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to Takanori Ibaraki; [ibaraki@ynu.ac.jp](mailto:ibaraki@ynu.ac.jp)

Received 30 June 2022; Accepted 5 August 2022; Published 26 August 2022

Academic Editor: Gaston Mandata Nguirkata

Copyright © 2022 Takanori Ibaraki et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In this paper, by using properties of attractive points, we study an iteration scheme combining simplified Baillon type and Mann type to find a common fixed point of commutative two nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces. Then, we apply the obtained results to prove a new weak convergence theorem.

## 1. Introduction

In 1963, DeMarr [1] proved a common fixed point theorem for a family of commuting nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space. After DeMarr, many researchers studied this subject (see [2–6] and others).

On the other hand, in 1975, Baillon [7] proved a mean convergence theorem known as the first nonlinear ergodic theorem in a Hilbert space. After Baillon, many researchers have studied topics related to his mean convergence theorem. In 1997, Shimizu and Takahashi [8] introduced the iteration scheme that combines Baillon type and Halpern type [9]. Then, they proved a strong convergence theorem to a common fixed point of a finite family of commutative nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces. In 1998, Atsushiba and Takahashi [10] introduced the iteration scheme that combines Baillon type and Mann type [11] and proved a weak convergence theorem to a common fixed point of commutative two nonexpansive mappings in uniformly convex Banach spaces. In 2002, Suzuki [12] studied for common fixed points of commutative two nonexpansive mappings in general Banach spaces. Then, he proved a strong conver-

gence theorem using Atsushiba and Takahashi's iteration scheme. Stimulated by Suzuki [12], Takeuchi [13] introduced a new iteration scheme combining simplified Baillon type and Mann type and proved the following strong convergence theorem in general Banach spaces.

**Theorem 1** (see [13]). *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $C$  be a compact convex subset of  $E$ . Let  $S$  and  $T$  be nonexpansive self-mappings on  $C$  with  $ST = TS$ . Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence in  $[0, 1]$  satisfying  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n < 1$ . Let  $x_1 \in C$  and define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  by*

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{a_n}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^{i+1} S^i T^j x_n + (1 - a_n)x_n, \quad (1)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to some common fixed point  $z$  of  $S$  and  $T$ .

Also, some researchers studied topics related to common fixed points of various nonlinear mappings or semigroups of nonlinear mappings, and some convergence theorems were

proved; for example, see [14–16] and others. In addition, Baillon's theorem [7] evolved as follows. In 2011, Takahashi and Takeuchi [17] proposed the notion of an attractive point of a mapping  $T$ . They denote by  $A(T)$  the set of all attractive points of  $T$  and by  $P_{A(T)}$  the metric projection from  $H$  onto the closed convex set  $A(T)$ . An attractive point is an important notion related to fixed points (see [18–21] and therein). Then, Takahashi and Takeuchi [17] proved the following Baillon type mean convergence theorem finding an attractive point for a wide class of nonlinear mappings called generalized hybrid [22].

**Theorem 2** (see [17]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of  $H$ . Let  $T$  be a generalized hybrid mapping from  $C$  into itself. Let  $\{v_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  be sequences defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &\in C, \\ v_{n+1} &= Tv_n, \\ b_n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n v_k, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\{v_n\}$  is bounded. Then, the following hold:

- (1)  $A(T)$  is nonempty, closed, and convex
- (2)  $\{b_n\}$  converges weakly to  $u \in A(T)$  such that  $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{A(T)} v_n$

Motivated by the works as above, considering properties of attractive points, we study the iteration scheme proposed by Takeuchi [13]. Then, using the obtained results, we prove a new weak convergence theorem for common fixed points of commutative two nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

We present some of fundamental concepts and some symbols used throughout this paper. We denote by  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of all real numbers, by  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of all positive integers, and by  $\mathbb{N}_0$  the set of all nonnegative integers. Also, we denote by  $\mathbb{N}_i$  the set  $\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : i \leq k\}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and by  $\mathbb{N}(i, j)$  the set  $\{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : i \leq k \leq j\}$  for each  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $i \leq j$ . Obviously,  $\mathbb{N}_1 = \mathbb{N}$ .

$H$  always denotes a real Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and induced norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $H$ . Sometimes the strong convergence and weak convergence of  $\{x_n\}$  to a point  $x \in H$  are denoted by  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ , respectively. So,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 0$ , and  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - x, y \rangle = 0$  for each  $y \in H$ . Then, we know the following basic facts:

- (i) A nonempty closed convex subset  $C$  of  $H$  is weakly closed
- (ii) A bounded sequence of  $H$  has a weakly convergent subsequence

- (iii)  $x_n \rightharpoonup z \in H$  if every weak cluster point of  $\{x_n\}$  and  $z$  are the same

A Hilbert space  $H$  has the Opial property; that is, if a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $H$  converges weakly to a point  $u \in H$ , then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\| < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - v\|, \quad (3)$$

for all  $v \in H$  with  $u \neq v$ .

