Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth

Volume 6, Issue 1, Page 1-5, 2023; Article no.AJPCB.95862

Rapid Decline in Stillbirths Globally

Ihsan Ullah [a*], Rafat Jan [a] and Jalal khan [a]

[a] School of Nursing and Midwifery, The Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95862

Commentary

Received: 01/11/2022
Accepted: 02/01/2023
Published: 05/01/2023

ABSTRACT

One of the major accomplishments of modern obstetrics over the past fifty years in high-income countries has been the decrease in stillbirths, which went from rates as high as 50 per 1000 births to approximately 5 per 1000 births these days. The infant mortality rate has decreased in relation to post-term pregnancies, diabetes, hypoxia, Rh illness, hypertension, placental abruption, and all infections, including syphilis. Several term deliveries have occurred throughout the intrapartum phase as a result of this achievement. In high-income countries, the antepartum stage currently accounts for the majority of preterm stillbirths. Stillbirth rates in many low- and middle-income countries now are comparable to those in high-income countries fifty years ago, especially in the parts of those countries with the weakest health systems. An important distinction between stillbirths in high-income countries and other places is the majority of late preterm, term, and intrapartum deaths in low-resource countries. These stillbirths ought to be rather straightforward to prevent with the use of proven risk assessment techniques and early delivery, typically by Cesarean section. This article discusses a thorough six-paper investigation of stillbirths, focusing on low- and middle-income countries. One of the inferences is that even though a sum of interventions have shown to be effective in lowering stillbirth rates, the potential for a significant and long-lasting decline in stillbirth rates won't be attained unless there is an effective health system in which these interventions can be put into practice.

Keywords: Stillbirth; globally; decline.

**Corresponding author: Email: ihsanullahkmu@gmail.com;*

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the major endeavors of current obstetrics is the decrease in stillbirths in high-income nations. In contrast to 40 to 50 years ago, when rates could approach 50 per 1000 births or higher, they are nowadays typically less than 5 per 1000 births, which is an approximately ten-fold decrease [1]. Although the exact cause of this decline is unknown, it is almost certain that it is because of the nearly universal availability of prenatal and postpartum care that emphasizes risk identification, minimization, and management of obstetric difficulties as they ascend. A reduced rate of fetal mortality is caused by obstructed labor, diabetes, hypoxia, Rh disease, hypertension, placental abruption, post-term pregnancy, and infections like syphilis. However, their widespread usage over the past 50 years seems to have contributed to the amazing drop in stillbirths described above. Numerous treatments for these illnesses have never been discretely evaluated for their effects on stillbirth rates.

Nevertheless, the rates of stillbirths or all gestational ages haven't decreased steadily. Since stillbirths at term or during the postpartum period are becoming incredibly rare, the majority of stillbirths currently occur during pregnancy and are premature in high-income countries. Actually, 50% or additional of stillbirths occur before 28 weeks of gestation [2]. Even while it has made progress in the past, the drop in stillbirth rates in high-income countries has all but ceased recently. In order to understand the current state of stagnation and develop novel solutions that will aid in further reducing stillbirths, several research projects are being carried out. If these initiatives are to be successful in high-income countries, first-trimester stillbirths must be reduced. The highest rates of stillbirths are currently seen in several low- and middle-income countries, particularly those regions within those countries. Having insufficient health systems, roughly speaking, trait seen in wealthy countries fifty years ago [3]. The primary difference between stillbirths in high-income nations and those overseas is that late preterm, term, and intrapartum newborns predominately have birth abnormalities [4]. The stillbirths in question should be rare. fast delivery, typically through a C-section, use of well-known risk assessment techniques [5]. Delivering modern obstetric care in low- and middle-income countries should result in a considerable decrease in stillbirth rates due to the adoption of these practices by the majority of high-income countries. Countries with inadequate healthcare infrastructure [6].

“Dr. Zulfiqar Bhutta (Aga Khan University), Gary Darmstadt (Johns Hopkins University), Joy Lawn (Saving Newborn Lives/Save the Children), MY Yakoob, T Soomro, and EV Menezes, as well as researchers from Aga Khan University and Johns Hopkins University (RA Haws), have presented that stillbirths are one of the most common adverse pregnancy outcomes, occurring more frequently than neonatal deaths, post-“ [7]. Stillbirth has not gotten as much attention as these other causes of mortality; for example, it is not formally included in any of the global indices of disease. Interventions to reduce stillbirth are infrequently studied, and even those examined to improve other indicators of mother and newborn health hardly ever included a study of their impact on stillbirth. Conducting a thorough examination of the literature on potential interventions that can reduce stillbirth is a crucial first step in choosing the therapies that might significantly contribute to tackling this important and understudied problem.

