



# Attractors and Numerical Simulations for a Two-Temperature Phase Transition System

Mohamed Ali Ipopa <sup>a\*</sup>, Brice Landry Doumbe Bangola <sup>a</sup>  
and Armel Andami Ovono <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Université des Sciences et Techniques de Masuku, BP : 901, Gabon.*

<sup>b</sup> *Ecole Normale Supérieure, BP : 17009, Gabon.*

## *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/JAMCS/2022/v37i121732

## **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95112>

*Received: 20/10/2022*

*Accepted: 22/12/2022*

*Published: 26/12/2022*

**Original Research Article**

## Abstract

In this paper, we study a generalisation of the Caginalp phase field system obtained by considering the Fourier law as the heat conduction law and involving two temperatures. In the first section, we are interested in the existence and uniqueness of solutions. In section 2, we address the question of the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions, in particular with the demonstration of the existence of a global attractor. For this, we needed to show that the system is dissipative and to know the regularity of the solutions. Finally, in the last section, we turn to the numerical solution of our problem.

*Keywords: Caginalp phase-field system; two temperatures; global attractor; semigroup decomposition method.*

*\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [ipopa.mohamed@hotmail.fr](mailto:ipopa.mohamed@hotmail.fr);*

*J. Adv. Math. Com. Sci., vol. 37, no. 12, pp. 99-116, 2022*

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35K55, 80A22, 35B40, 35B45, 35Q79 (secondary) 80A.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study a generalisation of the Caginalp phase field system based on a two-temperature theory and the usual Fourier law. It is presented as follows:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u) = \varphi - \Delta \varphi, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \Delta \varphi = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$u = \varphi = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \tag{1.3}$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, \varphi|_{t=0} = \varphi_0. \tag{1.4}$$

This model is derived from the Caginalp phase-field system

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u) = \theta, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \Delta \theta = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \tag{1.6}$$

where  $\theta$  is the difference between the absolute temperature and the equilibrium melting temperature,  $u$  the phase-field or order parameter and  $f$  the derivative function of a double-well potential  $F$ . The system (1.5)-(1.6) was introduced in [1] to describe the phase transition phenomena in materials. Many works have been done on this model (see [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13],[14], [15] and [16],). The equations (1.5)-(1.6) are obtained by using the total Ginzburg-Landau free energy and the enthalpy of the system. Indeed, the total Ginzburg-Landau free energy is defined as follows:

$$\psi(u, \theta) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + F(u) - u\theta - \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \right) dx, \tag{1.7}$$

where  $\Omega$  is the domain occupied by the system. It is assumed to be a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \leq 3$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . To obtain the evolution equation of the order parameter  $u$ , i.e. the equation (1.5), it is sufficient to calculate :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\delta_u \psi, \tag{1.8}$$

where  $\delta_u$  stands for the variational derivative with respect to  $u$ . To describe the state of such a system, we define the physical quantity called enthalpy. It is a thermodynamic potential that consists of the internal energy of the system (related to its temperature and momentum) and the work of the forces acting on its boundary. In our case, the enthalpy is given as follows:

$$H = u + \theta. \tag{1.9}$$

In addition, we have the energy equation

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -\operatorname{div} q, \tag{1.10}$$

where  $q$  is the heat flux. Assuming finally the classical Fourier law for heat conduction, which defines the heat flux as

$$q = -\nabla \theta, \tag{1.11}$$

we obtain (1.6).

It is important to point out that the use of Fourier's law in the model is a questionable choice. Indeed, Fourier's law leads to the propagation of heat at an infinite speed (see, for example, [17]). Several alternatives to Fourier's law have been explored. We can mention for example the Maxwell-Cattaneo law or laws from thermomechanics (see, for example, [18], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28] and [29]).

Moreover, with the appearance of non-simple materials, a different approach to thermal conduction was proposed in the 1960s (see [30], [31] and [32]). Indeed, in this type of material, two temperatures intervene in the definition of the entropy: the conduction temperature  $\theta$ , influencing the contribution of thermal conduction, and the thermodynamic temperature  $\varphi$ , appearing in the heat input part. In general, if in stationary models these two temperatures coincide in the absence of heat input, this is not the case in evolutionary models where they are generally different (see, for example, [33]). In this work, we make the hypothesis of being in a non-simple material. The two temperatures are then linked as follows (see [34], [35]):

$$\theta = \varphi - \Delta\varphi. \tag{1.12}$$

The paper is organized as follows in section 1, we give the existence and uniqueness of the solutions. In section 2, we address the question of the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions, especially with the existence of attractors. Finally, in the last section, we present some numerical simulations.

In this article, the letters  $c, c', c''$ , and sometimes  $c'''$  denote constants which may change from one line to another. Similarly,  $\|\cdot\|_p$  will denote the norm  $L^p$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  the usual scalar product  $L^2$ . We will denote by  $\|\cdot\|_X$  the norm in the Banach space  $X$ . Finally, when there is no possible confusion, we will note  $\|\cdot\|$  instead of  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

## 2 Well-Posedness of the Model

In this section we show the existence and uniqueness conditions of the solutions of our model. To do this, we will first make a number of assumptions.