Let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of  $H$  and let  $T$  be a mapping from  $C$  into  $H$ . Sometimes, we denote by  $T^0$  the identity mapping  $I$  on  $C$ . Then, we denote by  $F(T)$  the set of all fixed points of  $T$  and by  $A(T)$  the set of all attractive points of  $T$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} F(T) &= \{x \in C : x = Tx\}, \\ A(T) &= \{y \in H : \|Tx - y\| \leq \|x - y\| \text{ for all } x \in C\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$T$  is called nonexpansive if  $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$  for all  $x, y \in C$ . We say that  $I - T$  is demiclosed at 0 if  $z \in F(T)$  holds whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $C$  such that  $x_n \rightharpoonup z$  for some  $z \in C$  and  $x_n - Tx_n \rightarrow 0$ . Then,  $I - T$  is demiclosed at 0 if  $T$  is nonexpansive.  $T$  is called quasi-nonexpansive if  $\emptyset \neq F(T) \subset A(T)$ . It is easy to see that a nonexpansive mapping  $T$  with  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$  is quasi-nonexpansive. Aoyama et al. [23] proposed  $\lambda$ -hybrid mappings for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $T$  is called  $\lambda$ -hybrid if

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + 2(1 - \lambda)\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle, \quad (5)$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ . We can easily verify that a mapping of several important classes of nonlinear mappings is  $\lambda$ -hybrid for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . For example, a nonspreading mapping [24] is 0-hybrid; a hybrid mapping [25] is 1/2-hybrid and a nonexpansive mapping is 1-hybrid.  $T$  satisfies  $F(T) \subset A(T)$  if  $T$  is  $\lambda$ -hybrid. So a  $\lambda$ -hybrid mapping  $T$  is quasi-nonexpansive if  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . According to Falset et al. [26],  $T$  is said to satisfy the condition (E) if there is  $s \in [0, \infty)$  such that

$$\|x - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\| + s\|x - Tx\|, \quad (6)$$

for all  $x, y \in C$ . If  $T$  satisfies the condition (E), then  $F(T) \subset A(T)$ . So  $T$  is quasi-nonexpansive if  $T$  satisfies the condition (E) and  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $C$  be a subset of  $H$  and let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be self-mappings on  $C$ . We denote by  $F$  the common fixed point set  $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$  and by  $A$  the common attractive point set  $A(T_1) \cap A(T_2)$ . We place importance on the condition  $F \subset A$ . Therefore, we present some facts relevant to this condition. It is easy to see the following:

- (a)  $F \subset A$  implies neither  $F(T_1) \subset A(T_1)$  nor  $F(T_2) \subset A(T_2)$
- (b)  $F \neq \emptyset$  does not imply  $A \neq \emptyset$  without the assumption  $F \subset A$

- (c) In the case when  $C$  is closed and convex,  $A \neq \emptyset$  implies  $F \neq \emptyset$ . However,  $A \neq \emptyset$  does not imply  $F \subset A$
- (d) If  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are quasi-nonexpansive mappings, then  $F \subset A$
- (e) Even if  $\emptyset \neq F \subset A$ , neither  $T_1$  nor  $T_2$  need be quasi-nonexpansive

To clearly understand such situations, a specific example from [20] is given below.

*Example 1* (see [20]). Let  $D = \{x = (s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : s \in [0, 1], t \in [s/2, 2s]\}$ . Then,  $D$  is compact and convex. Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be self-mappings on  $D$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_1x &= T_1(s, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (s, t) + \left( \frac{1}{2}t, t \right) \right) = \left( \frac{1}{2}s + \frac{1}{4}t, t \right), \\ T_2x &= T_2(s, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (s, t) + \left( s, \frac{1}{2}s \right) \right) = \left( s, \frac{1}{4}s + \frac{1}{2}t \right), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

for each  $x = (s, t) \in D$ .

In this example, we easily see

$$\begin{aligned} F(T_1) &= \{(x_1, x_2) \in D : x_2 = 2x_1\}, \\ F(T_2) &= \{(x_1, x_2) \in D : x_2 = \frac{x_1}{2}\}, \\ A(T_1) &= \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 \leq 0\}, \\ A(T_2) &= \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_2 \leq 0\}, \\ F &= \{(0, 0)\}, \\ A &= \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 \leq 0, x_2 \leq 0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

From this, we can easily confirm the following:

- (i)  $F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \subset A(T_1) \cap A(T_2)$  holds
- (ii) Neither  $F(T_1) \subset A(T_1)$  nor  $F(T_2) \subset A(T_2)$  holds
- (iii) Neither  $T_1$  nor  $T_2$  is quasi-nonexpansive

Refer to [17–21] for more details of attractive points.