“This review of the research is predicated on the premise that the main causes of stillbirth are widely understood, and that stillbirth would greatly decrease if only these problems were correctly recognized and addressed. In general, this assumption is true. The most common factor contributing to fetal death worldwide are: 1) obstructed labor and the subsequent trauma, asphyxia, and infections; 2) infections unrelated to obstructed labor, such as syphilis and malaria; 3) asphyxia related to maternal and fetal complications, including poor placental function; 4) severe pre-eclampsia and eclampsia; 5) severe pre-eclampsia; 6) maternal/fetal malnutri6) maternal/fetal malnutrition; Despite being the primary causes of mortality worldwide, there are notable regional differences in the contributions of these various causes. However, it is essential for every country attempting to lower its stillbirth incidence to be aware of the regional causes of fetal mortality in order to develop and put into action effective screening and treatment programs. In numerous high-income countries, placental histological analysis, fetal autopsy, and medical histories have all been used to pinpoint a cause of death” [8]. “Despite all of this information, only about one-third of stillbirths can be accurately identified. In many low- and middle-income countries, autopsies are almost never available, and placental

investigations are rarely performed; as a result, the actual cause of death is rarely known with any degree of accuracy. Studies are currently being done to evaluate the effectiveness of verbal autopsies, which involve interviewing the woman, family, and birth attendants in order to ascertain the cause of death" [9]. It is questionable whether this procedure will have adequate precision for determining the correct cause of embryonic mortality when compared to postmortem and placental investigations.

Two main obstacles to obtaining an exact picture of stillbirths globally are the lack of vital statistics data for stillbirths from a variety of countries and the lack of steadiness in the existing data across time or among geographical areas. For instance, many studies incorporate information on prenatal death rather than making a distinction between stillbirth and newborn death. In the first piece of this series, Lawn et al. describe the numerous birth weight and gestational age cut-offs that have been used to identify a stillbirth. Due to this, it is impossible to understand the true global magnitude of the problem or to meaningfully compare different geographic regions.

For this series, the writers have chosen to follow the frequently acknowledged international norms, which set the lower gestational age and birth weight cutoffs at 28 weeks or 1000 g. Since half of the stillbirths in the US occur between 20 and 28 weeks (or when the infant weighs less than 1000 g), most states set the lower gestational age cutoff at 20 weeks [10]. The 3.2 million stillbirths reported in this sequence are significantly outnumbered by the significant number of stillbirths that occur each year, which is defined as those lasting 20 weeks or more if these figures accurately reflect the contribution of preterm births lasting 20 to 28 weeks to stillbirth rates globally. The number of stillbirths globally is thought to be at least 6 million per year based on this premise. The connection between certain diseases.

"According to our data, 10 to 25% of stillbirths in high-income countries are likely triggered by infections, whereas the percentage rises to a noticeably greater level in low- and middle-income countries" [11]. We have been astonished by the enormous variety and sum of organisms that have been implicated in stillbirths, particularly the wide spectrum of these kinds of organisms connected to vector- and animal-borne illnesses. It is unclear how much of a role these play in the etiology of stillbirth because they haven't been thoroughly studied. But the recommendation in this collection of publications to emphasize on syphilis and malaria seems to be on the money [12].

In sum, histologic chorioamnionitis has been associated with pre-term and term stillbirths in smaller but considerable amounts, as well as more than 50% of early stillbirths in all contexts. Chorioamnionitis, or inflammation of the fetal membranes, is a condition caused by more than 50 different microorganisms. The most common ones are Mycoplasma hominis, Escherichia coli, Group B streptococcus, and Ureaplasma urealyticum. There aren't many therapies that have been reliably shown to reduce chorioamnionitis and the resulting stillbirths and preterm births. With the use of cutting-edge molecular technologies, considerable research should focus on lowering chorioamnionitis and make a determined attempt to ascertain the role of all infections in stillbirths, especially in populations from various low- and middle-income nations. It should also be made clear that adverse prenatal outcomes do not necessarily follow stillbirths [9]. "Maternal mortality, fistulas, long-term childhood morbidity, and high rates of neonatal fatalities usually co-occur in the same neighborhoods and geographical areas. Miscarriages should also be reduced by interventions that successfully cut maternal and early neonatal mortality. Other perinatal morbidities are anticipated to decrease as a result of interventions that decrease stillbirth. The emergency obstetric care measures, which emphasize timely Cesarean sections alongside other interventions to minimize maternal mortality, should have a major impact on stillbirths as well, though this has not been precisely studied" [13].