### Assumptions

$$-c_0 \leq F(s) \leq f(s)s + c_1, \quad c_0, c_1 \geq 0, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{and } F(s) = \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau, \tag{2.1}$$

$$|f'(s)| \leq c_2(|s|^{2p} + 1), \quad c_2, p \geq 1, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$f' \geq -c_3, \quad c_3 \geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

### 2.1 Existence

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $T > 0$  be given. We assume that  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\varphi_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $F(u_0) < +\infty$ . Then, under assumptions (2.1)-(2.2), the problem (1.1)-(1.4) admits at least one solution denoted  $(u, \varphi)$  such as  $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H_0^1(\Omega))$ ,  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2((0, T) \times \Omega)$  and  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ .*

*Proof.* We simply perform formal calculations to obtain a priori estimates. The rigorous justification of these estimates can be obtained by the Galerkin scheme (see [9], [10] and [36] for more details).

Multiplying (1.1) by  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\nabla u\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx \right) + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} (\varphi - \Delta\varphi) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx. \tag{2.4}$$

Multiplying (1.2) by  $\varphi - \Delta\varphi$ , we have, integrating through  $\Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\varphi\|^2 + 2\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 + \|\Delta\varphi\|^2 \right) \\ + \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 + \|\Delta\varphi\|^2 = - \int_{\Omega} (\varphi - \Delta\varphi) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Summing up (2.4) and (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\nabla u\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx + \|\varphi\|^2 + 2\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 \right. \\ \left. + \|\Delta\varphi\|^2 \right) + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 + \|\Delta\varphi\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Now, multiplying (1.1) by  $u$  and integrating through  $\Omega$ , one has

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} f(u)u dx = \int_{\Omega} u\varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla\varphi dx. \tag{2.7}$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz's, Poincare's and Young's inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u\varphi dx &\leq \|u\| \|\varphi\| \\ &\leq c \|\nabla u\| \|\nabla\varphi\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla u\|^2 + c \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla\varphi dx &\leq \|\nabla u\| \|\nabla\varphi\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla u\|^2 + c \|\nabla\varphi\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Collecting (2.7)-(2.9) and in view of (2.1), we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx \leq c \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 + c_1 |\Omega|. \tag{2.10}$$

Multiplying (1.2) by  $\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}$  and integrating through  $\Omega$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 = - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} dx. \tag{2.11}$$

Cauchy-Schwarz's and Young's inequalities yield

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \tag{2.12}$$

Summing (2.6),  $\varepsilon(2.10)$  and (2.12), where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is as small as we need, we then get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE_1}{dt} + \varepsilon \|\nabla u\|^2 + 2\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx + 2\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 + 2\|\Delta\varphi\|^2 \\ + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + 2 \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq 2\varepsilon c \|\nabla\varphi\|^2 + 2\varepsilon c_1 |\Omega|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where

$$E_1 = \varepsilon \|u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx + \|\varphi\|^2 + 3\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 + \|\Delta\varphi\|^2 \tag{2.14}$$

satisfies

$$E_1 \geq c(\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\varphi\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2). \tag{2.15}$$

Now, choosing  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $1 - \varepsilon c > 0$ , we are led to an inequality of the form

$$\frac{dE_1}{dt} + cE_1 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + c' \left\| \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} \right\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq c''. \tag{2.16}$$

An application of Gronwall's Lemma completes the proof. ■

## 2.2 Uniqueness

**Theorem 2.2.** *We then have under conditions of Theorem 2.1 that the problem (1.1)-(1.4) possesses a unique solution  $(u, \varphi)$  with the above regularity.*

*Remark 2.1.* In the case where the dimension  $n$  is equal to 3, we will assume that  $p = 1$  in (2.2).

*Proof.* We suppose the existence of two solutions  $(u_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $(u_2, \varphi_2)$  to problem (1.1)-(1.3) associated to initial conditions  $(u_{01}, \varphi_{01})$  and  $(u_{02}, \varphi_{02})$ , respectively. Setting  $u = u_1 - u_2$ ,  $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$ ,  $u_0 = u_{01} - u_{02}$  and  $\varphi_0 = \varphi_{01} - \varphi_{02}$ . We then have that the couple  $(u, \varphi)$  solves the following problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u_1) - f(u_2) = \varphi - \Delta \varphi, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} - \Delta \varphi = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$u|_{\partial \Omega} = \varphi|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \tag{2.19}$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad \varphi|_{t=0} = \varphi_0 \tag{2.20}$$

Multiplying (2.17) by  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \left( f(u_1) - f(u_2) \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx \\ = \int_{\Omega} \left( \varphi - \Delta \varphi \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Multiplying (2.18) by  $\varphi - \Delta \varphi$ , we have, integrating over  $\Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\varphi\|^2 + 2\|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \|\Delta \varphi\|^2 \right) + \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \|\Delta \varphi\|^2 \\ = - \int_{\Omega} \left( \varphi - \Delta \varphi \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Summing (2.21) and (2.22), one obtains

$$\frac{dE_2}{dt} + 2 \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \|\Delta \varphi\|^2 \right) = -2 \int_{\Omega} \left( f(u_1) - f(u_2) \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx, \tag{2.23}$$

where

$$E_2 = \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\varphi\|^2 + 2\|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \|\Delta \varphi\|^2. \tag{2.24}$$