### 3. Lemmas

We begin this section with preparing the required symbols for the iteration scheme we are dealing with and then present some lemmas which are needed to prove our main result.

Let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of a Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be self-mappings on  $C$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define mappings  $M_e(n)$ ,  $M_o(n)$ , and  $M(n)$  from  $C$  into  $H$ , respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} M_e(n)x &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T_1^i T_2^i x, \\ M_o(n)x &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T_1^i T_2^{i+1} x, \\ M(n)x &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i}^{i+1} T_1^i T_2^j x = \frac{1}{2} M_e(n)x + \frac{1}{2} M_o(n)x, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

for each  $x \in C$ . Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $v \in F(M_e(n)) \cap F(M_o(n)) \cap F(M(n))$  holds if  $v \in F$ , and  $u \in A(M_e(n)) \cap A(M_o(n)) \cap A(M(n))$  holds if  $u \in A$ . In the case of  $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1$ , the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^i T_2^i x &= (T_1 T_2)^i x, \\ T_1^i T_2^{i+1} x &= (T_1 T_2)^i (T_2 x) = T_2 ((T_1 T_2)^i x), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $x \in C$ .

Here are some lemmas which are needed to get our main result. First, since a Hilbert space has the Opial property, the following lemma is easily obtained; for example, see Atsushiba et al. [18].

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of  $H$ . Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence in  $H$  such that  $\{\|u_n - w\|\}$  converges for each  $w \in C$ . Suppose  $\{u_{n_i}\}$  and  $\{u_{n_j}\}$  are subsequences of  $\{u_n\}$  which converge weakly to  $u, v \in C$ , respectively. Then,  $u = v$ .*

The following lemma is due to Ibaraki and Takeuchi [20].

**Lemma 4** (see [20]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of  $H$ . Let  $T$  be a mapping from  $C$  into  $H$ . Let  $a \in [0, 1]$ ,  $x \in C$ , and  $w = ax + (1 - a)Tx$ . Suppose  $v \in A(T)$ . Then, the following holds:*

$$a(1 - a)\|Tx - x\|^2 \leq \|x - v\|^2 - \|w - v\|^2. \tag{11}$$

Suppose further that  $C$  is bounded. Let  $r > \sup_{x \in C} \|x - v\|$ . Then,

$$\frac{a(1 - a)}{2r} \|Tx - x\|^2 \leq \|x - v\| - \|w - v\|. \tag{12}$$

We need the following trivial lemma to prove Lemma 6.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a sequence in  $[0, \infty)$ . Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ , there exists  $i_n \in \mathbb{N}(0, n - 2)$  satisfying  $\min_{i \in \mathbb{N}(0, n-2)} (a_i + a_{i+1}) = a_{i_n} + a_{i_n+1}$ , and therefore, the following holds:*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \geq \frac{n-1}{2} (a_{i_n} + a_{i_n+1}). \tag{13}$$

*Proof.* Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ . It is trivial that there exists  $i_n \in \mathbb{N}(0, n-2)$  such that

$$\min_{i \in \mathbb{N}(0, n-2)} (a_i + a_{i+1}) = a_{i_n} + a_{i_n+1}. \tag{14}$$

In the case when  $n$  is odd,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_3$  and  $i_n$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i &\geq \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} a_i = \sum_{k=0}^{(n-3)/2} (a_{2k} + a_{2k+1}) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{(n-3)/2} (a_{i_n} + a_{i_n+1}) = \frac{n-1}{2} (a_{i_n} + a_{i_n+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

In the case when  $n$  is even,  $i_n$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i &= \sum_{k=0}^{(n-2)/2} (a_{2k} + a_{2k+1}) \geq \sum_{k=0}^{(n-2)/2} (a_{i_n} + a_{i_n+1}) \\ &= \frac{n}{2} (a_{i_n} + a_{i_n+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Thus, we see that  $i_n$  satisfies (13). □