"The thorough and in-depth review carried out by the authors of this series has improved our understanding of many of the evidence-based treatments that are successfully lowering stillbirths. The creators of this series have also noted the actions that are most likely to have little or no impact on the rate of stillbirths. Maintaining these behaviors drains resources that might be used more effectively to support therapies, even if nothing else. Even in low-resource centers, contact to life-saving Cesarean sections varies greatly among the richest and poorest women" [14]. We essential to create medicines that have been verified to be successful in lowering stillbirths broadly available and sustainably used, particularly in these circumstances.

2. CONCLUSION

Research can be useful in generating and assessing advanced and effective solutions. Additional is programmatic research, which will teach us all how to carry out the death of a fetus reduction projects in areas where the burden of stillbirth is high and the resources available are limited in a sustainable, cost-effective manner. Second, despite the fact that certain interventions have been effective in lowering stillbirths, there is no way to achieve a significant and long-lasting decrease in stillbirth rates without a functional healthcare system.

CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Goldenberg RL, Kirby R, Culhane JF. Stillbirth: a review. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med [Internet]; 2004;16(2):79–94.
   [Cited 2022 Sep 24]
   Available:https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15512717/
2. Fetal deaths in Alabama, 1974-1983: a birth weight-specific analysis - PubMed [Internet].
   [Cited 2022 Sep 24].
   Available:https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3684115/
3. McClure EM, Saleem S, Pasha O, Goldenberg RL. Stillbirth in developing countries: a review of causes, risk factors and prevention strategies. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med [Internet]. 2009;22(3):183–90.
   [Cited 2022 Sep 24].
   Available:https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19089779/
4. Chi BH, Wang L, Read JS, Taha TE, Sinkala M, Brown ER, et al. Predictors of stillbirth in sub-saharan Africa. Obstet Gynecol [Internet]. 2007;110(5):989–97.
   [Cited 2022 Sep 24].
   Available:https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17978109/
5. McClure EM, Wright LL, Goldenberg RL, Goudar SS, Parida SN, Jehan I, et al. The global network: a prospective study of stillbirths in developing countries. Am J Obstet Gynecol [Internet]. 2007;197(3):247.e1-247.e5.
   [Cited 2022 Sep 24].
   Available:https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17826406/
6. McClure EM, Saleem S, Goudar SS, Moore JL, Garces A, Esamai F, et al. Stillbirth rates in low-middle income countries 2010 - 2013: A population-based, multi-country study from the Global Network. Reprod Health. 2015 Jun 8;12(2).
7. Lawn JE, Yakoob M, Haws RA, Soomro T, Darmstadt GL, Bhutta ZA. 3.2 million stillbirths: Epidemiology and overview of the evidence review. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth [Internet]. 2009 May 7;9 Suppl 1(Suppl 1).
   [Cited 2022 Sep 24].
   Available:https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19426465/
8. Jehan I, McClure EM, Salat S, Rizvi S, Pasha O, Harris H, et al. Stillbirths in an urban community in Pakistan. Am J Obstet Gynecol [Internet]. 2007;197(3):257.e1-257.e8.
   [Cited 2022 Sep 24].
   Available:https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17826410/
9. McClure EM, Goldenberg RL, Bann CM. Maternal mortality, stillbirth and measures of obstetric care in developing and developed countries. Int J Gynecol Obstet. 2007;96(2):139–46.
10. Hajian-Tilaki K, Esmaielzadeh S, Sadeghian G. Trend of stillbirth rates and the associated risk factors in babol, northern iran. Oman Med J [Internet]. 2014 Jan;29(1):18–23.
    [Cited 2022 Sep 24].
    Available:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24498477
11. Cousens S, Blencowe H, Stanton C, Chou D, Ahmed S, Steinhardt L, et al. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of stillbirth rates in 2009 with trends since 1995: A systematic analysis. Lancet. 2011;377(9774):1319–30.
12. Goldenberg RL, McClure EM, Bann CM. The relationship of intrapartum and antepartum stillbirth rates to measures of obstetric care in developed and developing countries. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand [Internet]. 2007;86(11):1303–9.
    [Cited 2022 Sep 24].
    Available:https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17963057/

13. Menezes EV, Yakoob MY, Soomro T, Haws RA, Darmstadt GL, Bhutta ZA. Reducing stillbirths: Prevention and management of medical disorders and infections during pregnancy. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2009;9(Suppl. 1).
14. Ronsmans C, Holtz S, Stanton C. Socioeconomic differentials in caesarean rates in developing countries: a retrospective analysis. Lancet (London, England) [Internet]. 2006 Oct 28; 368(9546):1516–23. [Cited 2022 Sep 24]. Available:https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17071285/

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95862