Now, considering (2.2), and applying Hölder's inequality for  $p = 1$ , when  $n = 3$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left( f(u_1) - f(u_2) \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx &\leq c \int_{\Omega} (|u_2|^{2p} + 1) |u| \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right| dx \\ &\leq c(\|\nabla u_2\|^{4p} + 1) \|\nabla u\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Finally, collecting (2.23)-(2.25), we are led to

$$\frac{dE_2}{dt} + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c(\|\nabla u_2\|^{4p} + 1) E_2. \tag{2.26}$$

In particular,

$$\frac{dE_2}{dt} \leq cE_2 \tag{2.27}$$

and an application of the Gronwall's Lemma completes the proof. ■

### 3 Asymptotic Behaviour of Solutions

In this section we particularly make the following assumption:

$$f(0) = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

#### 3.1 Dissipativity properties of the system

*Remark 3.1.* It follows from theorems 2.1 and 2.2 that we can define the family solving operators

$$\begin{aligned} S(t) : \Phi &\longrightarrow \Phi \\ (u_0, \varphi_0) &\longmapsto (u(t), \varphi(t)), \quad t \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where  $\Phi = H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $(u, \varphi)$  is the unique solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.4). Besides, this family of solving operators forms a continuous semigroup i.e.  $S(0) = Id$  and  $S(t + \tau) = S(t) \circ S(\tau)$ ,  $\forall t, \tau \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Under the assumptions of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, the semigroup  $S(t)$  is dissipative on  $\Phi$ . In other words, the semigroup  $S(t)$  has a bounded absorbing set  $\mathcal{B}$  in  $\Phi$ .*

*Proof.* By Multiplying equation (1.1) by  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx + \int_{\Omega} f(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx = \int_{\Omega} (\varphi - \Delta \varphi) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx. \tag{3.3}$$

So

$$- \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \tag{3.5}$$

In the same way,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial F(u)}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx = \int_{\Omega} f(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx. \tag{3.6}$$

The equalities (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) transform equality (3.3) into

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx = \int_{\Omega} (\varphi - \Delta \varphi) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx. \tag{3.7}$$

By Multiplying equation (1.2) by  $(\varphi - \Delta \varphi)$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^2 dx \right) + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^2 dx = - \int_{\Omega} (\varphi - \Delta \varphi) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx. \tag{3.8}$$

Summing up the equalities (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx \right) + \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\Delta \varphi|^2 dx = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Also, multiplying (1.1) by  $u$  then integrating through  $\Omega$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} f(u)u dx = \int_{\Omega} (\varphi - \Delta \varphi)u dx. \tag{3.10}$$

So

$$F(u) \leq f(u)u + c_1. \tag{3.11}$$

Then (3.10) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx - c_1 |\Omega| \leq \int_{\Omega} (\varphi - \Delta \varphi) u dx. \quad (3.12)$$

In addition, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (\varphi - \Delta \varphi) u dx = \int_{\Omega} \varphi u dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \varphi \nabla u dx. \quad (3.13)$$

In the following, by applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\varphi - \Delta \varphi) u dx &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla u\|^2 + c \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla u\|^2 + c' \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Hence (3.12) gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx - c_1 |\Omega| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 + c \|\nabla \varphi\|^2. \quad (3.15)$$

Or

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx \leq c \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + c_1 |\Omega|. \quad (3.16)$$

Similarly, multiplying (1.2) by  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}$ , gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}|^2 dx = - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} dx. \quad (3.17)$$

Yet,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} dx \leq \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\| \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \quad (3.18)$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \quad (3.19)$$

For small enough  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we sum (3.9),  $\varepsilon(3.16)$  and (3.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \varepsilon \|u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\varphi\|^2 + 3\|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \|\Delta \varphi\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx \right) + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \|\Delta \varphi\|^2 + \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + c_1 \varepsilon |\Omega| + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Let's put

$$E_1 = \varepsilon \left( \|u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\varphi\|^2 + 3\|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \|\Delta \varphi\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx \right) \quad (3.21)$$

Then (3.20) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{dE_1}{dt} + cE_1 + c' \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right) \leq c_1 \varepsilon |\Omega| \quad (3.22)$$

From (3.22), we derive that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{dE_1}{dt} + cE_1 \leq c_1 \varepsilon |\Omega| \quad (3.23)$$

By multiplying (3.23) by  $e^{Kt}$ , we obtain From (3.22), we derive that

$$\frac{d}{dt} (E_1 e^{Kt}) \leq 2c_1 \varepsilon |\Omega| e^{Kt} \quad (3.24)$$

Then, we integrate (3.24) on  $[0, t]$ , we have the following result

$$E_1(t) \leq E_1(0)e^{-Kt} + C \tag{3.25}$$

Let  $u_0$  and  $\varphi_0 \in B(0, R)$ , then

$$E_1(t) \leq \mu(R)e^{-Kt} + C \tag{3.26}$$

Let  $\rho$  such that

$$\mu(R)e^{-Kt} + C \leq \rho \tag{3.27}$$

From (3.27), we derive that

$$t_0 = \max(0, \frac{1}{K} \ln(\frac{\mu(R)}{\rho - C})) \tag{3.28}$$

■

### 3.2 Regularity

**Theorem 3.2.** *Under conditions of Theorem 2.1 and assuming that (2.3) and (3.1) hold true. Then  $u \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$ ,  $T > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Multiplying this time (1.1) by  $-\Delta u$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\Delta u\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} f'(u)|\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi dx + \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta \varphi dx \tag{3.29}$$

and Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality yields taking into account (2.3)