**Lemma 6.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty subset of  $H$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be self-mappings on  $C$  satisfying  $\emptyset \neq A = A(T_1) \cap A(T_2)$ . Let  $a, b \in (0, 1)$  satisfy  $a \leq b$  and let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence in  $[a, b]$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $M(n)$  be as in (9). Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $C$  and define  $\{y_n\}$  by

$$y_n = a_n M(n)x_n + (1 - a_n)x_n, \tag{17}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$ . Then, there is a sequence  $\{i_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_2}$  such that  $i_n \in \mathbb{N}(0, n-2) \subset \mathbb{N}_0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_1^{i_n} T_2^{i_n} x_n - x_n \right\| &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_1^{i_n} T_2^{i_n+1} x_n - x_n \right\| &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_1^{i_n+1} T_2^{i_n+1} x_n - x_n \right\| &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

*Proof.* For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $M_e(n)$  and  $M_o(n)$  be as in (9). Note that  $0 < a(1-b) \leq a_n(1-a_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Fix any  $u \in A$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $C$ , there is an  $r \in (0, \infty)$  satisfying  $r > \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n - u\|$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by (12) in Lemma 4, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|a_n M_e(n)x_n + (1 - a_n)x_n - u\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{a_n}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T_1^i T_2^i x_n + (1 - a_n)x_n - u \right\| \\ &= \left\| a_n \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T_1^i T_2^i x_n - u \right) + (1 - a_n)(x_n - u) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (a_n (T_1^i T_2^i x_n - u) + (1 - a_n)(x_n - u)) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|a_n (T_1^i T_2^i x_n - u) + (1 - a_n)(x_n - u)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \|x_n - u\| - \frac{a_n(1-a_n)}{2r} \|T_1^i T_2^i x_n - x_n\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \|x_n - u\| - \frac{a(1-b)}{2r} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|T_1^i T_2^i x_n - x_n\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{a(1-b)}{2r} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|T_1^i T_2^i x_n - x_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - u\| - \|a_n M_e(n)x_n + (1 - a_n)x_n - u\|. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

In the same way, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{a(1-b)}{2r} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|T_1^i T_2^{i+1} x_n - x_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - u\| - \|a_n M_o(n)x_n + (1 - a_n)x_n - u\|. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

By (20) and (21), the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{a(1-b)}{4r} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \|T_1^i T_2^i x_n - x_n\|^2 + \|T_1^i T_2^{i+1} x_n - x_n\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|x_n - u\| - \|a_n M_e(n)x_n + (1 - a_n)x_n - u\|) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\|x_n - u\| - \|a_n M_o(n)x_n + (1 - a_n)x_n - u\|) \\ &\leq \|x_n - u\| - \left\| \frac{1}{2} a_n M_e(n)x_n \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} a_n M_o(n)x_n + (1 - a_n)x_n - u \right\| \\ &= \|x_n - u\| - \|a_n M(n)x_n + (1 - a_n)x_n - u\| \\ &= \|x_n - u\| - \|y_n - u\| \leq \|x_n - y_n\|. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we regard  $\|T_1^i T_2^i x_n - x_n\|^2 + \|T_1^i T_2^{i+1} x_n - x_n\|^2$  as  $a_i$  in Lemma 5. From Lemma 5, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ , there exists  $i_n \in \mathbb{N}(0, n-2) \subset \mathbb{N}_0$  satisfying

(13). So, we have the sequence  $\{i_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_2}$  which consists of such  $i_n$ . Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$ , it follows from (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{n-1}{2} \left( \|T_1^{i_n} T_2^{i_n} x_n - x_n\|^2 + \|T_1^{i_n} T_2^{i_n+1} x_n - x_n\|^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \|T_1^{i_n+1} T_2^{i_n+1} x_n - x_n\|^2 + \|T_1^{i_n+1} T_2^{i_n+2} x_n - x_n\|^2 \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \|T_1^i T_2^i x_n - x_n\|^2 + \|T_1^i T_2^{i+1} x_n - x_n\|^2 \right). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

From this, by (22), we see

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a(1-b)}{8r} \frac{n-1}{n} \|T_1^{i_n} T_2^{i_n} x_n - x_n\|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{a(1-b)}{4r} \frac{1}{n} \frac{n-1}{2} \left( \|T_1^{i_n} T_2^{i_n} x_n - x_n\|^2 + \|T_1^{i_n} T_2^{i_n+1} x_n - x_n\|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|T_1^{i_n+1} T_2^{i_n+1} x_n - x_n\|^2 + \|T_1^{i_n+1} T_2^{i_n+2} x_n - x_n\|^2 \right) \\ & \leq \frac{a(1-b)}{4r} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \|T_1^i T_2^i x_n - x_n\|^2 + \|T_1^i T_2^{i+1} x_n - x_n\|^2 \right) \\ & \leq \|x_n - y_n\|. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Then, by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$ , we immediately see

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(1-b)}{8r} \frac{n-1}{n} \|T_1^{i_n} T_2^{i_n} x_n - x_n\|^2 \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Thus, by  $((a(1-b))/8r) > 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((n-1)/n) = 1$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_1^{i_n} T_2^{i_n} x_n - x_n\|^2 = 0. \tag{26}$$

In the same way, we also have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_1^{i_n} T_2^{i_n+1} x_n - x_n\|^2 = 0, \\ & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_1^{i_n+1} T_2^{i_n+1} x_n - x_n\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

□

The following lemmas for a  $\lambda$ -hybrid mapping and a mapping satisfying the condition (E) were known; for example, see Ibaraki and Takeuchi [20]. Of course, these are extensions of the demiclosed principle in the Hilbert space setting.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a subset of  $H$ . Let  $T$  be a  $\lambda$ -hybrid mapping from  $C$  into  $H$ . Suppose  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $C$  which converges weakly to some  $u \in C$  and satisfies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\| = 0$ . Then,  $u \in F(T)$ .*

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a subset of  $H$ . Let  $T$  be a mapping from  $C$  into  $H$  which satisfies the condition (E). Suppose  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $C$  which converges weakly to some  $u \in C$  and satisfies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\| = 0$ . Then,  $u \in F(T)$ .*

### 4. Main Result and Applications

We present a weak convergence theorem which is our main result.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be self-mappings on  $C$  satisfying  $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1$ . Let  $a, b \in (0, 1)$  satisfy  $a \leq b$  and let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence in  $[a, b]$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $M(n)$  be as in (9). Let  $x_1 \in C$  and define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  by*

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= \frac{a_n}{2n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i}^{i+1} T_1^i T_2^j x_n + (1-a_n)x_n \\ &= a_n M(n)x_n + (1-a_n)x_n, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $A = A(T_1) \cap A(T_2)$  and  $F = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$ . Suppose  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $I - T_j$  is demiclosed at 0 for each  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then, the following hold:

- (1) A weakly convergent subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  exists, and every weakly convergent subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a point of  $F$
- (2) In the case of  $F \subset A$ ,  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to some  $z \in F$

*Proof.* Fix any  $v \in A$ . It is trivial that  $A \subset A(M(n))$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by (11) in Lemma 4, we see

$$0 \leq a_n(1-a_n) \|M(n)x_n - x_n\|^2 \leq \|x_n - v\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - v\|^2, \tag{29}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From this, we see that  $\|x_{n+1} - v\| \leq \|x_n - v\|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; that is,  $\{\|x_n - v\|\}$  converges. So, since  $0 < a(1-b) \leq a_n(1-a_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|M(n)x_n - x_n\|^2 = 0. \tag{30}$$

Furthermore, we know that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| &= \|a_n M(n)x_n + (1-a_n)x_n - x_n\| \\ &= a_n \|M(n)x_n - x_n\|. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

By (30) and (31),  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$  holds. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we may regard  $x_{n+1}$  as  $y_n$  in Lemma 6. Thus,

since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, by Lemma 6, there is a sequence  $\{i_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_2}$  such that  $i_n \in \mathbb{N}(0, n-2) \subset \mathbb{N}_0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_1^{i_n} T_2^{i_n} x_n - x_n \right\| &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_1^{i_n} T_2^{i_n+1} x_n - x_n \right\| &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_1^{i_n+1} T_2^{i_n+1} x_n - x_n \right\| &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

We show that (1) holds. Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded,  $\{x_n\}$  has a weakly convergent subsequence. Let  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  be a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  which converges weakly to some  $z \in H$ . Since  $C$  is weakly closed and  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  is a sequence in  $C$ , we see  $z \in C$ .

We show  $z \in F(T_2)$ . By  $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1$  and (32), we see

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}} x_{n_l} - x_{n_l} \right\| &= 0, \\ \limsup_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_2 \left( T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}} x_{n_l} \right) - T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}} x_{n_l} \right\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}+1} x_{n_l} - x_{n_l} \right\| + \limsup_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left\| x_{n_l} - T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}} x_{n_l} \right\| \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}+1} x_{n_l} - x_{n_l} \right\| + \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left\| x_{n_l} - T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}} x_{n_l} \right\| = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

From the latter, we see  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_2 \left( T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}} x_{n_l} \right) - T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}} x_{n_l} \right\| = 0$ . Since  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  converges weakly to  $z \in C$ , by  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}} x_{n_l} - x_{n_l} \right\| = 0$ ,  $\{T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}} x_{n_l}\}$  also converges weakly to  $z$ . So, since  $I - T_2$  is demiclosed at 0, we see  $z \in F(T_2)$ .