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \|\Delta u\|^2 \leq c' \|\nabla u\|^2 + c'' (\|\nabla \varphi\|^2 + \|\Delta \varphi\|^2). \tag{3.30}$$

Summing (2.16) and  $\delta(3.30)$ , where  $\delta > 0$  is small enough and then choosing  $\delta$  such that  $c - \delta c', c - \delta c''$ , we arrive at an inequality of the type

$$\frac{dE_3}{dt} + cE_3 + c' \|\Delta u\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c'', \tag{3.31}$$

where

$$E_3 = E_1 + \delta \|\nabla u\|^2 \tag{3.32}$$

enjoys similar estimate as  $E_1$ . It clearly appears from (3.31) that  $u \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega))$ . ■

**Theorem 3.3.** *We suppose that (2.1) and (3.1) hold true and that  $p = 1$  in (2.2) when  $n = 3$ . Then, the solution  $(u, \varphi)$  to problem (1.1)-(1.4) has the following regularity  $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ ,  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$  and  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ , provided that  $(u_0, \varphi_0) \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $F(u_0) < +\infty$ .*

*Proof.* The proof leans on Gronwall's lemma. Indeed, thanks to (2.16), we observe that

$$\int_t^{t+r} \|\Delta u(s)\|^2 ds \leq a(r), \forall t \geq t_0, r > 0, \tag{3.33}$$

for  $(u_0, \varphi_0) \in B$ , where  $B \subset H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  is a bounded set and  $t_0$  is such that  $t \geq t_0$  implies  $S(t)B \subset \mathbb{B}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{B}_0$  being the bounded and absorbing set obtained in the theorem 2.1. It appears always from theorem 2.1 that

$$u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H_0^1(\Omega)). \tag{3.34}$$

We also have from theorem 3.3 that

$$u \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega)), T > 0. \tag{3.35}$$



In the other hand, multiplying (1.1) by  $-\Delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  and (1.2) by  $\Delta^2 \varphi$ , we have, integrating over  $\Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta u\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} f'(u) \nabla u \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx \\ = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \varphi \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx + \int_{\Omega} \Delta \varphi \Delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta \varphi\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta \varphi\|^2) + \|\nabla \Delta \varphi\|^2 = - \int_{\Omega} \Delta \varphi \Delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \tag{3.37}$$

respectively.

Now, the sum of (3.36) and (3.37) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta \varphi\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta \varphi\|^2) + \|\nabla \Delta \varphi\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \varphi \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx - \int_{\Omega} f'(u) \nabla u \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Thanks to (2.2) and Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f'(u) \nabla u \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx \leq c \int_{\Omega} (|u|^{2p} + 1) |\nabla u| \left| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right| dx \\ \leq c \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^{4p} (\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + 1) + \frac{1}{3} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

as a result

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta \varphi\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta \varphi\|^2) + \|\nabla \Delta \varphi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ \leq c \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^{4p} (\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + 1) + c' \|\nabla \varphi\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

Adding (3.31) and (3.40), one gets

$$\frac{dE_4}{dt} + \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^{4p} (E_4 + 1), \tag{3.41}$$

where

$$E_4 = E_3 + \|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta \varphi\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta \varphi\|^2 \tag{3.42}$$

enjoys

$$E_4 \geq c (\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\varphi\|_{H^3(\Omega)}^2) + \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx. \tag{3.43}$$

Multiplying, finally, (1.2) by  $-\Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}$ , we have, integrating over  $\Omega$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta \varphi\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} dx \tag{3.44}$$

and Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality implies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta \varphi\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2. \tag{3.45}$$

Summing up (3.41) and  $\eta(3.45)$ , with  $\eta > 0$  small enough, we then get a similar inequality as (3.41) (choosing  $\eta < 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE_5}{dt} + c \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \\ + \left\| \Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \leq c' \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^{4p} (E_5 + 1), \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

where

$$E_5 = E_4 + \eta \|\Delta\varphi\|^2 \tag{3.47}$$

satisfies similar estimates as  $E_4$ . An application of Gronwall's lemma to (3.46) and in view of (3.34) and (3.35), we then have

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)), \forall T > 0. \tag{3.48}$$

It follows from (3.40) that

$$\int_t^{t+r} \|\nabla\Delta\varphi(s)\|^2 ds \leq c(r), \forall t \geq t_0 + r. \tag{3.49}$$

Setting  $y = E_5$  and  $g = c' \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^{4p}$ , we deduce from (3.46) that  $y' \leq gy + g$ ,  $t \geq t_0 + r$  and it is clear in view of previous estimates that  $y$  and  $g$  satisfy assumptions of the uniform Gronwall lemma (see [35]), for  $t \geq t_0 + r$ , which yields

$$\int_t^{t+r} E_5(s) ds \leq c, t \geq t_0 + r, \tag{3.50}$$

that is to say

$$u \in L^\infty((t_0 + r, +\infty); H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)). \tag{3.51}$$

Analogously, we prove that  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$ . Finally, from (3.46), we draw that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \text{ and } \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)), \tag{3.52}$$

which completes the proof. ■

*Remark 3.2.* The theorem 3.3 states that we can thus define the semigroup  $S(t)$  on the more regular space  $\Phi_1 := H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Furthermore, the latter possesses a bounded absorbing set  $\mathbb{B}_1$  in  $\Phi_1$  i.e.  $\forall B \subset \Phi_1$  (bounded),  $\exists t_1 = t_1(B)$  such that  $t \geq t_1$  implies  $S(t)B \subset \mathbb{B}_1$ .

### 3.3 Global attractor

The global attractor is, by definition, the smallest compact (for inclusion) set of the phase space which is invariant by the semigroup  $S(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and attracting all bounded sets of the initial data when  $t$  tends to infinity. It thus appears to be a good object for describing the asymptotic behaviour of our dynamical system.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Under conditions of theorem 2.2 and taking into account (2.2)-(3.1). Then the semigroup  $S(t)$  defined onto  $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega)$  possesses the global attractor denoted  $\mathcal{A}$  which is bounded in  $H^2(\Omega) \times H^3(\Omega)$ .*

*Remark 3.3.* The absence of a regularising effect of the initial data related to the term  $\Delta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}$  will lead us to decompose the semigroup into a sum of two families of operators, the first family tending towards 0 when  $t$  tends to infinity and the second family being asymptotically compact in the sense of Kuratowski's noncompactness measure.

*Proof.* The proof is based on a decomposition argument (see for example [35] and [23]). Indeed, the key idea is to split the semigroup  $S(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , into the sum of two operators families:  $S(t) = S_1(t) + S_2(t)$ , where operators  $S_1(t)$  go to zero as  $t$  tends to infinity while operators  $S_2(t)$  are compact, in a sense that we will clarify later. This corresponds to the following solution decomposition:  $(u, \varphi) = (u^d, \varphi^d) + (u^c, \varphi^c)$ , where  $(u^d, \varphi^d)$  and  $(u^c, \varphi^c)$  solve, respectively

$$\frac{\partial u^d}{\partial t} - \Delta u^d = \varphi^d - \Delta \varphi^d, \tag{3.53}$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi^d}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial \varphi^d}{\partial t} - \Delta \varphi^d = -\frac{\partial u^d}{\partial t}, \tag{3.54}$$

$$u^d = \varphi^d = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \tag{3.55}$$

$$u^d|_{t=0} = u_0, \varphi^d|_{t=0} = \varphi_0 \tag{3.56}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial u^c}{\partial t} - \Delta u^c + f(u) = \varphi^c - \Delta \varphi^c, \tag{3.57}$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi^c}{\partial t} - \Delta \frac{\partial \varphi^c}{\partial t} - \Delta \varphi^c = -\frac{\partial u^c}{\partial t}, \tag{3.58}$$

$$u^c = \varphi^c = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \tag{3.59}$$

$$u^c|_{t=0} = 0, \varphi^c|_{t=0} = 0. \tag{3.60}$$

We consider the problem (3.53)-(3.56). Repeating estimates that led us to (2.4)-(2.16) and, noting that  $f \equiv 0$ , in that case, we have an inequality of the form

$$\frac{dE_6}{dt} + cE_6 + c' \left( \left\| \frac{\partial u^d}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi^d}{\partial t} \right\|^2 + \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial \varphi^d}{\partial t} \right\|^2 \right) \leq 0, \tag{3.61}$$

where  $E_6 = \varepsilon \|u^d\|^2 + \|\nabla u^d\|^2 + \|\varphi^d\|^2 + 3\|\nabla \varphi^d\|^2 + \|\Delta \varphi^d\|^2$  satisfies  $E_6 \geq c(\|u^d\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\varphi^d\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2)$ . As a result, the Gronwall's lemma yields

$$\|u^d(t)\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\varphi^d(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq e^{-ct} (\|u_0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2). \tag{3.62}$$

We can see that  $S_1(u_0, \varphi_0) = (u^d(t), \varphi^d(t))$  tends to zero when  $t$  goes to infinity.

Now, we consider the problem (3.57)-(3.60), and repeating estimates that led in turn to (2.16), then to (3.38), we have, in particular

$$\frac{dE_7}{dt} \leq c \|f'(u) \nabla u\|^2, \tag{3.63}$$

where  $E_7 = \varepsilon \|u^c\|^2 + \|\nabla u^c\|^2 + \|\Delta u^c\|^2 + \|\varphi^c\|^2 + 3\|\nabla \varphi^c\|^2 + \|\Delta \varphi^c\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta \varphi^c\|^2$  enjoys  $E_7 \geq c(\|u^c\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\varphi^c\|_{H^3(\Omega)}^2)$ . Thanks to Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and assumption (2.2), with  $p = 1$ , when the space dimension is  $n = 3$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} f'(u) \nabla u \, dx \right| &\leq c \int_{\Omega} (|u|^{2p} + 1) |\nabla u| \, dx \\ &\leq c (\|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^{2p} + 1) \|\nabla u\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

It clearly appears from (3.31) that

$$\|f'(u) \nabla u\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega)^n)}^2 \leq Q(T, \|u_0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}), \tag{3.65}$$

where  $Q$  is a monotone function independent of initial data. Collecting (3.63)-(3.65), we deduce that

$$\|u^c(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\varphi^c(t)\|_{H^3(\Omega)}^2 \leq Q(T, \|u_0\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|\varphi_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}), \quad t \in [0, T]. \tag{3.66}$$

Consequently, the operator  $S_2(t)(u_0, \varphi_0) = (u^c(t), \varphi^c(t))$  is asymptotically compact in the sense of the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness (see [35] and [37, 38]). We then conclude from (3.62) and (3.66) the existence of compact attracting set, which achieves the proof. ■

*Remark 3.4.* The global attractor is, by definition, the smallest set (for the inclusion) compact of the phase space which is invariant by the semigroup  $S(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and attracts all bounded sets of initial data when the time  $t$  goes to infinity. It thus appears as a good object for the description of the asymptotic behavior of our dynamical system. For more details on the subject, we can see for example [35].