We show  $z \in F(T_1)$ . Similarly to the discussion above, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}+1} x_{n_l} - x_{n_l} \right\| &= 0, \\ \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_1 \left( T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}+1} x_{n_l} \right) - T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}+1} x_{n_l} \right\| &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

So,  $\{T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}+1} x_{n_l}\}$  converges weakly to  $z$  and

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left\| T_1 \left( T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}+1} x_{n_l} \right) - T_1^{i_{n_l}} T_2^{i_{n_l}+1} x_{n_l} \right\| = 0. \quad (35)$$

Since  $I - T_1$  is demiclosed at 0, we see  $z \in F(T_1)$ . Thus,  $z \in F = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$ .

By the argument so far, we see that a weakly convergent subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  exists and any weakly convergent subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  has to converge weakly to a point of  $F$ . So, we confirmed that (1) holds.

We show that (2) holds. We already know that  $\{\|x_n - v\|\}$  converges for each  $v \in A$ . Suppose  $F \subset A$ . Then, by (1),  $F \subset A$ , and Lemma 3, all weak cluster points of  $\{x_n\}$  coincide. That is,  $\{x_n\}$  itself converges weakly to a point  $z \in F$ .  $\square$

Next, we present some results derived from Theorem 9.

**Theorem 10.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a non-empty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be quasi-nonexpansive self-mappings on  $C$  satisfying  $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1$ . Assume that  $F = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$  and  $I - T_j$  is demiclosed at 0 for each  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . Let  $a, b \in (0, 1)$  satisfy  $a \leq b$  and let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence in  $[a, b]$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $M(n)$  be as in (9). Let  $x_1 \in C$  and define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  by

$$x_{n+1} = a_n M(n) x_n + (1 - a_n) x_n, \quad (36)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to some  $z \in F$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are quasi-nonexpansive, we know that  $\emptyset \neq F = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \subset A(T_1) \cap A(T_2) = A$  holds. Thus, by Theorem 9, we have the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 11.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a non-empty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be nonexpansive self-mappings on  $C$  satisfying  $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1$ . Assume  $F = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a, b \in (0, 1)$  satisfy  $a \leq b$  and let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence in  $[a, b]$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $M(n)$  be as in (9). Let  $x_1 \in C$  and define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  by

$$x_{n+1} = a_n M(n) x_n + (1 - a_n) x_n, \quad (37)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to some  $z \in F$ .

*Proof.* If a mapping  $T$  is nonexpansive and  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $T$  is quasi-nonexpansive. We also know that  $I - T$  is demiclosed at 0 if  $T$  is nonexpansive. Thus, by Theorem 10, we have the result.  $\square$

By considering Lemmas 7 and 8, we have the following theorems.

**Theorem 12.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a non-empty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be self-mappings on  $C$  satisfying  $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1$ . Assume that  $T_1$  is  $\lambda$ -hybrid,  $T_2$  is  $\mu$ -hybrid, and  $F = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a, b \in (0, 1)$  satisfy  $a \leq b$  and let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence in  $[a, b]$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $M(n)$  be as in (9). Let  $x_1 \in C$  and define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  by

$$x_{n+1} = a_n M(n) x_n + (1 - a_n) x_n, \quad (38)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to some  $z \in F$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T_1$  is  $\lambda$ -hybrid and  $T_2$  is  $\mu$ -hybrid, by  $F = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ , we know that  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are quasi-nonexpansive. By Lemma 7, we also know that  $I - T_1$  and  $I - T_2$  are demiclosed at 0. Thus, by Theorem 10, we have the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 13.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $C$  be a non-empty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be self-mappings on  $C$  satisfying  $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1$ . Assume that  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  satisfy the condition (E) and  $F = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $a, b \in (0, 1)$  satisfy  $a \leq b$  and let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence in  $[a, b]$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $M(n)$  be as in (9). Let  $x_1 \in C$  and define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  by

$$x_{n+1} = a_n M(n)x_n + (1 - a_n)x_n, \tag{39}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to some  $z \in F$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  satisfy the condition (E), by  $F = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ , we know that  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are quasi-nonexpansive. By Lemma 8, we also know that  $I - T_1$  and  $I - T_2$  are demiclosed at 0. Thus, by Theorem 10, we have the result.  $\square$

### 5. Supplement

In this section, we present some examples that complement the argument so far. In advance, recall that weak and strong topologies on a Euclidean space coincide.

In the previous section, Theorem 9, neither  $T_1$  nor  $T_2$  need to be quasi-nonexpansive. However, in Theorems 10–13, we deal only with quasi-nonexpansive mappings  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . We therefore give an example where all conditions of Theorem 9 are satisfied and  $T_1$  is not quasi-nonexpansive.