## 4 Numerical Simulations

In this section, we will present the discretization of the problem which will lead us to obtain a semi-implicit first order scheme. The discretization in space is based on finite elements  $\mathbb{P}_1$ , i.e. piecewise continuous linear functions.

### 4.1 Resolution scheme

Let  $\Omega$  be a convex domain of  $\mathbb{R} = 1, 2$  and  $\tau_h$  the uniform triangulation of  $\Omega$ . We define by  $V_h = \{v_h \in C^0(\bar{\Omega}); v_h|_{\tau_h} \in \mathbb{P}_1(\tau_h), \forall \tau \in \tau_h\}$  the conformal subspace of finite elements of  $H^1(\Omega)$ . The discretized problem is then : for  $(u_{0,h}, \phi_{0,h}) \in V_h \times V_h$ , find  $(u_h, \phi_h) \in V_h \times V_h$  such that

$$\left(\frac{\partial u_h}{\partial t}, \psi\right) - (\Delta u_h, \psi) + (f(u_h), \psi) = (\phi_h, \psi) - (\Delta \phi_h, \psi), \forall \psi \in V_h \tag{4.1}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}, \psi\right) - \left(\Delta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}, \psi\right) - (\Delta \phi, \psi) = -\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \psi\right), \forall \psi \in V_h \tag{4.2}$$

$$u_h(0) = u_{0,h}, \phi_h(0) = \phi_{0,h}. \tag{4.3}$$

Let  $(\varphi_k)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$  be a basis of the space  $V_h$ . We write the unknowns in this basis and obtain  $u_h(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i(t)\varphi_i$ ,  $\phi_h(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N \phi_i(t)\varphi_i$ . Let's put

$$(M)_{ij} = (\varphi_i, \varphi_j), (R)_{ij} = (\nabla \varphi_i, \nabla \varphi_j), \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq N \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$U(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ u_N(t) \end{pmatrix}, \Phi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ \phi_N(t) \end{pmatrix}, F_h(U) = \begin{pmatrix} (f(u_h), \varphi_1) \\ \vdots \\ (f(u_h), \varphi_N) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.5}$$

Setting  $\psi = \varphi_j, j = 1, \dots, N$  in (4.1)-(4.2) and using (4.4), (4.5), we obtain the following system with two equations and two unknowns

$$M \frac{\partial U(t)}{\partial t} + RU(t) + F_h(U) = M\Phi(t) + R\Phi(t) \tag{4.6}$$

$$M \frac{\partial \Phi(t)}{\partial t} + R \frac{\partial \Phi(t)}{\partial t} + R\Phi(t) = -M \frac{\partial U(t)}{\partial t}. \tag{4.7}$$

We note by  $(U^{n+1}, \Phi^{n+1})$  and by  $(U^n, \Phi^n)$  the approximate values at time  $t = t^{n+1}$  and  $t = t^n$  respectively. Taking into account its notations, the solution scheme of the problem is obtained by applying an Euler scheme to (4.6)-(4.7)

$$M \frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{\delta t} + RU^{n+1} + F_h(U^{n+1}) = M\Phi^{n+1} + R\Phi^{n+1}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$M \frac{\Phi^{n+1} - \Phi^n}{\delta t} + R \frac{\Phi^{n+1} - \Phi^n}{\delta t} + R\Phi^{n+1} = -M \frac{U^{n+1} - U^n}{\delta t}, \tag{4.9}$$

where  $\delta t$  is time step. The solution of (4.8)-(4.9) can be written in the following matrix form

$$\left(\frac{1}{\delta t}M + R\right)U^{n+1} - F_h(U^{n+1}) - (M + R)\Phi^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\delta t}MU^n \tag{4.10}$$

$$\frac{1}{\delta t}MU^{n+1} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta t}(M + R) + R\right)\Phi^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\delta t}MU^n + \frac{1}{\delta t}(M + R)\Phi^n. \tag{4.11}$$

By setting

$$\mathcal{W} = \begin{pmatrix} U^{n+1} \\ \Phi^{n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \mathcal{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{1}{\delta t}M + R\right) & -(M + R) \\ \frac{1}{\delta t}M & \left(\frac{1}{\delta t}M + R\right) + R \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\delta t}MU^n - F_h(U^{n+1}) \\ \frac{1}{\delta t}(M + R)\Phi^n + MU^n \end{pmatrix}$$

the system (4.10)-(4.11) can be written in the following form  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V}$ .