*Example 2.* Let  $D = \{x = (s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : s \in [0, 1], t \in [-s, s]\}$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be continuous self-mappings on  $D$  defined, respectively, by

$$T_1 x = T_1(s, t) = \left(\frac{1}{2}(s + |t|), t\right), \tag{40}$$

$$T_2 x = T_2(s, t) = (s, -t),$$

for each  $x = (s, t) \in D$ .

In this example, noting  $F = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$  and  $A = A(T_1) \cap A(T_2)$ , we see

$$\begin{aligned} F(T_1) &= \{(x_1, x_2) \in D : x_1 = |x_2|\}, \\ F(T_2) &= \{(x_1, x_2) \in D : x_2 = 0\}, \\ A(T_1) &= \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 \leq 0\}, \\ A(T_2) &= \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_2 = 0\}, \\ F &= \{(0, 0)\}, \\ A &= \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 \leq 0, x_2 = 0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

From these, we can easily verify the following:

- (i)  $D$  is a nonempty compact convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$
- (ii)  $F(T_1) \not\subset A(T_1)$ , that is,  $T_1$  is not quasi-nonexpansive
- (iii)  $T_2$  is nonexpansive and  $F(T_2) \neq \emptyset$ ; that is,  $T_2$  is quasi-nonexpansive
- (iv)  $I - T_1$  and  $I - T_2$  are demiclosed at 0, since  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are continuous

$$(v) T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1 \text{ and } \emptyset \neq F \subset A$$

So, all conditions of Theorem 9 are satisfied and  $T_1$  is not quasi-nonexpansive. This implies that the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  generated by the procedure in Theorem 9 converges strongly to  $(0, 0) \in F \subset A$ .

Recall that the condition  $F \subset A$  is unnecessary to prove (1) of Theorem 9. That is, without the condition  $F \subset A$ , we proved that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in Theorem 9 has a subsequence which converges weakly to a point  $z \in F$ . So, to gain a better understanding the contents of this paper, we are interested in what happens when the condition  $F \subset A$  is missing from Theorem 9. Then, from this point of view, we give the following example.

*Example 3.* Let  $D = [0, 1]$ . Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be continuous self-mappings on  $D$  defined, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 s &= 2s^2 \text{ when } s \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \\ T_1 s &= 2\left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{ when } s \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right], \\ T_2 s &= 4s^3 \text{ when } s \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \\ T_2 s &= 4\left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right)^3 + \frac{1}{2} \text{ when } s \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

For this example, we easily see

$$\begin{aligned} F(T_1) &= F(T_2) = \left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right\}, \\ A(T_1) &= A(T_2) = (-\infty, 0], \\ F &= F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) = \left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right\}, \\ A &= A(T_1) \cap A(T_2) = (-\infty, 0], \\ (T_1 T_2)s &= 2(4s^3)^2 = 32s^6 = 4(2s^2)^3 \\ &= (T_2 T_1)s \text{ when } s \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], \\ (T_1 T_2)s &= 2\left(4\left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right)^3 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \\ &= 32\left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right)^6 + \frac{1}{2}, \\ (T_2 T_1)s &= 4\left(2\left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right)^3 + \frac{1}{2} \\ &= 32\left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right)^6 + \frac{1}{2} \text{ when } s \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

From these, we see the following:

- (i)  $D$  is a nonempty compact convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}$
- (ii) Neither  $T_1$  nor  $T_2$  is quasi-nonexpansive
- (iii)  $I - T_1$  and  $I - T_2$  are demiclosed at 0, since  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are continuous
- (iv)  $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1$  and  $A \neq \emptyset$

So, without  $F \subset A$ , all conditions of Theorem 9 are satisfied.

In this example, for ease of verification, we have chosen  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  which are special in the following sense:

- (i) We can regard  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  as self-mappings on  $[0, 1/2]$
- (ii) We can regard  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  as self-mappings on  $[1/2, 1]$

Accordingly, the following should be noted:

- (i)  $F = \{0, 1/2\}$  and  $A = (-\infty, 0]$  if  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are considered like the former
- (ii)  $F = \{1/2, 1\}$  and  $A = (-\infty, 1/2]$  if  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are considered like the latter

We know that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by the procedure in Theorem 9 has a subsequence which converges strongly to a point  $z$  of  $F$ . Especially, in this example, we can confirm that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  itself converges strongly to  $z$ . That is, by the argument so far, we can easily verify the following:

- (i)  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $0 \in F \cap A$  if  $x_1 \in [0, 1/2)$
- (ii)  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $1/2 \in F$  if  $x_1 \in [1/2, 1)$
- (iii)  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $1 \in F$  if  $x_1 = 1$

From this, we simultaneously see the following: Example 3 is illustrative example such that  $z \in A$  may not hold when the condition  $F \subset A$  is missing.

## Data Availability

No data were used to support this study.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI (Grant Numbers JP19K03632 and JP19H01479).

## References

- [1] R. DeMarr, "Common fixed points for commuting contraction mappings," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1139–1141, 1963.
- [2] L. P. Belluce and W. A. Kirk, "Fixed-point theorems for families of contraction mappings," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 213–217, 1966.
- [3] F. E. Browder, "Nonexpansive nonlinear operators in a Banach space," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 1041–1044, 1965.
- [4] R. E. Bruck, "A common fixed point theorem for a commuting family of nonexpansive mappings," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 59–71, 1974.
- [5] S. Ishikawa, "Common fixed points and iteration of commuting nonexpansive mappings," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 80, no. 2, pp. 493–501, 1979.
- [6] T. Suzuki, "Convergence theorems to common fixed points for infinite families of nonexpansive mappings in strictly convex Banach spaces," *Nihonkai Mathematical Journal*, vol. 14, pp. 43–54, 2003.
- [7] J. B. Baillon, "Un theoreme de type ergodique pour les contractions non lineaires dans un espace de Hilbert, C," *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, Série A-B*, vol. 280, pp. 1511–1514, 1975.
- [8] T. Shimizu and W. Takahashi, "Strong convergence to common fixed points of families of nonexpansive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 211, no. 1, pp. 71–83, 1997.
- [9] B. Halpern, "Fixed points of nonexpanding maps," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 73, pp. 959–961, 1976.
- [10] S. Atsushiba and W. Takahashi, "Approximating common fixed points of two nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 117–127, 1998.
- [11] W. R. Mann, "Mean value methods in iteration," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 506–510, 1953.
- [12] T. Suzuki, "Strong convergence theorem to common fixed points of two nonexpansive mappings in general Banach spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 3, pp. 381–391, 2002.
- [13] Y. Takeuchi, "An iteration scheme finding a common fixed point of commuting two nonexpansive mappings in general Banach spaces," *Linear and Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 2, pp. 317–327, 2016.
- [14] K. Eshita and W. Takahashi, "Strong convergence theorems for commutative semigroups of continuous linear operators on Banach spaces," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 9, pp. 531–550, 2005.
- [15] F. Kohsaka, "Existence and approximation of common fixed points of two hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 16, pp. 2193–2205, 2015.
- [16] W. Takahashi, "Iterative methods for approximation of fixed points and their applications," *Journal of the Operations Research Society of Japan*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 87–108, 2000.
- [17] W. Takahashi and Y. Takeuchi, "Nonlinear ergodic theorem without convexity for generalized hybrid mappings in a Hilbert space," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 12, pp. 399–406, 2011.
- [18] S. Atsushiba, S. Iemoto, R. Kubota, and Y. Takeuchi, "Convergence theorems for some classes of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert space, linear," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 2, pp. 125–153, 2016.
- [19] S. Atsushiba and W. Takahashi, "Nonlinear ergodic theorems without convexity for nonexpansive semigroups in Hilbert



- spaces,” *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 14, pp. 209–219, 2013.
- [20] T. Ibaraki and Y. Takeuchi, “New convergence theorems for common fixed points of a wide range of nonlinear mappings,” *Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization: Theory & Applications*, vol. 9, pp. 95–114, 2018.
- [21] T. Ibaraki and Y. Takeuchi, “A mean convergence theorem finding a common attractive point of two nonlinear mappings,” *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, vol. 66, pp. 61–77, 2020.
- [22] P. Kocourek, W. Takahashi, and J.-C. Yao, “Fixed point theorems and weak convergence theorems for generalized hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces,” *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 2497–2511, 2010.
- [23] K. Aoyama, S. Iemoto, F. Kohsaka, and W. Takahashi, “Fixed point and ergodic theorems for  $\lambda$ -hybrid mappings in Hilbert spaces,” *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 11, pp. 335–343, 2010.
- [24] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, “Fixed point theorems for a class of nonlinear mappings related to maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces,” *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 166–177, 2008.
- [25] W. Takahashi, “Fixed point theorems for new nonlinear mappings in a Hilbert space,” *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 11, pp. 79–88, 2010.
- [26] J. G. Falset, E. L. Fuster, and T. Suzuki, “Fixed point theory for a class of generalized nonexpansive mappings,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 375, no. 1, pp. 185–195, 2011.