## 4.2 Numerical results

In this section, we take  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ,  $\delta t = 1/N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $x_k = k\delta t$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, N$  and

$$V_h = \left\{ v_h \in C^0([0, 1]); v_h(0) = v_h(1), v_h|_{[x_k, x_{k+1}]} \text{ is affine}, \forall k = 0, \dots, N - 1 \right\}$$


the conforming  $P^1$  finite element space. The presence of the term  $F_h(U^{n+1})$  in the system (4.10)-(4.11) is the reason of its nonlinearity. For its resolution, we used a Newton algorithm. For numerical tests, we used the cubic nonlinear term  $f(s) = 0.83[s^3 - (0.5)^2s]$  and the logarithmic one  $f(s) = -2k_0s + k_1 \ln(\frac{1+s}{1-s})$  with  $(k_0, k_1) = (\ln(6), 1)$ . The Caginalp phase-field model that we use for numerical tests is

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \alpha \Delta u + f(u) = \phi - \Delta \phi \tag{4.12}$$


$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - a \Delta \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - b \Delta \phi = - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \tag{4.13}$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \phi(0) = \phi_0. \tag{4.14}$$

In the following tests, we will vary the coefficients,  $\alpha$ ,  $a$  and  $b$ . The initial values are  $u(x, 0) = 0.1 \sin(2\pi x) \cos(\pi x)$  and  $\phi(x, 0) = 0.9 \cos(2\pi x) \sin(\pi x)$ .




**Fig. 1. Cubic case with  $\alpha = a = b = 1$**




**Fig. 2. Logarithmic case with  $\alpha = a = b = 1$**

In Figs. 1-4, we show the influence of the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $a$  and  $b$ .




**Fig. 3. Logarithmic case with  $\alpha = 0.75$ ,  $a = b = 1$**

The results of tests Fig 1-4, show that all solutions tend towards the null solution both in the polynomial and logarithmic case when the time becomes large.




**Fig. 4. Cubic case with  $\alpha = 0.75, a = b = 1$**

For the rest of the tests, we change the two initial conditions and opt for a hyperbolic tangent profile. Thus we define  $u_{0,\beta}(x) = 0.1 \tanh(\frac{x-0.5}{\sqrt{\beta}})$  and  $\phi_{0,\beta}(x) = 0.9 \tanh(\frac{x-0.25}{\sqrt{\beta}})$ . We are inspired here by the tests made by Bail et al in [[39]]. Indeed,  $u_0(x) = \tanh(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}})$  is a good approximation of the solution of the Allen-Cahn equation in the stationary case given by  $-u''(x) + u^3(x) - u(x) = 0$  associated with neumann boundary conditions in the interval  $[-L, L]$  with  $L$  large. It is thus interesting for us despite the fact that our boundary conditions are of the Dirichlet type to do this test.



**Fig. 5. Logarithmic case with  $\beta = 0.000001, a = b = 1$**



**Fig. 6. Cubic case with  $\beta = 0.000001$ ,  $a = b = 1$**

The Figs 5 and 6 show quasi-stationary solutions. These solutions as in the previous tests tend towards the null solution when the time becomes large.

*Remark 4.1.* Numerical results have been obtained both for a potential with polynomial growth and within the framework of a logarithmic potential. For the mathematical analysis of this initial and boundary value problem in the case of a potential of logarithmic type see [40].

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have shown the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a Caginalp-type phase transition system using the Fourier law as the thermal conduction law in a material with two temperatures. The demonstration of the existence of a global attractor allows us to characterise the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions in a bounded domain. The various numerical tests carried out have confirmed this fact. As a perspective to this work, it would be interesting to see the influence of the thermal conduction law in such a system by performing the same work but with a different thermal conduction law.

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Pierre Morgan for the various suggestions made during the writing of this work. May he find here the expression of our gratitude.

## Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.



## References

- [1] Caginalp G. An analysis of a phase field model of a free boundary. *Archive for rational mechanics and analysis*. 1986;92(3):205-45.
- [2] Aizicovici S, Feireisl E. Long-time stabilization of solutions to a phase-field model with memory. *Journal of Evolution Equations*. 2001;1(1):69-84.
- [3] Aizicovici S, Feireisl E, Issard-Roch F. Long-time convergence of solutions to a phase-field system. *Mathematical methods in the applied sciences*. 2001;24(5):277-87.
- [4] Brochet D, Hilhorst D, Chen X. Finite dimensional exponential attractor for the phase field model. *Applicable Analysis*. 1993;49(3-4):197-212.
- [5] Brokate M, Sprekels J. *Hysteresis and phase transitions*. Springer Science Business Media; 1996.
- [6] Cherfils L, Miranville A. Some results on the asymptotic behavior of the Caginalp system with singular potentials. *Advances in Mathematical Sciences and Applications*. 2007;17(1):107-29.
- [7] Cherfils L, Miranville A. On the Caginalp system with dynamic boundary conditions and singular potentials. *Applications of Mathematics*. 2009;54(2):89-115.
- [8] Chill R, Fašangová E, Prüss J. Convergence to steady states of solutions of the Cahn–Hilliard and Caginalp equations with dynamic boundary conditions. *Mathematische Nachrichten*. 2006;279(13-14):1448-62.
- [9] Gatti S, Miranville A. Asymptotic behavior of a phase-field system with dynamic boundary conditions. In: *Differential Equations: Inverse and Direct Problems (Proceedings of the workshop “Evolution Equations: Inverse and Direct Problems ”, Cortona, June 21-25, 2004)*, in A. Favini, A. Lorenzi (Eds), *A Series of Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Chapman Hall. 2006; 251:149-170.
- [10] Giorgi C, Grasselli M, Pata V. Uniform attractors for a phase-field model with memory and quadratic nonlinearity. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*. 1999;1395-445.
- [11] Grasselli M, Miranville A, Pata V, Zelik S. Well-posedness and long-time behavior of a parabolic-hyperbolic phase-field system with singular potentials. *Mathematische Nachrichten*. 2007;280(13-14):1475-509.
- [12] Grasselli M. On the large time behavior of a phase-field system with memory. *Asymptotic Analysis*. 2008;56(3-4):229-49.
- [13] Grasselli M, Miranville A, Schimperna G. The Caginalp phase-field system with coupled dynamic boundary conditions and singular potentials. *Discrete Continuous Dynamical Systems*. 2010;28(1):67.
- [14] Laurençot P. Long-time behaviour for a model of phase-field type. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics*. 1996;126(1):167-85.
- [15] Zhang Z. Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the phase-field equations with Neumann boundary conditions. *Communications on Pure Applied Analysis*. 2005;4(3):683.
- [16] Zhang Z. Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the phase-field equations with Neumann boundary conditions. *Communications on Pure Applied Analysis*. 2005;4(3):683.
- [17] Christov CI, Jordan PM. Heat conduction paradox involving second-sound propagation in moving media. *Physical review letters*. 2005;94(15):154301.
- [18] Ovono AA, Bangola BD, Ipopa MA. On the Caginalp phase-field system based on type III with two temperatures and nonlinear coupling. to appear. 2019;9(1):345–362.
- [19] Green AE, Naghdi P. A re-examination of the basic postulates of thermomechanics. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical and Physical Sciences*. 1991;432(1885):171-94.
- [20] Green AE, Naghdi P. On undamped heat waves in an elastic solid. *Journal of Thermal Stresses*. 1992;15(2):253-64.
- [21] Jiang J. Convergence to equilibrium for a parabolic–hyperbolic phase field model with Cattaneo heat flux law. *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*. 2008 May 1;341(1):149-69.

- [22] Jiang J. Convergence to equilibrium for a fully hyperbolic phase-field model with Cattaneo heat flux law. *Mathematical methods in the applied sciences*. 2009;32(9):1156-82.
- [23] Miranville A, Quintanilla R. Some generalizations of the Caginalp phase-field system. *Applicable Analysis*. 2009;88(6):877-94.
- [24] Miranville A, Quintanilla R. A generalization of the Caginalp phase-field system based on the Cattaneo law. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods Applications*. 2009;71(5-6):2278-90.
- [25] Miranville A, Quintanilla R. A phase-field model based on a three-phase-lag heat conduction. *Applied Mathematics Optimization*. 2011;63(1):133-50.
- [26] Novick-Cohen A. A phase field system with memory: global existence. *The Journal of Integral Equations and Applications*. 2002;73-107.
- [27] Quintanilla R. On existence in thermoelasticity without energy dissipation. *J. Thermal Stresses*. 2002;25:195-202.
- [28] Quintanilla R. End effects in thermoelasticity. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 2001;24:93-102.
- [29] Quintanilla R, Racke R. Stability in thermoelasticity of type III. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 2003;B 3:383-400.
- [30] Chen PJ, Gurtin ME. On a theory of heat conduction involving two temperatures. *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik ZAMP*. 1968;19(4):614-27.
- [31] Chen PJ, Williams WO. A note on non-simple heat conduction. *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik ZAMP*. 1968;19(6):969-70.
- [32] Chen PJ, Gurtin ME, Williams WO. On the thermodynamics of non-simple elastic materials with two temperatures. *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik ZAMP*. 1969;20(1):107-12.
- [33] Bangola BL. Phase-field system with two temperatures and a nonlinear coupling term. *AIMS Mathematics*. 2018;3(2):298-315.
- [34] Bangola BD. Global and exponential attractors for a Caginalp type phase-field problem. *Central European Journal of Mathematics*. 2013;11(9):1651-76.
- [35] Doumbé Bangola BL. Étude de modèles de champ de phase de type Caginalp, PhD Thesis, Université de Poitiers; 2013.
- [36] Temam R. *Infinite-dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, second edition. In: *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. 68, Springer-Verlag, New York; 1997.
- [37] Miranville A, Quintanilla R. A Caginalp phase-field system with a nonlinear coupling. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*. 2010;11(4):2849-61.
- [38] Miranville A, Zelik S. Attractors for dissipative partial differential equations in bounded and unbounded domains. in: C.M. Dafermos, M. Pokorný (Eds.), in: *Handbook of Differential Equations, Evolutionary Partial Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam; 2008.
- [39] Bai F, Elliott CM, Gardiner A, Spence A, Stuart AM. The viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation. Part I.: Computations. *Stanford University (Preprint SCCM-93-12)*; 1993.
- [40] Andami Ovono A, Doumbé Bangola BL, Ipopa MA. Global attractor in the framework of two temperatures theory with a logarithmic potential., to appear.

---

© 2022 Ipopa et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Peer-review history:**

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95112>